

# DEFORMATION PROPERTIES OF $G$ -ANR SPACES

**Armando Mata-Romero**

angel.hiram@hotmail.com

**Angelina Alvarado-Monroy**

angelina.alvarado@gmail.com

**Alejandra Soria-Pérez**

ale.godel@hotmail.com

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas  
Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango  
Durango, Dgo., México  
C.P. 34120

## Abstract

In this paper we shall establish some deformation properties of  $G$ -ANR spaces generated by the notion of  $G$ -ANR divisors. This concept in the theory of retracts was established by D. M. Hyman in [8].

**Mathematical Subject Classification:** 54C55, 55P91

**Keywords:**  $G$ -space;  $G$ -ANR divisor;  $G$ -ANE space.

## 1 Introduction

The property of a space  $B$  such that if it is closed embedded into a ANR space  $X$  then the space  $X/B$  obtained of collapsing  $B$  to a point, is a ANE, is established in [8], and it is said that  $B$  is a ANR divisor. D. M. Hyman gave several characterizations of these spaces in his paper and in [9].

We shall give the equivariant analogous of these results, when the acting group is a compact.

## 2 Preliminary Notes

Here and in what follows  $G$  will always denote a Hausdorff compact group. By a  $G$ -space we mean a topological space where  $G$  acts continuously. The basic

ideas of  $G$ -spaces can be found in [5], [6], [10]. A subset  $B$  of a  $G$ -space is said invariant or  $G$ -subset if  $GB = B$ . The subset  $G(x) = \{gx \in X | g \in G\}$  is called the orbit of  $x$ . These subsets make a partition of  $X$  and we obtain a new space called the orbit space, such is denoted like  $X/G$ . By a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$ , we mean a continuous function from  $X$  to  $Y$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $G$ -spaces, then a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a equivariant map or  $G$ -map satisfying  $f(gx) = gf(x)$ ; that is,  $f$  commute with the action. If  $f(gx) = f(x)$ , then  $f$  is said invariant map.

Let  $X$  be a metrizable  $G$ -space. A metric  $d$  over  $X$  is said invariant if each transition is a  $d$ -isometry and  $d$  is compatible with the topology of  $X$ .

The equivariant definitions of  $A(N)E$  and  $A(N)R$  are similar those classic definitions, and the reader can see, for instance, [1], [2], [3]. We consider the class of spaces  $G$ -M of all metrizable  $G$ -spaces. Since  $G$  is compact, by [10] each space belongs to  $G$ -M has an invariant metric.

A couple  $(X, A)$  is a  $G$ -pair if  $X$  is a  $G$ -space and  $A$  is an invariant closed subset of  $X$ .

A  $G$ -space  $Y$  is called a  $G$ -ANE (for the class  $G$ -M) (notation:  $Y \in G$ -ANE), if for any  $G$ -pair  $(X, A)$  with  $X \in G$ -M and any  $G$ -map  $f : A \rightarrow Y$ , there exist an invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $A$  in  $X$  and a  $G$ -map  $\psi : U \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\psi|_A = f$ . The map  $\psi$  is called a  $G$ -extension of  $f$  over  $U$ . If in addition we can always take  $U = X$ , then we say that  $Y$  is a  $G$ -AE (notation:  $Y \in G$ -AE).

Let  $A$  be an invariant closed subset of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is called equivariant neighborhood retract of  $X$  if there exists a  $G$ -map  $r : U \rightarrow A$  with  $U$  an invariant neighborhood of  $A$  in  $X$ , such that  $r|_A = id_A$  where  $id_A$  is the identity map on  $A$ . The  $G$ -map  $r$  is called a  $G$ -retraction of  $U$  onto  $A$ . If  $U = X$  then  $A$  is called  $G$ -retract or equivariant retract of  $X$ .

Let  $Y$  be a  $G$ -space. Then  $Y$  is called a  $G$ -ANR (notation:  $Y \in G$ -ANR) provided  $Y \in G$ -M, and for any  $G$ -space  $X$  from  $G$ -M, where  $Y$  is embedded as invariant closed subset,  $Y$  is a equivariant neighborhood retract of  $X$ . If in addition  $Y$  is  $G$ -retract of  $X$ , then we say that  $Y$  is a  $G$ -AR (notation:  $Y \in G$ -AR).

Let  $X, Y$  be  $G$ -spaces and  $\{h_t : X \rightarrow Y | t \in I\}$  be a  $G$ -maps family with indexing set the unit interval  $I = [0, 1]$ . The family  $\{h_t | t \in I\}$  is called a  $G$ -homotopy from  $h_0$  to  $h_1$ , if the function  $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $H(x, t) = h_t(x)$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $t \in I$ , is a  $G$ -map. Here  $I$  has the trivial action and  $X \times I$  the diagonal action. The  $G$ -map  $H$  is called  $G$ -homotopy too. Frequently, we use the notation  $h_t, t \in I$ , to represent the  $G$ -homotopy  $\{h_t | t \in I\}$  from  $h_0$  to  $h_1$ .

Let  $f_0, f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  be two  $G$ -maps. They are said  $G$ -homotopic if there exists a  $G$ -homotopy  $f_t, t \in I$  from  $f_0$  to  $f_1$ . In addition, the relation being

$G$ -homotopic is an equivalence relation and we have a category of  $G$ -spaces and  $G$ -homotopy classes of mappings. We write  $f_0 \stackrel{G}{\sim} f_1$  if  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are  $G$ -homotopic.

Let  $A, B$  be any two invariant subsets of  $G$ -space  $X$ .  $B$  is said to be  $G$ -deformable into  $A$  over  $X$  if the identity  $G$ -map  $id : B \rightarrow B$  is  $G$ -homotopic in  $X$  to a  $G$ -map of  $B$  into  $A$ . That is, we require a  $G$ -homotopy  $f_t, t \in I$ , called a  $G$ -deformation, such that  $f_0(b) = b$  for each  $b \in B$ , and  $f_1(B) \subset A$ . If we have  $B = X$ , we omit “over  $X$ ” and say simply that  $X$  is  $G$ -deformable into  $A$ .

An  $G$ -map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$   $G$ -homotopic to a constant  $G$ -map is called nullhomotopic  $G$ -map. Call a  $G$ -space  $G$ -contractible if  $id : Y \rightarrow Y$  is nullhomotopic  $G$ -map.

An invariant subset  $A$  of a  $G$ -space  $X$  is a strong neighborhood  $G$ -deformation retract of  $X$  if there exist an invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $A$  in  $X$  and a  $G$ -homotopy  $f_t, t \in I$  from  $f_0$  into  $f_1$ , such that  $f_0$  is the inclusion  $U \hookrightarrow X$ ,  $f_1$  is a  $G$ -retraction of  $U$  onto  $A$ , and  $f_t(a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $t \in I$ .

