

# Pairs of implications induced by pseudo t-conorms

Yong Chan Kim

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University,  
Gangneung, Gangwondo 210-702, Korea  
yck@gwnu.ac.kr

## Abstract

In this paper, we construct pseudo t-norms and pairs of implications induced by pseudo t-norms and pairs of negations. Moreover, we investigate their properties and give examples.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 03E72, 03G10, 06A15, 06F07

## Keywords:

pseudo t-norms, pseudo t-conorms, pairs of negations, pairs of implications

## 1 Introduction

Georgescu and Popescue [1-4] introduced pseudo t-norms and generalized residuated lattices in a sense as non-commutative property. This concept provides tools for pseudo BL-algebras and pseudo MV-algebras. Kim [7] introduced pairs of (interval) negations and (interval) implications. which are induced by non-commutative property. Let  $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \top, \perp)$  be a complete generalized residuated lattice with the law of double negation defined as  $a = n_1(n_2(a)) = n_2(n_1(a))$  where  $n_1(a) = a \Rightarrow \perp$  and  $n_2(a) = a \rightarrow \perp$  (ref. [1-4,8]). We consider a pair of two implications defined by  $a \Rightarrow b = \bigvee\{c \mid a \odot c \leq b\}$  and  $a \rightarrow b = \bigvee\{c \mid c \odot a \leq b\}$ . Moreover, we consider a pair of two negations defined by  $a \Rightarrow \perp$  and  $a \rightarrow \perp$ .

In this paper, we construct pseudo t-norms and pairs of implications induced by pseudo t-norms and pairs of negations. Moreover, we investigate their properties and give examples.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we assume that  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$  is a bounded lattice with a bottom element  $\perp$  and a top element  $\top$ . Moreover, we define the following definitions in a sense as non-commutative [1-4, 7].

**Definition 2.1** [1,2] A map  $T : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is called a *pseudo t-norm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (T1)  $T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ ,
- (T2) If  $y \leq z$ ,  $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$  and  $T(y, x) \leq T(z, x)$ ,
- (T3)  $T(x, \top) = T(\top, x) = x$ .

A pseudo t-norm is called a *t-norm* if  $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$  for  $x, y \in L$

A map  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is called a *pseudo t-conorm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (S1)  $S(x, S(y, z)) = S(S(x, y), z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ ,
- (S2) If  $y \leq z$ ,  $S(x, y) \leq S(x, z)$  and  $S(y, x) \leq S(z, x)$ ,
- (S3)  $S(x, \perp) = S(\perp, x) = x$ .

A pseudo t-conorm is called a *t-conorm* if  $S(x, y) = S(y, x)$  for  $x, y \in L$ .

**Definition 2.2** [7] A pair  $(n_1, n_2)$  with maps  $n_i : L \rightarrow L$  is called a *pair of negations* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (N1)  $n_i(\top) = \perp, n_i(\perp) = \top$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .
- (N2)  $n_i(x) \geq n_i(y)$  for  $x \leq y$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .
- (N3)  $n_1(n_2(x)) = n_2(n_1(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in L$ .

**Definition 2.3** [7] A pair  $(I_1, I_2)$  with maps  $I_1, I_2 : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is called a *pair of implications* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (I1)  $I_i(\top, \top) = I_i(\perp, \top) = I_i(\perp, \perp) = \top, I_i(\top, \perp) = \perp$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .
- (I2) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $I_i(x, z) \geq I_i(y, z)$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .
- (I3)  $I_i(\top, x) = x$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

A pair  $(I_1, I_2)$  of implications is called a *pair of E-implications* if it satisfies the following exchange properties:

- (E)  $I_1(x, I_2(y, z)) = I_2(y, I_1(x, z))$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

A pair  $(I_1, I_2)$  of implications is called a *pair of S-implications* if it satisfies the following strong properties:

- (S)  $I_1(I_2(x, \perp), \perp) = I_2(I_1(x, \perp), \perp) = x$ .

