

# On a chaotic weighted shift $z^p D^{p+1}$ of order $p$ in generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces

Abdelkader Intissar

*Equipe d'Analyse spectrale, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques*

Université de Corté, 20250 Corté, France

Tél: 00 33 (0) 4 95 45 00 33-Fax: 00 33 (0) 4 95 45 00 33

*intissar@univ-corse.fr*

&

*Le Prador, 129, rue du Commandant Rolland, 13008 Marseille, France*

## Abstract

This article is devoted to the study of the chaotic properties of some specific backward shift unbounded operators  $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}; p = 0, 1, \dots$  realized as differential operators in generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces where  $D$  is the adjoint of the operator of multiplication by the independent variable  $z$  on generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46C, 47B, 47F, 32K

**Keywords:** Chaotic operators; weighted shift unbounded operators; analytic functions; Gamma function; generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces; reproducing kernels; Gelfond-Leontiev operators; Spectral analysis.

## 1 Introduction

A continuous operator  $T$  on a Banach space  $X$  is said to be hypercyclic if the following condition is met:

There exists an element  $\phi \in X$  that its orbit  $Orb(T, \phi) = \{\phi, T\phi, T^2\phi, \dots\}$  is dense in  $X$  and is said to be chaotic in the sense of Devaney [2, 11] if the following conditions is met:

- 1)  $T$  is hypercyclic.
- 2) The set  $\{\phi \in X; \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } T^n\phi = \phi\}$  of periodic points of operator  $T$  is dense in  $X$ .

It is well known that linear operators in finite-dimensional linear spaces can't be chaotic but the nonlinear operator may be. Only in infinite-dimensional

linear spaces can linear operators have chaotic properties. These last properties are based on the phenomenon of hypercyclicity or the phenomenon of non-wandercity.

The study of the phenomenon of hypercyclicity originates in the papers by Birkoff [6] and Maclane [19] that show, respectively, that the operators of translation and differentiation, acting on the space of entire functions are hypercyclic.

The theories of hypercyclic operators and chaotic operators have been intensively developed for bounded linear operator, we refer to [6, 9, 10] and references therein and for a bounded operator, Ansari asserts in [1] that powers of a hypercyclic bounded operator are also hypercyclic

For an unbounded operator, Salas exhibit in [22] an unbounded hypercyclic operator whose square is not hypercyclic. The result of Salas show that one must be careful in the formal manipulation of operators with restricted domains. For such operators it is often more convenient to work with vectors rather than with operators themselves.

Now, let  $T$  be an unbounded operator on a separable infinite dimensional Banach space  $X$ .

We define the following sets:

$$D(T) = \{\phi \in X; T\phi \in X\} \quad (1.1)$$

$$D(T^\infty) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} D(T^n) \quad (1.2)$$

The notion of chaos for unbounded operators was defined in [5] by Bés et al as follows:

**Definition 1.1** *A linear unbounded densely defined operator  $(T, D(T))$  on a Banach space  $X$  is called chaotic if the following conditions are met:*

- 1)  $T^n$  is closed for all positive integers  $n$ .
- 2) there exists an element  $\phi \in D(T^\infty)$  whose orbit  $Orb(T, \phi) = \{\phi, T\phi, T^2\phi, \dots\}$  is dense in  $X$
- 3) the set  $\{\phi \in X; \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } T^m\phi = \phi\}$  of periodic points of operator  $T$  is dense in  $X$ .

Recently these theories are begin developed on some concrete examples of unbounded linear operators, see [4, 7, 12]. In [12] it has been shown that the operators  $H_p = z^p \frac{d^{p+1}}{dz^{p+1}}; p = 0, 1, \dots$  are chaotic in the sense of Definition 1.1

on the classic Fock-Bargmann space [3] :

$$F_2 = \{ \phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ entire}; \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\phi(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dx dy < \infty \} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $z = x + iy$ .

In the present work, we consider generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces (the spaces of entire functions with  $e^{-|z|^\beta}$  measure;  $\beta > 0$ ) and we shall prove that the operators  $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}$ ;  $p = 0, 1, \dots$  in these spaces are chaotic where  $D$  is the adjoint operator of the operator of multiplication by the independent variable  $z$  on these spaces.  $D$  belongs to class Gelfond-Leontiev operators of generalized differentiation [8]

This paper is organized as follows : In section 2 we give some elementary properties of generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces and the action of  $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}$ ;  $p = 0, 1, \dots$  on these spaces. In section 3 we recall some sufficient conditions on hypercyclicity of unbounded operator given by Bès-Chan-Seubert theorem [5]. As our operator  $H_p$  is a unilateral weighted backward shift with an explicit weight, we use the results of Bès et al to proof the chaoticity of  $H_p$  in generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces ( we can also use the results of Bermudez et al [4] to proof the chaoticity of our operator  $H_p$ ).