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a  $G$ -map. We say that a  $G$ -map  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  is a right  $G$ -homotopy inverse of  $f$  if the composition  $fh$  is  $G$ -homotopic to the identity in  $Y$ . Analogously, we define a left  $G$ -homotopy inverse of  $f$ . The  $G$ -map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence if there exist a  $G$ -map  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  such that both  $fh \stackrel{G}{\sim} id_Y$  and  $hf \stackrel{G}{\sim} id_X$ . We shall say that a  $G$ -space  $X$  is  $G$ -homotopically dominated by a  $G$ -space  $Y$ , if there exist a  $G$ -map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f$  have a left  $G$ -homotopy inverse.

We shall say that two  $G$ -spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same  $G$ -homotopy type if we can find two  $G$ -maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $h : Y \rightarrow X$  such that the compositions  $fh$  and  $hf$  are  $G$ -homotopic to the appropriate identity.

Let  $(Y, B)$  be a  $G$ -pair. We shall say that  $B$  is a strong neighborhood  $G$ -deformation retract of  $Y$  if there exists an invariant neighborhood  $W$  of  $B$  and a  $G$ -homotopy  $h_t : W \rightarrow Y, t \in I$ , where  $I$  have the trivial action of  $G$ , such that  $h_0$  is the inclusion of  $B$  in  $Y$ , and  $h_1$  is a  $G$ -retraction of  $W$  over  $B$  and  $h(b, t) = b$  for all  $b \in B$  and for all  $t \in I$ .

We notice that in general a metrizable  $G$ -ANE space  $Y$  need not be a  $G$ -ANR, because it may not belong to the class  $G$ -M. But if  $Y \in G$ -M and  $Y \in G$ -ANE, then it is easy to see that,  $Y \in G$ -ANR. We constantly refer to the following result, whose proof can be found in ([3], Theorem 14).

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $X$  a metric  $G$ -space. Then,  $X$  is a  $G$ -ANE if and only if it is  $G$ -ANR.*

It is well known the following result which is frequently used in this document.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $W$  be an invariant open subset of a  $G$ -space  $Y$ . If  $Y \in G\text{-ANE}$ , then  $W$  is a  $G\text{-ANE}$ .*

Other result used in this work corresponds to the equivariant theorem of K. Borsuk about of the homotopy extension property and  $ANR$ 's spaces (see [[1], Theorem 5]). First we define the  $G$ -homotopy extension property.

**Definition 2.3** *A  $G$ -pair  $(X, A)$  is said that has the  $G$ -homotopy extension property (abbreviated  $G\text{-HEP}$ ) respect to a  $G$ -space  $Y$  is given  $G$ -maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $H : A \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $H(a, 0) = f(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then there exists a  $G$ -map  $H^* : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  satisfying  $H^*(a, t) = f(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $t \in I$  and  $H^*(x, 0) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $(X, A)$  be a metric  $G$ -pair. Then  $(X, A)$  has the  $G\text{-HEP}$  respect to every  $G\text{-ANR}$ .*

Finally, we use the following application of the equivariant generalization of the Borsuk-Whitehead-Hanner theorem (see [4], Corollary 3.12). In the theory of retracts the readers can see [7] and [8].

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $(X, A)$  be a  $G$ -pair with  $X \in G\text{-M} \cap G\text{-ANE}$  and  $A \in G\text{-ANE}$ . Then  $X/A \in G\text{-ANE}$ .*

### 3 $G\text{-ANR}$ divisors

We will need the following results to introduce the definition of  $G\text{-ANR}$  divisor.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $Y$  be a metric  $G$ -space,  $X$  be a  $G$ -space and  $f : B \rightarrow X$  a  $G$ -map, where  $B$  is an invariant closed subset of  $Y$ . If  $X, Y \in G\text{-ANE}$  then,  $Y \cup_f X \in G\text{-ANE}$  if and only if  $p(X)$  is a strong neighborhood  $G$ -deformation retract of  $Y \cup_f X$ .*

*Proof.* See ([4], Lemma 3.9).  $\square$

By  $G\text{-ANR}(B)$  we shall denote the class of  $G\text{-ANR}$  containing to  $B$  as an invariant closed subspace.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $B$  be a  $G$ -space, let  $X \in G\text{-ANR}$  and let  $f : B \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -map. If there exists a  $G$ -space  $Y_0 \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$  such that  $Y_0 \cup_f X \in G\text{-ANE}$ , then for every  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$  we have  $Y \cup_f X$  is a  $G\text{-ANE}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p : Y \sqcup X \rightarrow Y \cup_f X$  be the canonical projection. To prove that  $Y \cup_f X$  is a  $G$ -ANE, it suffices, by theorem 3.1, to show that  $p(X)$  is a strong neighborhood  $G$ -deformation retract of  $Y \cup_f X$ .

Since  $Y \in G$ -ANR, by theorem 2.1,  $Y \in G$ -ANE. Then, the inclusion  $i : B \rightarrow Y$  have a  $G$ -extension  $\phi : U \rightarrow Y$  over an invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $B$  in  $Y_0$ . Since  $Y \in G$ -ANR, by theorem 2.1,  $Y \in G$ -ANE. Let  $q : Y_0 \sqcup X \rightarrow Y_0 \cup_f X$  be the natural projection; then, we have that  $q(U \sqcup X)$  is open in  $Y_0 \cup_f X$  and, like  $U$ , is a  $G$ -ANE. By the theorem 3.1, there exists a strong neighborhood  $G$ -deformation retraction  $h : W \times I \rightarrow q(U \sqcup X)$ , where  $W$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $q(X)$  in  $q(U \sqcup X)$  and, accordingly, is open in  $Y_0 \cup_f X$ . Since  $q^{-1}(W) \cap Y_0$  is invariant open set in  $Y_0$  and, by theorem 2.1,  $X_0 \in G$ -ANE; by the theorem 2.2, we have that  $q^{-1}(W) \cap Y_0 \in G$ -ANE. Thus, the inclusion  $j : B \rightarrow q^{-1}(W) \cap Y_0$  have a  $G$ -extension  $\psi : V \rightarrow q^{-1}(W) \cap Y_0$ , where  $V$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ . Also,  $V \in G$ -ANE, by the theorem 2.2. It follows that there exists an invariant neighborhood  $D$  of  $A$  in  $U$  and a  $G$ -deformation  $s : D \times I \rightarrow V$  satisfying  $s(b, t) = b$  for all  $b \in B, t \in I$  and  $s_1 = \phi\psi|_V$ .