A pair  $(I_1, I_2)$  of implications is called a *pair of SE-implications* if it satisfies conditions (E) and (S).

### 3 Pairs of implications induced by pseudo t-conorms

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp)$  be a bounded lattice,  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  be a pseudo t-conorm and  $(n_1, n_2)$  a pair of negations. We define  $S^t, T_{12}, T_{21}, T_{12}^t, T_{21}^t : L \times L \rightarrow L$

$$S^t(x, y) = S(y, x),$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12}(x, y) &= n_1(S(n_2(x), n_2(y))) \\ T_{21}(x, y) &= n_2(S(n_1(x), n_1(y))) \\ T_k^t(x, y) &= T_k(y, x), \quad k \in \{12, 21\} \end{aligned}$$

The the following properties hold.

- (1)  $S^t$  is a pseudo t-conorms.
- (2)  $T_{12}, T_{21}, T_{12}^t, T_{21}^t$  are pseudo t-norms.
- (3)  $T_{12} = T_{21}$  iff  $T_{12}^t = T_{21}^t$  iff

$$S(x, y) = n_2 n_2(S(n_1(n_1(x)), n_1(n_1(x))))$$

- (4) If  $n_1 = n_2$ , then  $T_{12} = T_{21}$  and  $T_{12}^t = T_{21}^t$ .

**Proof** (1) (S1)  $S^t(S^t(x, y), z) = S^t(x, S^t(y, z))$  from

$$\begin{aligned} S^t(S^t(x, y), z) &= S^t(S(y, x), z) = S(z, S(y, x)), \\ &= S^t(x, S^t(y, z)) = S^t(x, S(z, y)) = S(S(z, y), x). \end{aligned}$$

(S2)  $S^t(x, \perp) = S(\perp, x) = x$ . Similarly,  $S^t(\perp, x) = S(x, \perp) = x$ .

(S3) If  $x \leq z$  and  $y \leq w$ , then

$$S^t(x, y) = S(y, x) \leq S(w, z) = S^t(z, w).$$

Hence  $S^t$  is a pseudo t-conorms.

(2) (T1)  $T_{12}(T_{12}(x, y), z) = T_{12}(x, T_{12}(y, z))$  from

$$\begin{aligned} &T_{12}(T_{12}(x, y), z) \\ &= n_1(S(n_2 T_{12}(x, y), n_2(z))) \\ &= n_1(S(n_2(n_1(S(n_2(x), n_2(y))))), n_2(z))) \\ &= n_1(S(S(n_2(x), n_2(y)), n_2(z))) \\ &= n_1(S(n_2(x), S(n_2(y), n_2(z))))), \\ &T_{12}(x, T_{12}(y, z)) \\ &= n_1(S(n_2(x), n_2(T_{12}(y, z)))) \\ &= n_1(S(n_2(x), n_2(n_1(S(n_2(y), n_2(z)))))) \\ &= n_1(S(n_2(x), S(n_2(y), n_2(z)))). \end{aligned}$$

(T2)  $T_{12}(x, \top) = n_1(S(n_2(x), n_2(\top))) = n_1(n_2(x)) = x$  and  $T_{12}(\top, x) = x$ .

(T3) If  $x \leq z$  and  $y \leq w$ , then  $T(x, y) \leq T(z, w)$ .

Hence  $T_{12}$  is a pseudo t-conorm. Similarly,  $T_{21}, T_{12}^t, T_{21}^t$  are pseudo t-norms.

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12}(x, y) &= \mathcal{T}_{21}(x, y) \\ \text{iff } n_1(S(n_2(x), n_2(y))) &= n_2(S(n_1(x), n_1(y))) \\ \text{iff } S(n_2(x), n_2(y)) &= n_2(n_2(S(n_1(x), n_1(y)))) \\ \text{iff } S(x, y) &= n_2(n_2(S(n_1(n_1(x)), n_1(n_1(y)))))) \\ \text{iff } T_{12}^t(x, y) &= \mathcal{T}_{21}^t(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

(4) By (3), since  $n_2 \circ n_2 = n_1 \circ n_1 = id_L$ , it is trivial.