## 2 Action of $z^p D^{p+1}$ of order $p$ on generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces

We define the generalized Fock-Bargmann space by :

$$F_\beta = \{ \phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ entire}; \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\phi(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^\beta} d\mu(z) < \infty \} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\beta > 0$  is an arbitrary constant,  $d\mu(z) = \frac{\beta}{2\pi\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta})} dx dy$  and  $z = x + iy$ .

Note that  $F_2$  coincides with the classic Fock-Bargmann space.

$F_\beta$  is a Hilbert space with an inner product

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \frac{\beta}{2\pi\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta})} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \phi(z) \overline{\psi(z)} e^{-|z|^\beta} dx dy \tag{2.2}$$

and the associated norm is denoted by  $\| \cdot \|$ .

Let  $m_0 = 0$ ,  $m_n = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{2n}{\beta})}$   $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $[m_n]! = m_1.m_2.\dots.m_n$  then it may be shown that the functions

$$e_0(z) = 1 \text{ and } e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{[m_n]!}}; n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.3)$$

form a complet orthonormal set in  $F_\beta$ .

Define the principal vectors  $e_\lambda \in F_\beta$  (for every  $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ ) as complex valued functions

$$e_\lambda(z) = e(z, \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(z) \overline{e_n(\lambda)}$$

If  $\phi(z) = \sum_n a_n e_n(z)$  then  $\langle \phi, e_\lambda \rangle = \phi(\lambda)$  ( the reproducing property) be-

$$\text{cause } \int_{\mathcal{C}} \sum_n a_n e_n(z) \overline{\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(z) e_n(\lambda)\right)} e^{-|z|^\beta} d\mu(z) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n(\lambda) \| e_n \| = \phi(\lambda)$$

or, in other words

$$\phi(z) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \phi(\lambda) \overline{e_\lambda(z)} e^{-|\lambda|^\beta} d\mu(\lambda) \text{ for all } \phi \in F_\beta \quad (2.4)$$

so that  $e_\lambda(z)$  is called a reproducing kernel for  $F_\beta$

Note that the reproducing kernel  $e_\lambda(z)$  is uniquely determined by the Hilbert space  $F_\beta$  and the evaluation linear functional  $\phi \in F_\beta \rightarrow \phi(z) \in \mathcal{C}$  is a bounded linear functional on  $F_\beta$ .

So applying (2.4) to the function  $e_z$  at  $\lambda$ ; we get  $e_z(\lambda) = \langle e_z; e_\lambda \rangle$  for  $z; \lambda \in \mathcal{C}$  and by the above relations, for  $z \in \mathcal{C}$  we obtain

$$\| e_z \| = \sqrt{\langle e_z, e_z \rangle} = \sqrt{e(z, z)}.$$

A systematic study of these generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces can be founded in [16] where Irac-Astaud and Rideau have constructed an deformed harmonic algebra (DHOA) on  $F_\beta$  and in [17] where Knirsch and Schneider have investigated the continuity and Schattenvon Neumann  $p$ -class membership of Hankel operators with anti-holomorphic symbols on these spaces with  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Note that the generalized Fock-Bargmann spaces  $F_\beta$  are different from the generalized Bargmann spaces  $E_m$   $m = 0, 1, \dots$  defined in [13]. It would be interesting to characterize the orthogonal space of  $F_\beta$  in  $L_2(\mathcal{C}, e^{-|z|^\beta} d\mu(z))$  for  $\beta \neq 2$ .

Now on the generalized Fock-Bargmann representation  $F_\beta$ , we denote the operator of multiplication by the independent variable  $z$  on  $F_\beta$  by :

$$M\phi(z) = z\phi(z) \text{ with domain } \mathcal{D}(M) = \{\phi \in F_\beta; z\phi \in F_\beta\} \quad (2.5)$$

The operator  $M$  acts on  $e_n(z)$  as following:

$$Me_n(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta}(n+2))}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1))}}e_{n+1}(z) \tag{2.6}$$