Let  $\Psi : U \sqcup X \rightarrow Y \sqcup X$  be a  $G$ -map, defined by

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \phi(x), & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ x, & \text{if } x \in X, \end{cases}$$

Define a  $G$ -map  $k : p(D \sqcup X) \times I \rightarrow Y \cup_f X$  by

$$k(z, t) = \begin{cases} ps_{2t}(p|Y)^{-1}(z), & \text{if } z \in p(D) \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ p\Psi q^{-1}h_{2t-1}q\psi(p|Y)^{-1}(z), & \text{if } z \in p(D) \text{ and } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1, \\ z, & \text{if } z \in p(X) \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $k$  is a strong neighborhood  $G$ -deformation retraction and concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $B$  be a metric  $G$ -space. If there exists a  $G$ -space  $Y_0 \in G$ -ANR( $B$ ) such that  $Y_0/B \in G$ -ANE, then for every  $Y \in G$ -ANR( $B$ ) we have  $Y/B$  is a  $G$ -ANE.*

When  $B$  is compact, then  $Y/B$  is metrizable [7]; applying this fact to corollary 3.3, we have

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $B$  be a compact metrizable  $G$ -space. If there exists a  $G$ -space  $Y_0 \in G$ -ANR( $B$ ) such that  $Y_0/B \in G$ -ANR, then for every  $G$ -space  $Y \in G$ -ANR( $B$ ), we have that  $Y/B$  is an  $G$ -ANR.*

**Definition 3.5** A  $G$ -space  $B$  is called a  $G$ -ANR divisor if it is metrizable and  $Y/B$  is a  $G$ -ANE for every  $G$ -space  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ .

**Remark 3.6** By the theorem 2.5, we note that a compact metrizable  $G$ -ANR  $G$ -space it will also be a  $G$ -ANR divisor.

## 4 $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis

**Definition 4.1** A  $G$ -space  $X$  is a strongly locally  $G$ -contractible at a point  $x \in X$  if there is an invariant neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  in  $X$  and a  $G$ -contraction  $k_t$ , of  $V$  into  $X$  such that  $k_t(x) = x$ , for all  $t \in I$ .

By theorem 3.1 and previous definition, we obtain:

**Lemma 4.2** Let  $B$  be an invariant closed subset of a  $G$ -space  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$ . Then  $Y/B \in G\text{-ANE}$  if and only if  $Y/B$  is strongly locally  $G$ -contractible at a point  $p(B)$ , where  $p : Y \rightarrow Y/B$  is the canonical projection.

Now, we shall introduce the notion of a  $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis with the purpose to establish condition for  $Y/B$  to be a strongly locally  $G$ -contractible at a point  $p(B)$ .

**Definition 4.3** Let  $(Y, B)$  be a  $G$ -pair. A sequence  $\{U_n, h_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , is called a  $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis for  $B$  in  $Y$  if it satisfies

(B1) Each  $U_n$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ .

(B2)  $\overline{U}_{n+1} \subset U_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(B3) For each invariant neighborhood  $V$  of  $B$  in  $Y$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_n \subset V$ .

(B4) Let  $U_0 = Y$ . Then, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $h_n : \overline{U}_n \times I \rightarrow \overline{U}_{n-1}$  is a  $G$ -deformation such that

$$h_n(\overline{U}_n \times \{1\}) \subset \overline{U}_{n+1}$$

(B5) If  $m > n$ , then  $h_n(\overline{U}_m \times I) \subset \overline{U}_{m-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.4** Let  $(Y, B)$  be a  $G$ -pair. If  $B$  has a  $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis in  $Y$ , then  $Y/B$  is strongly locally  $G$ -contractible at a point  $p(B)$ , where  $p : Y \rightarrow Y/B$  is the canonical projection.

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_n, h_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  a  $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis for  $B$ . For each  $n$  and for all  $s \in I$ , let  $h_n^s : \bar{U}_n \rightarrow Y$  a  $G$ -map given by  $h_n^s(x) = h_n(x, s)$ . Now we define the  $G$ -map  $h : \bar{U}_1 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow Y$  by

$$h(x, t) = \begin{cases} h_1(x, t), & \text{if } k = 0, \\ h_{k+1}^{t-k} \circ h_k^1 \circ h_{k-1}^1 \circ \dots \circ h_2^1 \circ h_1^1(x), & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

where  $k$  is a non-negative integer such that  $t \in [k, k + 1]$ .

Since the range of  $h_n^1$  is contained in the domain of  $h_{n+1}^s$  for all  $n$  and  $s$ , composition is well defined.

We verify that is well-defined. Let  $(x, t) \in \bar{U}_1 \times [0, \infty)$ , where  $t = k$ , and  $k$  is a non-negative integer. Then  $t \in [k - 1, k] \cap [k, k + 1]$ . Thus,

$$h(x, t) = h_k^1 \circ h_{k-1}^1 \circ \dots \circ h_2^1 \circ h_1^1(x)$$

and other hand

$$h(x, t) = h_{k+1}^0 \circ h_k^1 \circ h_{k-1}^1 \circ \dots \circ h_2^1 \circ h_1^1(x) = h_{k+1}^0 \circ h(x, t).$$

But,  $h_n$  is a  $G$ -deformation for each  $n$ . Then,

$$h(x, t) = h_{k+1}^0 \circ h(x, t) = h_{k+1}(h(x, t), 0) = h(x, t).$$

So  $h$  is well-defined.

Also,  $h$  is continuous, since is continuous in each closed subset  $\bar{U}_1 \times [k, k + 1]$ . In addition, is easily to see that is equivariant since is composition of  $G$ -maps.

Moreover,  $h$  has the following properties:

- (1) For all  $n > 1$ ,  $h(\bar{U}_n \times [0, \infty)) \subset \bar{U}_{[n/2]}$  (here  $[n/2]$  denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to  $n/2$ ).
- (2) For all  $t \in [1, \infty)$ , we have  $h(\bar{U}_1 \times \{t\}) \subset \bar{U}_{[t]}$ .
- (3)  $h(B \times [0, \infty)) \subset B$ .

We verify the previous properties are satisfy.

- (1) Let  $(x, t) \in \bar{U}_n \times [0, \infty)$  and  $k$  a non negative integer such that  $t \in [k, k + 1]$ .
  - (i) If  $k \geq [n/2]$ , by (B2) we must consider that  $x \in \bar{U}_1$ . Then by (B4) and the definition of  $h_1$  we have

$$h(x, t) \in \bar{U}_k \subset \bar{U}_{[n/2]}.$$

- (ii) If  $k < [n/2]$  is trivial to check that  $n - k - 1 \geq [n/2]$ . Since  $x \in \bar{U}_n$  and  $n - k > k + 1$ , we apply (B5) in the definition of  $h$ , and we obtain  $h(x, t) \in \bar{U}_{[n/2]}$ , so the proof of (1) is finished.

