

# Fekete-Szegö Problem for Certain Subclass of Analytic Univalent Function using Quasi-Subordination

B. Srutha Keerthi

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering  
Sriperumbudur, Chennai - 602105, India  
sruthilaya06@yahoo.co.in

P. Lokesh

Department of Mathematics  
Adhi Parasakthi College of Engineering  
G.B. Nagar, Kalavai - 632 506, India  
lokeshpandurangan@gmail.com

## Abstract

An analytic function  $f$  is quasi-subordinate to an analytic function  $g$ , in the open unit disk if there exist analytic functions  $\varphi$  and  $w$ , with  $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ ,  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that  $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(w(z))$ . Certain subclass of analytic univalent functions associated with quasi-subordination are defined and the bounds for the Fekete-Szegö coefficient functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  for functions belonging to these subclass is derived.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45

**Keywords:** Analytic functions, Univalent functions, Convex functions, Quasi-Subordination, Fekete-Szegö problem.

## 1 Introduction and Motivation

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of analytic function  $f$  in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  normalized by  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$  of the form  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . For

two analytic functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the function  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$ , written as follows:

$$f(z) \prec g(z), \quad (1)$$

if there exists an analytic function  $w$ , with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ . In particular, if the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then  $f(z) \prec g(z)$  is equivalent to  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$ . For brief survey on the concept of subordination, see [1].

Ma and Minda [2] introduced the following class

$$S^*(\phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z) \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\phi$  is an analytic function with positive real part in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $\phi(\mathbb{D})$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with respect to  $\phi(0) = 1$  and  $\phi'(0) > 0$ . A function  $f \in S^*(\phi)$  is called Ma-Minda starlike (with respect to  $\phi$ ). The class  $C(\phi)$  is the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  for which  $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z) \prec \phi(z)$ . The class  $S^*(\phi)$  and  $C(\phi)$  include several well-known subclasses of starlike and convex functions as special case.

In the year 1970, Robertson [3] introduced the concept of quasi-subordination. For two analytic functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the function  $f$  is quasi-subordinate to  $g$ , written as follows:

$$f(z) \prec_q g(z), \quad (3)$$

if there exists analytic functions  $\varphi$  and  $w$ , with  $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ ,  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that  $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(w(z))$ . Observe that when  $\varphi(z) = 1$ , then  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ , so that  $f(z) \prec g(z)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Also notice that if  $w(z) = z$ , then  $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(z)$  and it is said that  $f$  is majorized by  $g$  and written  $f(z) \ll g(z)$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Hence it is obvious that quasi-subordination is a generalization of subordination as well as majorization. See [4, 5, 6] for works related to quasi-subordination.

Throughout this paper it is assumed that  $\phi$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\phi(0) = 1$ . Motivated by [2, 3], we define the following class.

**Definition 1.1.** Let the class  $L_q(\lambda, \phi)$ , ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ), consists of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying the quasi-subordination

$$\frac{\lambda z^3 f''' + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2 f'' + z f'}{\lambda z^2 f'' + z f'} - 1 \prec_q \phi(z) - 1. \quad (4)$$

**Example 1.2.** The function  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by the following:

$$\frac{\lambda z^3 f''' + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2 f'' + z f'}{\lambda z^2 f'' + z f'} - 1 = z(\phi(z) - 1) \quad (5)$$

belongs to the class  $L_q(\lambda, \phi)$ .

It is well known (see [10]) that the  $n$ -th coefficient of a univalent function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is bounded by  $n$ . The bounds for coefficient give information about various geometric properties of the function. Many authors have also investigated the bounds for the Fekete-Szegö coefficient for various classes [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. In this paper, we obtain coefficient estimates for the functions in the above defined class.

Let  $\Omega$  be the class of analytic functions  $w$ , normalized by  $w(0) = 0$ , and satisfying the condition  $|w(z)| < 1$ . We need the following lemma to prove our results.

**Lemma 1.3.** (see [26]). *If  $w \in \Omega$ , then for any complex number  $t$*

$$|w_2 - tw_1^2| \leq \max\{1; |t|\}. \tag{6}$$

The result is sharp for the functions  $w(z) = z^2$  or  $w(z) = z$ .