**Example 3.2** Put  $L = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid (0, 1) \leq (x, y) \leq (2, 3)\}$  where  $(0, 1)$  is the bottom element and  $(2, 3)$  is the top element where

$$(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2) \Leftrightarrow y_1 < y_2 \text{ or } y_1 = y_2, x_1 \leq x_2.$$

(1) A map  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is defined as

$$S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (x_2 + x_1y_2, y_1y_2) \wedge (2, 3).$$

(S1)  $S(S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)), (x_3, y_3)) = S((x_1, y_1), S((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)))$  from:

$$\begin{aligned} & S(S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)), (x_3, y_3)) \\ &= S((x_2 + x_1y_2, y_1y_2) \wedge (2, 3), (x_3, y_3)) \\ &= (x_3 + x_2y_3 + x_1y_2y_3, y_1y_2y_3) \wedge (2, 3). \\ & S((x_1, y_1), S((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3))) \\ &= S((x_1, y_1), (x_3 + x_2y_3, y_2y_3) \wedge (2, 3)) \\ &= (x_3 + x_2y_3 + x_1y_2y_3, y_1y_2y_3) \wedge (2, 3). \end{aligned}$$

(S2) If  $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ , then  $y_1 < y_2$  or  $y_1 = y_2, x_1 \leq x_2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S((x_1, y_1), (x_3, y_3)) &= (x_3 + x_1y_3, y_1y_3) \wedge (2, 3) \\ &\leq (x_3 + x_2y_3, y_2y_3) \wedge (2, 3) = S((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)). \end{aligned}$$

(S3)

$$S((x_1, y_1), (0, 1)) = (x_1, y_1) = S((0, 1), (x_1, y_1)).$$

Then  $S$  is a pseudo t-conorm but not t-conorm because

$$(2, 2) = S((-1, 2), (3, 1)) \neq S((3, 1), (-1, 2)) = (5, 2).$$

(2) We define a pair  $(n_1, n_2)$  as follows

$$n_1(x, y) = (2 - \frac{3x}{y}, \frac{3}{y}), \quad n_2(x, y) = (\frac{2-x}{y}, \frac{3}{y}).$$

Then  $(n_1, n_2)$  is a pair of negations from:

$$n_1(n_2(x, y)) = (x, y), \quad n_2(n_1(x, y)) = (x, y).$$

(3) By Theorem 3.1(2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_1S(n_2(x_1, y_1), n_2(x_2, y_2)) \\ &= n_1S((\frac{2-x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1}), (\frac{2-x_2}{y_2}, \frac{3}{y_2})) = n_1(\frac{2-x_2}{y_2} + \frac{3(2-x_1)}{y_1y_2}, \frac{9}{y_1y_2}) \vee (0, 1) \\ &= (x_1 - \frac{2}{3}y_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_2y_1, \frac{1}{3}y_1y_2) \vee (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{21}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_2S(n_1(x_1, y_1), n_1(x_2, y_2)) \\ &= n_2S((2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1}), (2 - \frac{3x_2}{y_2}, \frac{3}{y_2})) = n_2(2 - \frac{3x_2}{y_2} - (2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1})\frac{3}{y_2}, \frac{3}{y_1y_2}) \vee (0, 1) \\ &= (x_1 - \frac{2}{3}y_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_2y_1, \frac{1}{3}y_1y_2) \vee (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Since