- (2) In this case, let  $k \geq 1$ , where  $k \leq t \leq k + 1$ . Then  $[t] = k$  and by (B4),  $h_{k+1}$  is a deformation into  $\overline{U}_k$ . We conclude applying the definition of  $h$ .
- (3) By (B1) and (B2) follows that  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_n$ . So, applying part (1) of this lemma, we obtain the desired.

We consider a homeomorphism  $f$  of  $[0, 1)$  onto  $[0, \infty)$ . Define a function

$$J : p(U_1) \times I \rightarrow Y/B,$$

by

$$J(x, t) = \begin{cases} p(h(p^{-1}(x), f(t))), & \text{if } x \in p(U_1) \text{ and } t < 1, \\ p(B), & \text{if } x \in p(U_1) \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $J$  is equivariant. Now, to see that is well-defined, it is necessary to check only in  $p(B)$ . Let  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $t \in [0, 1)$ . Then, accordance with (3),  $h(p^{-1}(p(b_1)), f(t)) \in B$ . Thus,  $p(h(p^{-1}(p(b_1)), f(t))) = J(p(b_1), t) = J(p(b_2), t)$  and  $J$  is well-defined.

Moreover,  $J(p(B) \times I) = p(B)$ .

In order that  $J$  to be continuous it is sufficient to show that  $J$  is continuous at the points of  $p(U_1) \times \{1\}$  and  $p(B) \times I$ .

It is easy to see that each  $U_n$  is a saturated set by  $p$ . Then, for each  $n \in N$ ,  $p(U_n)$  is a neighborhood of  $p(B)$ . Moreover, by (B3),  $\{p(U_n) | n \geq 1\}$  is a neighborhood basis of  $p(B)$  in  $Y/B$ . From here will prove the continuity of  $J$ .

Let  $(w_0, 1) \in p(U_1) \times \{1\}$ . Let  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $J(w, 1) = p(B)$ . Then there exists a non-negative integer  $m$  such that  $p(B) \in p(U_m) \subset V$ . We consider  $f^{-1}(m, \infty) \cup \{1\}$ , which has the form  $(r, 1]$ , for some  $r \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $W = p(U_1) \times (r, 1]$ . Thus,  $W$  is a neighborhood of  $(w_0, 1)$ . We affirm that  $J(W) \subset V$ . In fact, let  $(w, t) \in W$ . The case  $t = 1$  is trivial. If  $t \in [0, 1)$  then  $J(w, t) = p(h(p^{-1}(w), f(t)))$ ; since  $t \in f^{-1}(m, \infty)$  it follows that  $f(t) \in (m, \infty)$  and by (2),  $h(p^{-1}(w), f(t)) \in U_m$ . So  $J(w, t) = p(h(p^{-1}(w), f(t))) \in p(U_m) \subset V$ .

At the same way, let  $(p(B), t) \in p(B) \times I$  and  $V$  a neighborhood of  $p(B)$  in  $Y/B$ . Then, there exists a neighborhood  $p(U_m)$  of  $p(B)$  in  $Y/B$  contained in  $V$ . We choose a non-negative integer  $k$  such that  $[k/2] > m$ . If we consider the neighborhood  $W = p(U_k) \times I$  of  $(p(B), t)$  and apply (1), then we have  $J(W) \subset p(\overline{U}_{[k/2]}) \subset p(U_m) \subset V$ . We conclude that  $J$  is continuous.

Finally, only is necessary to check that  $J$  is a contraction from a neighborhood of  $p(B)$  into  $Y/B$ . It follows of the definition of  $J$  that  $J|_{p(U_1) \times \{1\}} = p(B)$  and for each  $z \in p(U_1)$ ,  $J(z, 0) = p(h(p^{-1}(z), 0)) = pp^{-1}(z) = z$ .

This complete the proof.  $\square$

From the lemmas 4.2 and 4.4, we obtain:



**Theorem 4.5** *Let  $B$  be an invariant closed subset of a  $G$ -space  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$ . If  $B$  has a  $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis in  $Y$  then  $Y/B \in G\text{-ANE}$ .*

From corollary 3.3 and theorem 4.5, it follows

**Corollary 4.6** *Let  $B$  be an invariant closed subset of a  $G$ -space  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$ . If  $B$  has a  $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis in  $Y$  then  $B$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}$  divisor.*

## 5 Absolute neighborhood $G$ -contractibility

**Definition 5.1** *Let  $(Y, B)$  be a  $G$ -pair.  $B$  is said to be neighborhood  $G$ -contractible in  $Y$  if  $B$  is  $G$ -contractible in every invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $B$  in  $Y$ .*

**Observation 5.2** *A neighborhood  $G$ -contractible  $G$ -space is  $G$ -contractible.*

**Definition 5.3** *A metric  $G$ -space  $B$  is said to be absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible if  $B$  is neighborhood  $G$ -contractible in every  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ .*

The next theorem characterizes the property of a metric  $G$ -space to be absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible, through some weaker conditions.

**Theorem 5.4** *Let  $B$  be a metric  $G$ -space. Then are equivalent,*

- (a) *There exists a  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$  such that  $B$  is neighborhood  $G$ -contractible in  $Y$ .*
- (b) *For each  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ , we have that  $B$  is  $G$ -contractible in  $Y$ .*
- (c)  *$B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* Then we show that (a) implies (b). Let  $Z \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ . Then the identity  $G$ -map  $i : B \rightarrow B$  extends to a  $G$ -map  $\varphi : U \rightarrow Z$ , where  $U$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ . By (a)  $B$  is  $G$ -contractible in  $U$  under an invariant homotopy  $h_t$ . Hence the homotopy  $\varphi h_t$  equivariantly contract  $B$  into  $Z$ .

Now, we show that (b) implies (c). Let  $U$  be an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ . Then, by theorem 2.1 and theorem 2.2,  $U$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}(B)$  and by (b)  $B$  is  $G$ -contractible into  $U$ . Therefore,  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.

Finally, the prove that (c) implies (a) is trivial.  $\square$

In this section we will show that every absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible compactum is a  $G$ -ANR divisor. Before this fact it is necessary some previous theorems, the first of which is a characterization of absolute neighborhood  $G$ -contractibility. Then mention a corollary of an important result such that will represent a useful tool, the *Equivariant Extension Homotopy Theorem 2.4*.