## 2 Main Results

Throughout, let  $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$ ,  $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$ ,  $\varphi(z) = c_0 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$ ,  $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $B_1 > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to  $L_q(\lambda, \phi)$ , ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ), then*

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \frac{B_1}{2(1 + \lambda)}, \\ |a_3| &\leq \frac{1}{6(1 + 2\lambda)}(B_1 + \max\{B_1, B_1^2 + |B_2|\}), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and, for any complex number  $\mu$ ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{6(1 + 2\lambda)} \left( B_1 + \max \left\{ B_1, \left| 1 - \frac{3(1 + 2\lambda)}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} \mu \right| B_1^2 + |B_2| \right\} \right). \tag{8}$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in L_q(\lambda, \phi)$ , ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ), then there exist analytic functions  $\varphi$  and  $w$ , with  $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ ,  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that

$$\frac{\lambda z^3 f''' + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2 f'' + z f'}{\lambda z^2 f'' + z f'} - 1 = \varphi(z)(\phi(w(z)) - 1). \tag{9}$$

Since

$$\frac{\lambda z^3 f''' + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2 f'' + z f'}{\lambda z^2 f'' + z f'} - 1 = 2(1 + \lambda)a_2z + (-4(1 + \lambda)^2a_2^2 + 6(1 + 2\lambda)a_3)z^2 + \dots, \tag{10}$$

$$\phi(w(z)) - 1 = B_1w_1z + (B_1w_2 + B_2w_1^2)z^2 + \dots,$$

$$\varphi(z)(\phi(w(z)) - 1) = B_1 c_0 w_1 z + (B_1 c_1 w_1 + c_0(B_1 w_2 + B_2 w_1^2))z^2 + \dots, \quad (11)$$

it follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{B_1 c_0 w_1}{2(1 + \lambda)}, \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{6(1 + 2\lambda)}(B_1 c_1 w_1 + B_1 c_0 w_2 + c_0(B_2 + B_1^2 c_0)w_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since  $\varphi(z)$  is analytic and bounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ , we have [27, page 172]

$$|c_n| \leq 1 - |c_0|^2 \leq 1 \quad (n > 0). \quad (13)$$

By using this fact and the well-known inequality,  $|w_1| \leq 1$ , we get

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2(1 + \lambda)}. \quad (14)$$

Further,

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{6(1 + 2\lambda)} \left( B_1 c_1 w_1 + c_0 \left( B_1 w_2 + \left( B_2 + B_1^2 c_0 - \frac{3(1 + 2\lambda)}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} \mu B_1^2 c_0 \right) w_1^2 \right) \right). \quad (15)$$

Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{6(1 + 2\lambda)} \left( |B_1 c_1 w_1| + \left| B_1 c_0 \left( w_2 - \left( \frac{3(1 + 2\lambda)}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} \mu B_1 c_0 - B_1 c_0 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) w_1^2 \right) \right| \right). \quad (16)$$

Again applying  $|c_n| \leq 1$  and  $|w_1| \leq 1$ , we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{6(1 + 2\lambda)} \left( 1 + \left| w_2 - \left( - \left( 1 - \frac{3(1 + 2\lambda)}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} \mu \right) B_1 c_0 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) w_1^2 \right| \right). \quad (17)$$

Applying Lemma 1.3 to

$$\left| w_2 - \left( - \left( 1 - \frac{3(1 + 2\lambda)}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} \mu \right) B_1 c_0 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) w_1^2 \right| \quad (18)$$

yields

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{6(1 + 2\lambda)} \left( 1 + \max \left\{ 1, \left| - \left( 1 - \frac{3(1 + 2\lambda)}{2(1 + \lambda)^2} \mu \right) B_1 c_0 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right| \right\} \right). \quad (19)$$

Observe that

$$\left| - \left( 1 - \frac{3(1+2\lambda)}{2(1+\lambda)^2} \mu \right) B_1 c_0 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right| \leq B_1 |c_0| \left| 1 - \frac{3(1+2\lambda)}{2(1+\lambda)^2} \mu \right| + \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right|, \quad (20)$$

and hence we can conclude that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{6(1+2\lambda)} \left( B_1 + \max \left\{ B_1, \left| 1 - \frac{3(1+2\lambda)}{2(1+\lambda)^2} \mu \right| B_1^2 + |B_2| \right\} \right). \quad (21)$$

For  $\mu = 0$ , the above will reduce to the estimate of  $|a_3|$ . □

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies*

$$\frac{\lambda z^3 f''' + (1+2\lambda)z^2 f'' + z f'}{\lambda z^2 f'' + z f'} - 1 \ll \phi(z) - 1, \quad (22)$$

*then the following inequalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \frac{B_1}{2(1+\lambda)}, \\ |a_3| &\leq \frac{1}{6(1+2\lambda)} (B_1 + B_1^2 + |B_2|), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

*and, for any complex number  $\mu$ ,*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{6(1+2\lambda)} \left( B_1 + \left| 1 - \frac{3(1+2\lambda)}{2(1+\lambda)^2} \mu \right| B_1^2 + |B_2| \right). \quad (24)$$

*Proof.* The result follows by taking  $w(z) = z$  in the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The first author thanks the support provided by Science and Engineering Research Board (DST), New Delhi. Project No: SR/S4/MS:716/10 with titled “Fekete-Szegö Problem for Certain Subclass of Analytic Univalent Function using Quasi-Subordination”.