Then its adjoint is generalized differentiation given by :

$$De_n(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1))}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta}n)}}e_{n-1}(z) \tag{2.7}$$

and for  $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  we have  $D1 = 0$  and  $D\phi(z) = \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n m_n z^n$  where  $m_n = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta}n)}$  with domain:

$$\mathcal{D}(D) = \{\phi \in F_{\beta}; D\phi \in F_{\beta}\} \tag{2.8}$$

Note that if  $\beta = 2$  the generalized differentiation operator  $D$  is:

$$D\phi(z) = \frac{d}{dz}\phi(z) \tag{2.9}$$

Now we define a family of weighted shifts  $H_p$  acting on  $F_{\beta}$  as following

$$H_p = M^p D^{p+1} \text{ with domain } \mathcal{D}(H_p) = \{\phi \in F_{\beta}; H_p\phi \in F_{\beta}\} \tag{2.10}$$

Then we get

$$H_p^* e_n(z) = M^{p+1} D^p e_n(z) = \sqrt{m_{n+1}} \prod_{j=1}^p [m_{n-j+1}] e_{n+1}(z).$$

i.e.  $H_p^*$  is weighted shift with weight  $\omega_n = \sqrt{m_{n+1}} \prod_{j=1}^p [m_{n-j+1}]$  for  $n = 1, \dots$  and as we have denoted  $[m_n]! = m_1.m_2.....m_n$  then  $\omega_n = \sqrt{m_{n+1}} \frac{[m_n]!}{[m_{n-p}]!}$  for  $n = 1, \dots$

**Remark 2.1** (i) If  $\beta \neq 2$  and  $p = 0$  then the operator  $H_0 = D$  is particular case of Gelfond-Leontiev operator of generalized differentiation [8] on  $F_{\beta}$  and coincides with the usual differentiation on  $F_2$ .

(ii) For  $\beta = 2$ , It is known in [12] that :

(a) the operator  $H_p$  with its domain  $\mathcal{D}(H_p)$  is an operator chaotic on the classic Fock-Bargmann space.

(b)  $H_0\phi_{\lambda}(z) = \lambda\phi_{\lambda}(z) \quad \forall \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\phi_{\lambda}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{\sqrt{n!}} e_n(z)$  and

$$\|\phi_{\lambda}\|^2 = e^{|\lambda|^2}$$

(c) The function  $e^{-|\lambda|^2}\phi_{\lambda}(z)$  is called a coherent normalized quantum optics

(see [18] and [21])

(d) For  $p = 1$ , it is known that  $H_1 + H_1^*$  is a not selfadjoint operator and it is chaotic on the classic Fock-Bargmann space [7] and this operator play an essential role in Reggeon field theory (see [14] and [15])

Before to show that the operator  $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}$  with its domain  $\mathcal{D}(H_p)$  is an operator chaotic on the generalized Fock-Bargmann space  $F_\beta$ , we begin by

**Lemma 2.2** (i) Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $e_p(z) = \frac{z^p}{\sqrt{[m_p]!}}$  then  $D^m e_p(z) = 0$ ,

$\forall m \geq p + 1$

(ii) Let  $\omega_n = \sqrt{m_{n+1}} \frac{[m_n]!}{[m_{n-p}]!}$  with  $n \geq p$  and if we denote by

$\gamma_{p,n} = \omega_p \cdot \omega_{p+1} \dots \omega_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq p + 1$  and  $\gamma_{p,p} = 1$  then the function

$G_\lambda(z) = e_p(z) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-p}}{\gamma_{p,n}} e_n(z)$  is eigenfunction of  $z^p D^{p+1}$  associated to  $\lambda$  for

all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  i.e.  $z^p D^{p+1} G_\lambda(z) = \lambda G_\lambda(z) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

(iii) Let  $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{\sqrt{[m_n]!}} e_n(z)$  then  $D\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z) = \lambda \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and

we shall called it the generalized coherent state on  $F_\beta$ .

**Proof**

i) For  $p = 0$  we have  $D e_0(z) = 0$  then  $D^m e_0(z) = 0 \quad \forall m \geq 1$ .

For  $p \geq 1$  we have  $D e_p(z) = \sqrt{m_p} e_{p-1}(z)$  then

$D^p e_p(z) = \sqrt{[m_p]!} e_0(z)$  and  $D^{p+1} e_p(z) = \sqrt{[m_p]!} D e_0(z) = 0$ , in particular  $D^m e_p(z) = 0 \quad \forall m \geq p + 1$ .

ii) Let  $G_\lambda(z) = e_p(z) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-p}}{\gamma_{p,n}} e_n(z)$  with  $\gamma_{p,n} = \omega_p \cdot \omega_{p+1} \dots \omega_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq p + 1$  and  $\gamma_{p,p} = 1$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} z^p D^{p+1} G_\lambda(z) &= 0 + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-p}}{\gamma_{p,n}} z^p D^{p+1} e_n(z) = \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-p}}{\gamma_{p,n-2}} e_{n-1}(z) \\ &= \lambda \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-p}}{\gamma_{p,n-1}} e_n(z) = \lambda [e_p(z) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-p}}{\gamma_{p,n-1}} e_n(z)] \end{aligned}$$

iii) For  $p = 0$  we have  $G_\lambda(z) = \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z)$  then  $D\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z) = \lambda \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Note that for  $\lambda = 1$  the function  $\tilde{\phi}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{[m_n]!}} e_n(z)$  is a periodic point

of the operator  $D$ , i.e  $D\tilde{\phi}(z) = \tilde{\phi}(z)$ .