Since any constant  $G$ -map on  $A$  can be extended a  $X$ , for the theorem 2.4 it follows that

**Corollary 5.5** *Let  $(X, A)$  be a metric  $G$ -pair and  $f$  a nullhomotopic  $G$ -map from  $A$  into  $G$ -space  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$ . Then  $f$  has a  $G$ -extension  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ .*

**Theorem 5.6** *Let  $B$  be a metric  $G$ -space. Then,  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible if and only if for every  $G$ -space  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ , there exists an invariant neighborhood  $V$  of  $B$  in  $Y$  such that for every metric  $G$ -pair  $(X, A)$ , each  $G$ -map  $f : A \rightarrow \bar{V}$  has an equivariant extension  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ .*

*Proof.* First, suppose that  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible and let  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ . Let  $k_t$  an equivariant contraction of  $B$  over  $Y$  to a point  $b_0$ . Now we define a  $G$ -map  $h : (Y \times \{0\}) \cup (B \times I) \cup (Y \times \{1\}) \rightarrow Y$  by

$$h(y, t) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } y \in Y \text{ and } t = 0, \\ k_t(y), & \text{if } y \in B \text{ and } t \in I, \\ b_0, & \text{if } y \in Y \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $Y$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}(B)$ ,  $h$  has an equivariant extension  $H : W \rightarrow Y$ , where  $W$  is an invariant open neighborhood of  $(Y \times \{0\}) \cup (B \times I) \cup (Y \times \{1\})$  in  $Y \times I$ . Let  $V$  an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$  such that  $\bar{V} \times I \subset W$ . Hence  $H|_{\bar{V} \times I}$  equivariantly contract  $\bar{V}$  over  $Y$  to a point  $b_0$ . Let  $f : A \rightarrow \bar{V}$  be any  $G$ -map and  $J = H \circ (f \times id)$ , where  $id$  is the identity  $G$ -map on  $I$ . Clearly,  $J$  is a  $G$ -homotopy of  $A \times I$  in  $Y$  and it follows that  $f$  is  $G$ -nullhomotopic over  $Y$ , and by corollary 5.5 equivariantly extends on  $Y$ .

Conversely, let  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$  and  $V$  an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  such that satisfies the property stated in the hypothesis. Then the  $G$ -map  $f : (\bar{V} \times \{0\}) \cup (\bar{V} \times \{1\}) \rightarrow \bar{V}$  defined by  $f(v, 0) = v$ ,  $f(v, 1) = b_0$  has an equivariant extension  $F : \bar{V} \times I \rightarrow Y$ . Hence  $\bar{V}$  is  $G$ -contractible over  $Y$ ; in particular,  $B$  is contractible over  $Y$  and applying theorem 5.4 (b), we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7** *Let  $B$  be a compact absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible metric  $G$ -space and  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ . Then  $B$  has a  $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis.*

*Proof.* By the previous theorem there exists an invariant neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $B$  in  $Y$  such that any  $G$ -map from an invariant closed subset of a metric  $G$ -space into  $\overline{U}_1$  has an equivariant extension over  $Y$ . We may choose  $U_1$  such that  $d(x, B) < 1$  for all  $x \in U_1$ , where  $d$  is some metric on  $Y$ . Accordance with theorem 2.1 and theorem 2.2, we apply successively the theorem 5.6 obtaining a sequence of invariant neighborhoods  $\{U_n\}_{n>1}$  of  $B$  such that

- (1)  $\overline{U}_n \subset U_{n-1}$ ;
- (2) every  $G$ -map from an invariant closed subset of a metric  $G$ -space into  $\overline{U}_n$  has an equivariant extension over  $U_{n-1}$ ;
- (3) for all  $x \in U_n$  we have that  $d(x, B) < 1/n$ .

By (1) and (3) it follows that the sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies (B1)-(B3). Now, we verify (B4) and (B5). First, we choose a point  $b_0 \in B$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , define a  $G$ -map

$$f_n : (\overline{U}_n \setminus \overline{U}_{n+1}) \cup \overline{U}_{n+2} \rightarrow \overline{U}_{n+2}$$

by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} b_0, & \text{if } x \in (\overline{U}_n \setminus \overline{U}_{n+1}), \\ x & \text{if } x \in \overline{U}_{n+2}. \end{cases}$$

From (2),  $f_n$  extends to an  $G$ -map  $F_n : \overline{U}_n \rightarrow U_{n+1}$ . Define a  $G$ -map by

$$j_n : (\overline{U}_{n+1} \times \{0\}) \cup (\overline{U}_{n+2} \times I) \cup (\overline{U}_{n+1} \times \{1\}) \rightarrow \overline{U}_{n+1}$$

by

$$j_n(x, t) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in \overline{U}_{n+1} \text{ and } t = 0, \\ x & \text{if } x \in \overline{U}_{n+2} \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ F_n(x) & \text{if } x \in \overline{U}_{n+1} \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

At the same way, by (2),  $j_n$  extends to a  $G$ -map  $J_n : \overline{U}_{n+1} \times I \rightarrow U_n$ .

To finish, we define a  $G$ -map

$$k_n : (\overline{U}_n \times \{0\}) \cup (\overline{U}_{n+1} \times I) \cup (\overline{U}_n \times \{1\}) \rightarrow \overline{U}_n$$

as follows

$$k_n(x, t) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in \overline{U}_n \text{ and } t = 0, \\ J_n(x, t) & \text{if } x \in \overline{U}_{n+1} \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ F_n(x) & \text{if } x \in \overline{U}_n \text{ and } t = 1 \end{cases}$$

Again by (2),  $k_n$  has an equivariant extension  $h_n : \overline{U}_n \times I \rightarrow U_{n-1}$  if  $n > 1$ ; while  $k_1$  extends to an equivariant map  $h_1 : \overline{U}_1 \times I \rightarrow Y$ . It is easily to see that the sequence  $\{h_n | n \geq 1\}$  satisfies (B4)-(B5). So  $\{(U_n, h_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a  $G$ -deformation neighborhood basis of  $B$  in  $Y$ .  $\square$

Applying corollary 4.6 and lemma 5.7 we obtain the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5.8** *Let  $B$  be a compact metric  $G$ -space. If  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible then  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.*

## 6 Homotopy characterization of absolute neighborhood $G$ -contractibility

In this section we shall prove that the canonical projection  $p : Y \rightarrow Y/B$ , where  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ , is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence when  $B$  is compact and absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible. Case when  $B$  is  $G$ -contractible the same conclusion remains valid too.