## References

- [1] P. Duren, Subordination, in Complex Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 599 (1977), 22–29.
- [2] W. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, (Tianjin, 1992), Conference Proceedings Lecture Notes Analysis, International Press, Cambridge, Mass, USA, 1 (1994), 157–169.

- [3] M.S. Robertson, Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjectures, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 76 (1970), 1–9.
- [4] O. Altıntaş and S. Owa, Majorizations and quasi-subordinations for certain analytic functions, *Proceedings of the Japan Academy A*, 68(7) (1992), 181–185.
- [5] S.Y. Lee, Quasi-subordinate functions and coefficient conjectures, *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 12(1) (1975), 43–50.
- [6] F.Y. Ren, S. Owa and S. Fukui, Some inequalities on quasi-subordinate functions, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 43(2) (1991), 317–324.
- [7] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu and M.O. Reade, All  $\alpha$ -convex functions are starlike, *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 17 (1972), 1395–1397.
- [8] Z. Lewandowski, S. Miller and E. Zlotkiewicz, Gamma-starlike functions, *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska A*, 28 (1976), 53–58.
- [9] M. Darus and D.K. Thomas,  $\alpha$ -logarithmically convex functions, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 29(10) (1998), 1049–1059.
- [10] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, *Acta Mathematica*, 154(1-2) (1985), 137–152.
- [11] H.R. Abdel-Gawad, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for alpha-quasi-convex functions, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 31(4) (2000), 251–255.
- [12] O.P. Ahuja and M. Jahangiri, Fekete-Szegö problem for a unified class of analytic functions, *Panamerican Mathematical Journal*, 7(2) (1997), 67–78.
- [13] R.M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Coefficient bounds for  $p$ -valent functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 187(1) (2007), 35–46.
- [14] R.M. Ali, S.K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, The Fekete-Szegö coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions, *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 35(2) (2009), 119–142.
- [15] N.E. Cho and S. Owa, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for strongly  $\alpha$ -logarithmic quasiconvex functions, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 28(3) (2004), 421–430.

- [16] J.H. Choi, Y.C. Kim and T. Sugawa, A general approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 59(3) (2007), 707–727.
- [17] M. Darus and N. Tuneski, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for generalized close-to-convex functions, *International Mathematical Journal*, 4(6) (2003), 561–568.
- [18] M. Darus, T.N. Shanmugam and S. Sivasubramanian, Fekete-Szegö inequality for a certain class of analytic functions, *Mathematica*, 49(72)(1) (2007), 29–34.
- [19] K.K. Dixit and S.K. Pal, On a class of univalent functions related to complex order, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 26(9) (1995), 889–896.
- [20] S. Kanas, An unified approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 218 (2012), 8453–8461.
- [21] S. Kanas and H.E. Darwish, Fekete-Szegö problem for starlike and convex functions of complex order, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 23(7) (2010), 777–782.
- [22] S. Kanas and A. Lecko, On the Fekete-Szegö problem and the domain of convexity for a certain class of univalent functions, *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Rzeszowskiej. Matematyka i Fizyka*, (10)(1990), 49–57.
- [23] O.S. Kwon and N.E. Cho, On the Fekete-Szegö problem for certain analytic functions, *Journal of the Korea Society of Mathematical Education B*, 10(4) (2003), 265–271.
- [24] V. Ravichandran, M. Darus, M.H. Khan and K.G. Subramanian, Fekete-Szegö inequality for certain class of analytic functions, *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 1(2) (2004), Article 2, 7 pages.
- [25] V. Ravichandran, A. Gangadharan and M. Darus, Fekete-Szegö inequality for certain class of Bazilevic functions, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 15(2) (2004) 171–180.
- [26] F.R. Keogh and E.P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20 (1969), 8–12.
- [27] Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1975, Reprinting of the 1952 edition.

**Received: March, 2013**