$$n_1(n_1(x, y)) = (3x - 2y + 2, y), \quad n_2(n_2(x, y)) = \left(\frac{x + 2y - 2}{3}, y\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & n_2(n_2(T(n_1(n_1(x_1, y_1)), n_1(n_1(x_2, y_2)))))) \\ &= n_2(n_2(T((3x_1 - 2y_1 + 2, y_1), (3x_2 - 2y_2 + 2, y_2)))) \\ &= n_2(n_2\left(\left(\frac{1}{3}(3x_1 - 2y_1 + x_2y_1), \frac{1}{3}y_1y_2\right)\right) \vee (0, 1)) \\ &= \left(x_1 - \frac{2}{3}y_1 + \frac{1}{3}x_2y_1, \frac{1}{3}y_1y_2\right) \vee (0, 1) \\ &= T((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1 (3),  $T_{12} = T_{21}$  and  $T_{12}^t = T_{21}^t$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp)$  be a bounded lattice,  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  be a pseudo  $t$ -conorm and  $(n_1, n_2)$  a pair of negations. For  $i = \{1, \dots, 4\}$ , we define  $I_i : L \times L \rightarrow L$  as follows;*

$$I_1(x, y) = S(n_1(x), y), \quad I_2(x, y) = S(y, n_2(x)),$$

$$I_3(x, y) = S(y, n_1(x)), \quad I_4(x, y) = S(n_2(x), y).$$

The the following properties hold.

(1)  $(I_1, I_2)$  is a pair of  $SE$ -implications with

$$I_1(T_{21}(x, y), z) = I_1(x, I_1(y, z)),$$

$$I_2(T_{12}(x, y), z) = I_2(y, I_2(x, z)).$$

(2) If  $x \leq y$  iff  $I_1(x, y) = \top$  iff  $I_2(x, y) = \top$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12}(x, y) \leq z & \text{ iff } y \leq I_2(x, z) \\ & \text{ iff } x \leq I_1(y, z) \text{ iff } T_{21}(x, y) \leq z. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $T_{12}(x, y) = T_{21}(x, y)$ .

(3)  $(I_3, I_4)$  is a pair of  $SE$ -implications with

$$I_3(T_{21}(x, y), z) = I_3(x, I_3(y, z)),$$

$$I_4(T_{12}(x, y), z) = I_4(y, I_4(x, z)).$$

(4) If  $x \leq y$  iff  $I_3(x, y) = \top$  iff  $I_4(x, y) = \top$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12}(x, y) \leq z & \text{ iff } y \leq I_4(x, z) \\ & \text{ iff } x \leq I_3(y, z) \text{ iff } T_{21}(x, y) \leq z. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $T_{12}(x, y) = T_{21}(x, y)$ .

(5)  $(I_1, I_3)$  is a pair of  $E$ -implications such that

$$I_1(T_{21}(x, y), z) = I_1(x, I_1(y, z)),$$

$$I_3(T_{21}(x, y), z) = I_3(x, I_3(y, z)),$$

$$I_1(I_3(x, \perp), \perp) = I_3(I_1(x, \perp), \perp) = n_1 n_1(x).$$

(6) If  $x \leq y$  iff  $I_1(x, y) = \top$  iff  $I_3(x, y) = \top$ , then

$$T_{21}(x, y) \leq z \text{ iff } x \leq I_1(y, z) \text{ iff } x \leq I_3(y, z).$$

(7)  $(I_2, I_4)$  is a pair of  $E$ -implications such that

$$I_2(T_{12}(x, y), z) = I_2(y, I_2(x, z)),$$

$$I_4(T_{12}(x, y), z) = I_4(y, I_4(x, z)),$$

$$I_2(I_4(x, \perp), \perp) = I_4(I_2(x, \perp), \perp) = n_2 n_2(x).$$

(8) If  $x \leq y$  iff  $I_2(x, y) = \top$  iff  $I_4(x, y) = \top$ , then

$$T_{12}(x, y) \leq z \text{ iff } y \leq I_4(x, z) \text{ iff } y \leq I_2(x, z).$$

(9)  $(I_1, I_4)$  is a pair of  $S$ -implications such that

$$I_1(T_{21}(x, y), z) = I_1(x, I_1(y, z)),$$

$$I_4(T_{12}(x, y), z) = I_4(y, I_4(x, z)).$$

(10) If  $S(n_1(x), S(n_2(y), z)) = S(n_2(y), S(n_1(x), z))$ , then  $(I_1, I_4)$  is a pair of  $SE$ -implications.