Let us now recall some asymptotic properties of analytic functions. They are characterized by their growth and the density of their zeros.

Let  $M(R)$  be the maximum modulus of an analytic function  $f(z)$  for  $|z| = R$ . Its growth is described by the order  $\rho$  and the type  $\sigma$ , which are defined as follows:

$$- \rho = \overline{\lim} \frac{\ln \ln M(R)}{\ln R}; \quad |z| = R \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.11}$$

$$- \sigma = \overline{\lim} \frac{\ln M(R)}{R^\rho}; \quad |z| = R \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.12}$$

These definitions imply that  $M(R) \sim e^{\sigma R^\rho}$  as  $R$  goes to infinity (here the  $\sim$  indicates that  $M(R)$  is log-asymptotic to  $e^{\sigma R^\rho}$ )

The relation (2.4) yields an important estimate for the functions in  $F_\beta$  :

$$|\phi(z)| \leq \|\phi\| e^{\frac{1}{2}|z|^\beta} \tag{2.13}.$$

or in other words,  $F_\beta$  is included in the set of analytic functions in the complex plane with order  $\rho = \beta$  and of type  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$

We shall now establish some properties on the sequence  $m_n$  and on the generalized coherent state  $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z)$

**Lemma 2.3** (i) Let  $m_0 = 0, m_n = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{2n}{\beta})}, n = 1, 2, \dots$

then  $m_n \sim (\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} n^{\frac{2}{\beta}}, n \rightarrow +\infty$

(ii) The order of  $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z)$  is  $\rho = \frac{\beta}{2}$  and its type is  $\sigma = 1$

**Proof**

(i) It is well know that  $\Gamma(x) \simeq \sqrt{2\pi}x^{x-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-x}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} m_n &\simeq \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}[\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1)]^{\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1)-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}[\frac{2}{\beta}n]^{\frac{2}{\beta}n-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{2}{\beta}n}} \simeq \left[\frac{\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1)}{\frac{2}{\beta}n}\right]^{\frac{2}{\beta}n-\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{2}{\beta}(n+1)\right]^{\frac{2}{\beta}}e^{-\frac{2}{\beta}} \\ &\simeq \left[\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n\right]^{\frac{2}{\beta}}\left[1+\frac{1}{n}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\frac{2}{\beta}\right]^{\frac{2}{\beta}}[n+1]^{\frac{2}{\beta}}e^{-\frac{2}{\beta}} \simeq \left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}n^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

Also note that the property i) can be verified by using the relation

$\frac{\Gamma(z+a)}{\Gamma(z+b)} \simeq z^{a-b}$  when  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $|\arg z| \leq \pi - \delta$

(*fixed*;  $0 < \delta < \pi$ ) for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{C}$

Consequently we have:

$$\text{Lim} \frac{m_n}{\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} n^{\frac{2}{\beta}}} = 1, n \rightarrow +\infty \quad (2.14).$$

(ii) A necessary and sufficient condition that  $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  should be an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  (see Titchmarsh in [23], p.253 or Vourdas in [24], p. 4870) is that

$$\rho = \overline{\text{Lim}} \frac{nl_n(n)}{l_n\left(\frac{1}{|a_n|}\right)}; \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.15).$$

and its type  $\sigma$  is determined by the formula

$$(\sigma e \rho)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} = \overline{\text{Lim}} \ n^{\frac{1}{\rho}} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}; \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.16).$$

To apply these results at  $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z)$  to find its order  $\rho = \frac{\beta}{2}$  and its type  $\sigma = 1$ , we begin by noting that by virtue of property (2.14) we have

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N > 0 \text{ such that } \left(\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} - \epsilon\right)n^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \leq |m_n| \leq \left(\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right)n^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

Then  $\forall n \geq N$  we have  $|m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_N| \left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} - \epsilon\right]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}} \leq$

$$|[m_n]| \leq |m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_N| \left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}$$

and

$$\frac{|\lambda^n|}{|m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_N| \left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}} \leq \frac{|\lambda^n|}{|[m_n]|} \leq \frac{|\lambda^n|}{|m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_N| \left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} - \epsilon\right]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}}$$