**Theorem 6.1** *Let  $B$  be a compact metric  $G$ -space. Then are equivalent*

(a)  *$B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.*

(b) *For every  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ , the canonical projection  $p : Y \rightarrow Y/B$  is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence.*

(c) *For every  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ ,  $p$  has a left  $G$ -homotopy inverse.*

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$  where  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible. By theorem 5.8,  $Y/B \in G\text{-ANE}$ . Hence, there exists an invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $p(B)$  in  $Y/B$  and a  $G$ -contraction  $j_t$ , from  $U$  to  $p(B)$  in  $Y/B$ , defined by

$$j_0 = i, j_1 = c, j_t = r, t \in (0, 1)$$

where  $i$  is the inclusion of  $U$  into  $Y/B$ ,  $c$  is the constant  $G$ -map  $c(u) = p(B)$  for every  $u$  in  $U$ , and  $r : U \rightarrow Y/B$  is a  $G$ -map such that extends the inclusion of  $p(B)$  into  $Y/B$  to  $U$ .

Thus,  $j_t(p(B)) = p(B)$  for all  $t \in I$ . Applying theorem 2.4, we obtain a  $G$ -homotopy  $J_t : Y/B \rightarrow Y/B$  such that extends  $j_t$ . Besides  $J_0$  is the identity over  $Y/B$ .

Since  $J_1$  extends symmetrically  $j_1$ , we have

$$J_1(U) = p(B). \tag{1}$$

Due to that  $p^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $Y$ ,  $p^{-1}(U)$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}$  by theorem 2.2, and therefore  $p^{-1}(U) \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ . Since  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible by theorem 5.6, there exists an invariant neighborhood  $V$  of  $B$  in  $p^{-1}(U)$  such that the every metric  $G$ -pair  $(X, A)$ , each  $G$ -map  $f : A \rightarrow \bar{V}$  has an equivariant extension  $F : X \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$ . Let  $k_t$  be a  $G$ -contraction of  $B$  to a point  $b_0$  into  $V$ . Define the  $G$ -map

$$f : (\bar{V} \times \{0\}) \cup (B \times I) \cup (\bar{V} \times \{1\}) \rightarrow \bar{V}$$

by

$$f(y, t) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } y \in \bar{V} \text{ and } t = 0, \\ k_t(y), & \text{if } y \in B \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ b_0, & \text{if } y \in \bar{V} \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since the image of  $f$  is contained of  $\bar{V}$ , by theorem 5.6,  $f$  equivariantly can be extended to a  $G$ -map  $F : \bar{V} \times I \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$ . By theorem 2.4, we obtain a  $G$ -homotopy  $K_t : Y \rightarrow Y$  such that  $K_t(y) = F(y, t)$  for all  $y \in \bar{V}$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and such that  $K_0$  is the identity over  $Y$ . It is clear that  $K_t$  extends  $k_t$ ; consequently,

$$K_1(B) = b_0 \tag{2}$$

and

$$K_t(B) \subset p^{-1}(U). \tag{3}$$

Let  $i$  and  $j$  be identity  $G$ -maps of  $Y$  and  $Y/B$ , respectively. Let  $\varphi = K_1 p^{-1} : Y/B \rightarrow Y$ . By (2),  $\varphi$  is well-defined and due to that  $p$  is an identification,  $\varphi$  is continuous and clearly equivariant. We shall show that  $\varphi$  is an inverse  $G$ -homotopy of  $p$ .

In agreement (1) y (3), we can to see that  $J_1 p K_t p^{-1}$  is a well-defined  $G$ -homotopy between  $G$ -maps  $J_1 p K_0 p^{-1}$  and  $J_1 p K_1 p^{-1}$  over  $Y/B$ . Then we can write

$$j = J_0 \overset{G}{\simeq} J_1 = J_1 p K_0 p^{-1} \overset{G}{\simeq} J_1 p K_1 p^{-1} = J_1 p \varphi \overset{G}{\simeq} p \varphi : Y/B \rightarrow Y/B$$

At the same way

$$i = K_0 \overset{G}{\simeq} K_1 = K_1 p^{-1} p = \varphi p : Y \rightarrow Y$$

Then we conclude that  $p$  is a  $G$ -homotopic equivalence with  $G$ -homotopy inverse  $\varphi$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) It is trivial.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Let  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$  and let  $q : Y/B \rightarrow Y$  be a left  $G$ -homotopy inverse of  $p$ . Then  $qp \overset{G}{\simeq} id_Y$ , where  $id_Y$  is the identity on  $Y$ . However  $qp(B)$  is a single point. Hence  $B$  is a  $G$ -contractible into  $Y$  and by theorem 5.4 (b),  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.  $\square$

The following theorem generalizes the equivalence of statements (b) and (c) of theorem 5.4.

**Theorem 6.2** *Let  $B$  be a metric  $G$ -space. Thus,  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible if and only if every  $G$ -map of  $B$  into a  $G$ -space  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$  is  $G$ -nullhomotopic.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : B \rightarrow Y$  a  $G$ -map. Let  $X \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ . Since  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$ ,  $f$  has an equivariant neighborhood extension  $F : U \rightarrow Y$ . Since  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible, applying theorem 5.4, we have that the inclusion  $i : B \rightarrow U$  is  $G$ -nullhomotopic. Thus  $f = F \circ i$  is  $G$ -nullhomotopic.

Conversely, let  $U$  be an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ . Hence  $U$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}(B)$  and by hypothesis, the inclusion  $i : B \rightarrow U$  is  $G$ -nullhomotopic and therefore,  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.  $\square$

From the previous theorem immediately follows

**Corollary 6.3** *Let  $B$  be a metric  $G$ -space. If  $B$  is  $G$ -homotopically dominated by an absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible  $G$ -space  $A$ , then  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : B \rightarrow A$  be a  $G$ -map such that there exists a  $G$ -map  $h : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying  $hf \stackrel{G}{\sim} id_B$ , where  $id_B$  is the identity of  $B$ . Let  $l : B \rightarrow Y$  an arbitrary  $G$ -map, where  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$ . We shall prove that  $l$  is  $G$ -nullhomotopic, and by previous theorem the proof will be completed. Let  $k = l \circ h : A \rightarrow Y$ ; then by (b) of theorem 5.4, there exists a  $G$ -homotopy  $k_t : A \rightarrow Y$  such that  $k_0 = k$  and  $k_1$  is a constant  $G$ -map. Now, define  $L_t : B \rightarrow Y$  by  $L_t = k_t \circ f$ . Then, we have

$$L_0 = k_0 \circ f = k \circ f = l \circ h \circ f \stackrel{G}{\sim} l$$

On the other hand  $L_1 = k_1 \circ f$  is a constant map and  $L_0 \stackrel{G}{\sim} L_1$ . Hence  $l$  is nullhomotopic.  $\square$