Now, we consider the functions :

$$1) \tilde{\phi}_1(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda^n z^n}{[m_n]!} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n z^n}{[m_N]! \left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}}$$

then by virtue of property (2.15) its order is given by:

$$\rho_1 = \overline{\text{lim}} \frac{nl_n(n)}{l_n \left|[m_N]! \left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\right|} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$



and

$$2)\tilde{\phi}_2(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda^n z^n}{[m_n]!} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n z^n}{[m_N]![(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} - \epsilon]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}}$$

then by virtue of property (2.15) its order is given by:

$$\rho_2 = \overline{\lim} \frac{nl_n(n)}{l_n | [m_N]![(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} - \epsilon]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}} |} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

The asymptotic development of Gamma function is given by Stirling formula as follows :

$$\Gamma(x) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{x}} \left(\frac{x}{e}\right)^x \left[1 + \frac{1}{12x} + \dots\dots\dots\right] \tag{2.17}$$

By virtue of this property (2.17) we get  $\Gamma(n + 1) \simeq \left[\frac{n}{e}\right]^n \sqrt{2\pi n}$  and

$$l_n[(n!)^\alpha] \simeq \alpha n l_n \left[\frac{n}{e} (2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2n}}\right] \tag{2.18}$$

$\alpha = \frac{2}{\beta}$  which operates in the explicit calculation of  $\rho_1$  or  $\rho_2$

For other asymptotic expansions for the Gamma function, we can see the recent work of Nemes in [20 ].

Now as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \overline{\lim} \frac{nl_n(n)}{l_n | [m_N]![(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon]^{n-N} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}} |} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \\ &= \overline{\lim} \frac{nl_n(n)}{l_n \left(\frac{[m_N]!}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\right) + (n-N)l_n\left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right] + \frac{2}{\beta}l_n(n!)} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \\ &= \overline{\lim} \frac{nl_n(n)}{l_n \left(\frac{[m_N]!}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\right) - Nl_n\left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right] + nl_n\left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right] + \frac{2}{\beta}l_n(n!)} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

then by virtue of the property (2.18) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \overline{\lim} \frac{nl_n(n)}{l_n \left(\frac{[m_N]!}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\right) - Nl_n\left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right] + nl_n\left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right] + n\frac{2}{\beta}l_n\left(\frac{n}{e} \sqrt[2n]{2\pi n}\right)} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \\ &= \overline{\lim} \frac{nl_n(n)}{l_n \left(\frac{[m_N]!}{(N!)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}\right) - Nl_n\left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right] + nl_n\left[\left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon\right] + n\frac{2}{\beta}\left[l_n\left(\frac{n}{e}\right) + l_n \sqrt[2n]{2\pi n}\right]} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \overline{\lim} \frac{l_n(n)}{\frac{1}{n} [l_n(\frac{[m_N!]}{2}) - N l_n((\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon)] + l_n((\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon) + \frac{2}{\beta} l_n(n) - \frac{2}{\beta} + \frac{2}{\beta} l_n(\sqrt[2n]{2\pi n})} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \\
 &= \frac{\beta}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the order of  $\tilde{\phi}_1(z)$  is  $\rho_1 = \frac{\beta}{2}$

By taking  $[(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} - \epsilon]$  in above calculation, we deduce that the order of  $\tilde{\phi}_2(z)$  is  $\rho_2 = \frac{\beta}{2}$  and as  $\rho_1 \leq \rho \leq \rho_2$  we get the order of  $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z), \rho = \frac{\beta}{2}$

To obtain the type  $\sigma$  of  $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda(z)$ , we apply the property (2.16) for the function  $\tilde{\phi}_1(z)$  of order  $\rho_2 = \frac{\beta}{2}$  then its type  $\sigma_1$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\sigma_1 e^{\frac{\beta}{2}})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} &= \overline{\lim} \frac{n^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{\sqrt[n]{[m_N]! [(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon]^{n-N} \frac{n!^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{N!^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \\
 &= \overline{\lim} \frac{n^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{((\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon) \sqrt[n]{\frac{[m_N]!}{((\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon)^N N!^{\frac{2}{\beta}}} n!^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\gamma = \sqrt[n]{\frac{[m_N]!}{((\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon)^N N!^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}}$  then  $(\sigma_1 e^{\frac{\beta}{2}})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} = \overline{\lim} \frac{n^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{((\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon) \gamma \sqrt[n]{n!^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$

As  $n!^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \simeq [\frac{n}{e}(2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2n}}]^{\frac{2n}{\beta}}$ , then  $\sqrt[n]{n!^{\frac{2}{\beta}}} \simeq n^{\frac{2}{\beta}} (\frac{1}{e})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} (\sqrt[2n]{2\pi n})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \simeq \frac{e^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{n^{\frac{2}{\beta}} (2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{n\beta}}}$

Then  $\sigma_1^{\frac{2}{\beta}} = [\frac{\frac{2}{\beta}}{\frac{2}{\beta} + \epsilon}]^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \overline{\lim} \frac{1}{\gamma (2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{n\beta}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular we have

$$\sigma_1 \leq \frac{\frac{2}{\beta}}{\sqrt[\frac{2}{\beta}]{(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma \geq \frac{\frac{2}{\beta}}{\sqrt[\frac{2}{\beta}]{(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon}}.$$

Now by using the calculation of type  $\sigma_2$  we deduce that

$$\frac{\frac{2}{\beta}}{\sqrt[\frac{2}{\beta}]{(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \epsilon}} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\frac{2}{\beta}}{\sqrt[\frac{2}{\beta}]{(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}} - \epsilon}} \quad \forall \quad \epsilon > 0$$

Consequently we have  $\sigma = \frac{\frac{2}{\beta}}{\sqrt[\frac{2}{\beta}]{(\frac{2}{\beta})^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}} = 1$ .

### 3 Chaoticity of the operator $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}$ on generalized Fock-Bargmann space $F_\beta$

The operator  $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}$  is an unbounded operator on generalized Fock-Bargmann space  $F_\beta$ . Consider the subset  $\mathcal{P} \subset F_\beta$  consisting of all polynomials which is dense in  $F_\beta$  and it is included in the domain of  $H_p$ . Thus it is densely defined.

Now we recall a sufficient condition for the hypercyclicity of an unbounded operator given by *Bès – Chan – Seubert* theorem

**Theorem 3.1** (*Bès-Chan-Seubert* [5]) *Let  $X$  be a separable infinite dimensional Banach and let  $T$  be a densely defined linear operator on  $X$ . Then  $T$  is hypercyclic if*

- i)  $T^m$  is closed operator for all positive integers  $m$ .*
- ii) There exist a dense subset  $Y$  of the domain  $D(T)$  of  $T$  and a (possibly nonlinear and discontinuous) mapping  $S : Y \rightarrow Y$  so that  $TS = I_Y$  ( $I_Y$  is identity on  $Y$ ) and  $T^n, S^n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise on  $Y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Let us now formulate and prove the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $F_\beta$  be the generalized Fock-Bargmann space with orthonormal basis  $e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{[m_n]!}}$  and  $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}$  with domain*

$$\mathcal{D}(H_p) = \{\phi \in F_\beta; H_p \phi \in F_\beta\}$$

*Then  $H_p$  is chaotic operator in  $F_\beta$ .*

We present the proof of chaoticity of  $H_p$  (i.e.  $H_p$  satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of Definition (1.1)) under lemmas form.

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $H_p e_n = \omega_{n-1} e_{n-1}$  where  $e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{[m_n]!}}$  and  $\omega_n = \sqrt{m_{n+1}} \frac{[m_n]!}{[m_{(n-p)}]!}$  for  $n \geq p \geq 0$ , then for each positive integer  $m$ , the operator  $(H_p)^m$ , with domain  $D((H_p)^m) = \{\phi \in F_\beta; (H_p)^m \phi \in F_\beta\}$ , is a closed operator*

**Proof**

As  $(H_p)^m$  is closed if and only if the graph  $G((H_p)^m)$  is closed linear manifold

of  $F_\beta \times F_\beta$  then let  $(\phi_n, (H_p)^m \phi_n)$  be a sequence in  $G((H_p)^m)$  which converges to  $(\phi, \psi)$  in  $F_\beta \times F_\beta$ .

As  $\phi_n$  converges to  $\phi$  in  $F_\beta$  then  $z^p D^{p+1} \phi_n$  converges to  $z^p D^{p+1} \phi$  pointwise on  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $(H_p)^m \phi_n$  converges to  $(H_p)^m \phi$  pointwise on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

As  $(H_p)^m \phi_n$  converges to  $\psi$  we deduce that  $(H_p)^m \phi = \psi$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{ID}((H_p)^m)$  hence the  $G((H_p)^m)$  is closed.

**Remark 3.4** *The above proof of the closeness of the operator  $(H_p)^m$  is analogous to proof of lemma (2.3) from [5] or lemma (2.5) from [12]*

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}$  with domain  $\mathcal{ID}(H_p) = \{\phi \in F_\beta; H_p \phi \in F_\beta\}$  where  $H_p e_n = \omega_{n-1} e_{n-1}$ ,  $e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{[m_n]!}}$  and  $\omega_n = \sqrt{m_{n+1}} \frac{[m_n]!}{[m_{(n-p)}]!}$  for  $n \geq p \geq 0$   
Then  $H_p$  is hypercyclic.*

**Proof**

Let  $Y = \{\phi_k(z) = \sum_{n=p}^k a_n e_n(z)\}$  This space is dense in  $F_\beta$ .