**Remark 6.4** *Corollary say us that the absolute neighborhood  $G$ -contractibility is an invariant of the  $G$ -homotopy type between metric  $G$ -spaces. From here, every invariant retract of an absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible  $G$ -space, is too.*

**Theorem 6.5** *Let  $B$  be a metric  $G$ -space. If  $B$  is  $G$ -homotopically dominated by a  $G\text{-ANR}$  divisor  $A$  then  $B$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}$  divisor.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in G\text{-ANR}(A)$ ,  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ , and  $p : X \rightarrow X/A$ ,  $q : Y \rightarrow Y/B$  the canonical projections. Let  $f : B \rightarrow A$  be a  $G$ -map such that there exists a  $G$ -map  $h : A \rightarrow B$  satisfying  $hf \stackrel{G}{\sim} id_B$ , where  $id_B$  is the identity of  $B$ . Denote by  $\alpha_t$  the  $G$ -homotopy between  $hf$  and  $id_B$ . Since  $Y$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}$  then there exists an equivariant extension map  $\phi : N \rightarrow Y$  of  $h$  where  $N$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $A$  in  $X$ . Since  $N$  is an invariant open in  $X$ , then by theorem 2.2,  $N$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}$  and consequently  $N/A$  is a  $G\text{-ANE}$  due to that  $A$  is a  $G\text{-ANR}$  divisor. By lemma 4.2 and the fact of  $N/A$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $p(A)$ , there exists a strong  $G$ -contraction  $h_t : W \rightarrow N/A$

such that  $h_t(p(A)) = p(A)$  and  $W$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $p(A)$  in  $X/A$ . Since  $p^{-1}(W)$  is an invariant open in  $X$ ,  $p^{-1}(W)$  is a  $G$ -ANR. Thus, there exists a  $G$ -extension  $\psi : U \rightarrow p^{-1}(W)$  of  $f$ , where  $U$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  in  $Y$ .

Define a  $G$ -map  $\lambda : (U \times \{0\}) \cup (B \times I) \cup (U \times \{1\}) \rightarrow Y$  by

$$\lambda(u, t) = \begin{cases} u, & \text{if } u \in U \text{ and } t = 0, \\ \alpha_t(u), & \text{if } u \in B \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ \phi \circ \psi(u), & \text{if } u \in U \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$ , we can extend  $\lambda$  to a  $G$ -map  $J : E \rightarrow Y$ , where  $E$  is an invariant neighborhood of  $(U \times \{0\}) \cup (B \times I) \cup (U \times \{1\})$  in  $U \times I$ . Let  $V$  be an invariant neighborhood of  $B$  in  $U$  such that  $V \times I \subset E$  and  $J(V \times I) \subset U$ . Hence the restriction  $J|_{V \times I}$  define a  $G$ -homotopy  $j_t : V \rightarrow U$  such that  $j_0$  is the identity  $G$ -map on  $V$ ,  $j_1 = \phi \circ \psi|_V$  and  $j_t(B) \subset B$  for all  $t$ . Finally, we define a  $G$ -map  $k : q(V) \times I \rightarrow Y/B$  by

$$k_t(x) = \begin{cases} qj_{2t}q^{-1}(x), & \text{if } x \in q(V) \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ q\varphi p^{-1}h_{2t-1}p\psi q^{-1}(x), & \text{if } x \in q(V) \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $k$  strongly  $G$ -deforms  $q(V)$  in  $q(B)$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 6.6** *The previous theorem state that the property of to be a  $G$ -ANR divisor is an invariant of the  $G$ -homotopy type and consequently every retract of a  $G$ -ANR divisor is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.*

## 7 Quotients and unions of $G$ -ANR divisors and absolutely neighborhood $G$ -contractible spaces

Let  $A$  a compact  $G$ -ANR contained in a metric  $G$ -space  $B$ . By theorem 2.5, when  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR,  $B/A$  is a  $G$ -ANR. But if  $B/A$  is a  $G$ -ANR it not implies that  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR. We will shows that in this case,  $B$  is at least a  $G$ -ANR divisor (see remark 3.6).

**Theorem 7.1** *Let  $(B, A)$  be a metric  $G$ -par, where  $A$  is a compact  $G$ -ANR divisor. Then  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor if and only if  $B/A$  is an  $G$ -ANR divisor.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor and let  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(B)$ . Then  $Y/A$  is a  $G$ -ANR because  $A$  is a compact  $G$ -ANR divisor. It is clear that  $Y/A \in G\text{-ANR}(B/A)$ .  $Y/B$  is  $G$ -homeomorphic to  $(Y/A)/(B/A)$ . Besides  $Y/B$  is a  $G$ -ANE and  $Y/A$  is a  $G$ -ANR. Therefore,  $B/A$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.

Now, let  $B/A$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor. Then  $Y/B \cong (Y/A)/(B/A)$  is a  $G$ -ANE. Thus,  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.  $\square$

In case when  $A$  is a  $G$ -AR compact subset of  $B$  in the previous theorem, the equivalence is not true always. However, the first implication is true while the second implies only that if  $B/A$  is a  $G$ -AR then  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR.

If we change the condition  $G$ -ANR by absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible in the theorem 7.1, then the affirmation is valid too. For to prove this we need before the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.2** *Let  $(B, A)$  a  $G$ -pair such that both  $A$  and  $B$  are absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible. Let  $Y \in G$ -ANR( $B$ ) and let  $U$  be an invariant neighborhood of  $A$  in  $Y$ . Then  $B$  is  $G$ -deformable into  $U$  under a  $G$ -deformation that leaves  $A$  pointwise fixed.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, C)$  a metric  $G$ -pair. Then by theorem 5.6, there exists an invariant neighborhood  $V$  of  $B$  in  $Y$  such that each  $G$ -map  $f : C \rightarrow \bar{V}$  has an invariant extension  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ . Similarly there exists an invariant neighborhood  $W$  of  $A$  in  $U \cap V$  such that each  $G$ -map  $H : C \rightarrow \bar{W}$  has an invariant extension  $H : X \rightarrow U \cap V$ . Fix a point  $a \in A$  and define a  $G$ -map  $h^* : A \cup (B \setminus W) \rightarrow W$  by

$$h^*(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ a, & \text{if } x \in (B \setminus W). \end{cases}$$