Let  $S_p : Y \rightarrow Y$  and  $S_p e_n = \frac{1}{\omega_n} e_{n+1}; n \geq p \geq 0$ . Then  $H_p S_p \phi_k(z) = \phi_k(z)$ , i.e  $H_p S_p = I_Y$ .

By virtue of the property i) of lemma (1.1)  $[H_p]^k e_n = 0$  for all  $k > n \geq p$  then we deduce that any element of  $Y$  can be annihilated by a finite power  $k_n$  of  $H_p$  since as  $[\prod_{j=n}^{k_n+n} \omega_j]^{-1} \rightarrow 0; k_n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$S_p^{k_n} e_n = [\prod_{j=n}^{k_n+n} \omega_j]^{-1} e_{k+n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } F_\beta.$$

Now the hypercyclicity of  $H_p$  follows from the theorem of Bès and al. recalled above.

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $H_p = z^p D^{p+1}$  with domain  $\mathcal{ID}(H_p) = \{\phi \in F_\beta; H_p \phi \in F_\beta\}$  where  $H_p e_n = \omega_{n-1} e_{n-1}$ ,  $e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{[m_n]!}}$  and  $\omega_n = \sqrt{n+1} \frac{[m_n]!}{[m_{(n-p)}]!}$  for  $n \geq p \geq 0$   
Then there exist  $k > 0$  and  $g \in \mathcal{ID}(H_p^k)$  such that  $H_p^k g(z) = g(z)$ .*

**Proof**

Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{T}$  and

$$G_\lambda(z) = e_p(z) + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-p}}{\omega_p \omega_{p+1} \dots \omega_{n-1}} \cdot e_n(z) \tag{3.1}$$

then by virtue of lemma (2.1)  $G_\lambda$  is an generalized eigenvector for  $H_p$  corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda$  so it is therefore a periodic point of  $H_p$  where  $\lambda$  is a root of unity.

We will check that  $G_\lambda$  is in the domain of  $H_p$ . In fact let  $r > 0$  and  $|\lambda| < r$ . As  $m_n \rightarrow \infty; n \rightarrow \infty$  then

$$\lim \prod_{j=p}^{n-1} \omega_j = \infty ; n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}$$

and there exist  $n_0 > 0$  and  $q < 1$  such that

$$\frac{r}{(\omega_p \omega_{p+1} \dots \omega_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}} \leq q \text{ for } n \geq n_0 \tag{3.3}$$

Since for  $|\lambda| < r$  we have

$$\frac{|\lambda|^{(n-p)}}{(\omega_p \omega_{p+1} \dots \omega_{n-1})^2} \leq q^{2n}; n \geq n_0 \tag{3.4}$$

and  $G_\lambda$  is in generalized Fock-Bargmann space.

Now as

$$\langle G_\lambda, e_p \rangle = 1 \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\langle G_\lambda, e_{n+1} \rangle = \frac{\lambda^{n-p+1}}{\omega_p \omega_{p+1} \dots \omega_n} \tag{3.6}$$

we get

$$|\langle G_\lambda, e_{n+1} \rangle|^2 = \frac{\lambda^{2(n-p+1)}}{(\omega_p \omega_{p+1} \dots \omega_n)^2} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$|\langle G_\lambda, e_{n+1} \rangle|^2 (\omega_n)^2 = \frac{\lambda^{2(n-p+1)}}{(\omega_p \omega_{p+1} \dots \omega_{n-1})^2} \leq q^{2n} |\lambda|^2 \text{ for } n \geq n_0 \quad (3.8)$$

we result that

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |\langle G_\lambda, e_{n+1} \rangle|^2 (\omega_n)^2 < \infty \quad (3.9)$$

i.e.  $G_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(H_p)$ .

Let us prove that operator  $H_p$  satisfies the condition (2) of the definition (1.1)

**Lemma 3.7** *The set of periodic points of  $H_p$  is dense in  $F_\beta$ .*

**Proof**

let  $\lambda_{k,m} = e^{\frac{2ik\pi}{m}}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$  is a root of unity and  $G = \text{Span}\{G_{\lambda_{k,m}}(z)\}$

By virtue of the property (2.4), we deduce that the system  $G_{\lambda_{k,m}}$  is complete in  $F_\beta$  and the linear span  $G$  of this system is dense in  $F_\beta$ .