Hence  $h^*$  has an equivariant extension  $H^* : B \rightarrow U \cap V$ . Now define a  $G$ -map  $f^* : (B \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I) \cup (B \times \{1\}) \rightarrow V$  by

$$f^*(x, t) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in B \text{ and } t = 0, \\ x, & \text{if } x \in A \text{ and } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ H^*(x), & \text{if } x \in B \text{ and } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a  $G$ -extension  $F^* : B \times I \rightarrow Y$  for  $f^*$ . It is easy to check that  $F^*$  is the desired  $G$ -deformation.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.3** *Let  $(B, A)$  be a metric  $G$ -pair, where  $A$  is a compact absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible. Then  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible if and only if  $B/A$  is an absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y \in G$ -ANR( $B$ ) and let  $p : Y \rightarrow Y/A$  the canonical projection. Suppose that  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible. In agreement with theorem 5.4 we shall show that  $B/A$  is  $G$ -contractible in an arbitrary invariant neighborhood  $U$  of  $B/A$  in  $Y/A$ .  $Y/A$  is a  $G$ -ANR and since  $U$  is open in  $Y/A$  then  $U$  is a  $G$ -ANR. Hence there exists an invariant neighborhood  $V$  of  $p(A)$



$G$ -contractible in  $U$ . By lemma 7.2 there exists a  $G$ -deformation  $k_t : B \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$  leaving  $A$  pointwise fixed and such that  $k_1(B) \subset p^{-1}(V)$ . The  $G$ -homotopy  $pk_t(p|_B)^{-1} : B/A \rightarrow U$  equivariantly deforms  $B/A$  into  $V$ , which is  $G$ -contractible in  $U$ . So,  $B/A$  is  $G$ -contractible in  $U$  and it follows that  $B/A$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.

Now suppose that  $B/A$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible. Since  $Y/A$  is  $G$ -ANR and hence  $B/A$  is  $G$ -contractible in  $Y/A$  under a  $G$ -deformation  $k_t$ . By theorem 6.1,  $p$  has a  $G$ -homotopy inverse  $q : Y/A \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $i : B \rightarrow Y$  the inclusion. Then we have  $i \stackrel{G}{\sim} qp|_B = qk_0p|_B \stackrel{G}{\sim} qk_1p|_B$ . Since  $k_1$  is constant,  $i$  is nullhomotopic  $G$ -map and by theorem 5.4,  $B$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible.  $\square$

The fact of build up  $G$ -ANR starting from others  $G$ -ANR is possible if the last  $G$ -ANR have some property (see [4], Theorem 5.1). However, is the union of  $G$ -ANR divisors a  $G$ -ANR divisor again? First we shall show that the disjoint union of  $G$ -ANR divisors is  $G$ -ANR divisor and later we generalize this fact.

**Lemma 7.4** *Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be a compact  $G$ -ANR divisors such that  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ . Then  $A_1 \cup A_2$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y \in G\text{-ANR}(A_1 \cup A_2)$ . Then  $Y/A_1$  is a  $G$ -ANR. Let  $p : Y \rightarrow Y/A_1$  the canonical projection. It is clear that  $p|_{A_2}$  is a  $G$ -homeomorphism. Hence  $p(A_2)$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor; so  $\frac{(Y/A_1)}{p(A_2)}$  is a  $G$ -ANR. Let  $q : Y/A_1 \rightarrow \frac{(Y/A_1)}{p(A_2)}$  the canonical projection. It is easy to see that  $qp(A_1)$  and  $qp(A_2)$  are singletons. Thus, they and their union are  $G$ -ANR. By theorem 2.5,  $\frac{(Y/A_1)}{p(A_2)} / (qp(A_1) \cup qp(A_2))$  is a  $G$ -ANR, but it is homeomorphic to  $Y/(A_1 \cup A_2)$  and we conclude that  $A_1 \cup A_2$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.5** *Let  $B$  compact metric  $G$ -space. Let  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an invariant closed cover of  $B$ . If for each finite sub-collection  $\{A_{i_j}\}$  of  $\{B_i\}$ , the intersection  $\bigcap A_{i_j}$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor (or empty), then  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.*

*Proof.* We shall proceed by induction. It is trivial that the theorem is true for  $n = 1$ . Suppose that it is true for  $n = k$  and we shall prove that it verifies for  $n = k + 1$ . Let  $C_i = B_i \cap B_{k+1}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . By hypothesis, each  $C_i$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor (or empty). Let  $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$  and  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i$ ; by induction hypothesis  $D$  and  $E$  are  $G$ -ANR divisors (or empty). Then we have two cases:

- i) If  $E \neq \emptyset$ , then by theorem 7.1,  $B_{k+1}/E$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor. But  $B_{k+1}/E$  is  $G$ -homeomorphic to  $B/D$ . Again applying theorem 7.1  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.

- ii) If  $E = \emptyset$ , then  $B$  is the disjoint union of  $B_{k+1}$  and  $D$ . By Lemma 7.4, the proof is complete.  $\square$

From theorems 5.8 and 7.5 follows

**Corollary 7.6** *Let  $B$  compact metric  $G$ -space. Let  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an invariant closed cover of  $B$ . If for each finite sub-collection  $\{A_{i_j}\}$  of  $\{B_i\}$ , the intersection  $\bigcap A_{i_j}$  is absolutely neighborhood  $G$ -contractible (or empty), then  $B$  is a  $G$ -ANR divisor.*

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Dr. Sergey Antonyan for all his teachings.

## References

- [1] S. A. Antonyan, Retracts in categories of  $G$ -spaces, *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Ser. Mat.* 15 (1980), 365-378; English translation in: *Soviet J. Contemporary Math. Anal.* 15 (1980), 30-43.
- [2] S. A. Antonyan, An equivariant theory of retracts, in: *Aspects of Topology (In Memory of Hugh Dowker)*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 93, Cambridge Univ. Press (1985), 251-269.
- [3] S. A. Antonian, Equivariant embeddings into  $G$ -AR's, *Glasnik Matematički* 22 (42) (1987), 503-533.
- [4] S. Antonyan, E. Elfving, and A. Mata-Romero, Adjunction spaces and unions of  $G$ -ANE's, *Topology Proc.* 26 2001/02, 1-28.
- [5] G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformations groups*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [6] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation Groups*, Walter de Gruyter & Co. Berlin, 1987.
- [7] S. T. Hu, *Theory of retracts*, Wayne State University Press, Detroit, 1965.
- [8] D. M. Hyman, A generalization of the Borsuk-Whitehead-Hanner theorem, *Pacific J. Math.* 23 (1967), 263-271.
- [9] D. M. Hyman,  $ANR$ -divisors and absolute neighborhood contractibility, *Fund. Math.* 62 (1968), 61-73.
- [10] R. Palais, The classification of  $G$ -spaces, *Memoirs of the AMS*, 36 (1960).

**Received: July, 2013**