Or for a direct proof, we assume that there exist a nonzero vector  $g \in F_\beta$  which is orthogonal to  $G$ .

Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} b_n e_n(z) \quad (3.10)$$

such that

$$\langle g, G_\lambda \rangle = 0 \text{ for each } G_\lambda \in G \quad (3.11).$$

and

$$\phi(\lambda) = \langle g, g_\lambda \rangle \text{ for } |\lambda| < 1 \text{ and } \phi(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for } |\lambda| = 1 \quad (3.12)$$

$\phi(\lambda)$  is continuous function on the closed units disc that is holomorphic on the interior.  $\phi(\lambda)$  vanishes at each root of unity, hence on the entire unit circle hence  $\phi(\lambda)$  vanishes for all  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ .

We deduce that  $b_n = 0$  for  $n \geq p$  then  $G$  is dense in  $F_\beta$ .

**Remark 3.8** *The lemmas (3.3), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) show the chaoticity of  $H_p$ .*

We conclude that main results of this work can be considered as a generalization of the result in [12] on operator  $H_p$  acting in classic Fock-Bargmann space  $F_2$ .

## References

- [1] S.I. Ansari, Hypercyclic and cyclic vectors. *J. Funct. Anal.* 128(2), 374-383 (1995)
- [2] J. Banks, J. Brooks, G.Cairns, G. Davis and P.Stacey, On Devaney's definition of chaos, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 99 , (1992)
- [3] V. Bargmann, On Hilbert space of analytic functions and associated integral transform, Part I, *Commun. Pure App. Math.*, 14, (1961) 187-214
- [4] T. Bermudez, A. Bonilla and J. L. Torrea, chaotic behavior of the Riesz transforms for Hermite expansions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 337, (2008)
- [5] J. Bès, K. Chan and S. Seubert, Chaotic unbounded differentiation operators, *Integral Equations Operators Theory*, 40, (2001)
- [6] G. D. Birkhoff, Démonstration d'un théorème élémentaire sur les fonctions entières, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 189, (1929)
- [7] A. Decarreau, H. Emamirad, A. Intissar, Chaoticité de l'opérateur de Gribov dans l'espace de Bargmann, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* , 331,(2000)
- [8] A.O. Gelfond and A.F.Leontiev , *Mat. Sb.* 29 (3), 477-500, (1951))
- [9] K.G. Grosse-Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36, (1999)
- [10] K.G. Grosse-Erdmann, Hypercyclic and chaotic weighted shifts, *Studia Math.* 139, (2000)
- [11] A. Gulisashvili and C. MacCluer, linear chaos in the unforced quantum harmonic oscillator, *J. Dyn. Syst. Meeas, Control*, 118, (1996)
- [12] A. Intissar, On a chaotic weighted shift  $z^p \frac{d^{p+1}}{dz^{p+1}}$  of order  $p$  in Bargmann space, *Advances in Mathematical Physics*,(2011)
- [13] A. Intissar, An elementary construction on nonlinear coherent states associated to generalized Bargmann spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, (2010)

- [14] A. Intissar, Analyse de scattering d'un opérateur cubique de Heun dans l'espace de Bargmann, *Comm. Math.Phys.* 199, (1998)
- [15] A. Intissar, Approximation of semigroup generated by the Hamiltonian of Reggeon field theory in Bargmann space, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 305, (2005)
- [16] M. Irac-Astaud and G. Rideau, From Bargmann representations to deformed harmonic oscillator algebras, *Reports on mathematical physics*, Vol. 43, No 112, (1999)
- [17] W. Knirsch, G. Schneider , Continuity and Schatten-Von Neumann  $p$ -class membership of Hankel operators with anti-holomorphic symbols on/ *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 320, (2006) 403414
- [18] J.R. Klauder, E.C.G. Sudarshan, *Fundamentals of quantum optics*, New-York, Amsterdam, (1968)
- [19] G. R. Maclane, Sequences of derivatives and normal families, *J. Anal. Math.* 2, (1952)
- [20] G. Nemes, New asymptotic expansion for the Gamma function, *Arch. Math.* 95 (2010), 161-169
- [21] J. Perina, *Quantum statistics of linear optical phenomena*, Reidel P. Comp. Boston Lancaster, (1984)
- [22] H.N. Salas, Pathological hypercyclic operators, *Arch. Math.* 86, (2006)
- [23] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, Oxford Sciences Publications, Second Edition, (1991).
- [24] A Vourdas, The growth of Bargmann functions and the completeness of sequences of coherent states, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 30, (1997) 4867-4876.

**Received: July, 2013**