(11)  $(I_2, I_3)$  is a pair of  $S$ -implications such that

$$I_2(T_{12}(x, y), z) = I_2(y, I_2(x, z)),$$

$$I_3(T_{21}(x, y), z) = I_3(x, I_3(y, z)).$$

(12) If  $S(S(x, n_1(y)), n_2(z)) = S(S(x, n_2(z)), n_1(y))$ , then  $(I_2, I_3)$  is a pair of  $SE$ -implications.

**Proof** (1) (I1)  $I_i(\top, \top) = I_i(\perp, \top) = I_i(\perp, \perp) = \top$  and  $I_i(\top, \perp) = \perp$  for  $i = \{1, 2\}$ .

(I2) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $n_i(x) \geq n_i(y)$ . Hence  $I_i(x, z) \geq I_i(y, z)$  for  $i = \{1, 2\}$ .

(I3)  $I_1(\top, x) = S(n_1(\top), x) = x$  and  $I_2(\top, x) = S(x, n_2(\top)) = x$ .

(E)

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, I_2(y, z)) &= S(n_1(x), I_2(y, z)) \\ &= S(n_1(x), S(z, n_2(y))) = S(S(n_1(x), z), n_2(y)) \\ &= S((I_1(x, z)), n_2(y)) = I_2(y, I_1(x, z)). \end{aligned}$$

(S)  $I_1(x, \perp) = S(n_1(x), \perp) = n_1(x)$  and  $I_2(x, \perp) = S(\perp, n_2(x)) = n_2(x)$ . Moreover,  $I_2(I_1(x, \perp), \perp) = n_2(n_1(x)) = x$  and  $I_1(I_2(x, \perp), \perp) = n_1(n_2(x)) = x$ . Hence  $(I_1, I_2)$  is a pair of  $SE$ -implications. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(T_{21}(x, y), z) &= S(n_1((T_{21}(x, y))), z) \\ &= S(n_1(n_2 S(n_1(x), n_1(y)))) , z) \\ &= S(S(n_1(x), n_1(y)), z) = S(n_1(x), S(n_1(y), z)) \\ &= S(n_1(x), I_1(y, z)) = I_1(x, I_1(y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(T_{12}(x, y), z) &= S(z, n_2(T_{12}(x, y))) \\ &= S(z, n_2(n_1(S(n_2(x), n_2(y)))))) \\ &= S(z, S(n_2(x), n_2(y))) = S(S(z, n_2(x)), n_2(y)) \\ &= S(I_2(x, z), n_1(y)) = I_2(y, I_2(x, z)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Since  $x \leq y$  iff  $I_1(x, y) = \top$  iff  $I_2(x, y) = \top$ , by (1), then

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(T_{12}(x, y), z) &= I_2(y, I_2(x, z)) = \top \\ \text{iff } T_{12}(x, y) &\leq z \text{ iff } y \leq I_2(x, z) \\ \text{iff } \top &= I_1(y, I_2(x, z)) = I_2(x, I_1(y, z)) \\ \text{iff } x &\leq I_1(y, z) \text{ iff } \top = I_1(x, I_1(y, z)) = I_1(T_{21}(x, y), z) \\ \text{iff } T_{21}(x, y) &\leq z \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_{12}(x, y) \leq z$  iff  $T_{21}(x, y) \leq z$ , then  $T_{12}(x, y) = T_{21}(x, y)$ .

(3) First, we show that  $(I_1, I_2)$  is a pair of  $SE$ -implications. We only show the conditions (E) and (S) because other cases are easily proved.

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(x, I_4(y, z)) &= S(I_4(y, z), n_1(x)) \\ &= S(S(n_2(y), z), n_1(x)) = S(n_2(y), S(z, n_1(x))) \\ &= S(n_2(y), I_3(x, z)) = I_4(y, I_3(x, z)). \end{aligned}$$

$I_3(x, \perp) = S(\perp, n_1(x)) = n_1(x)$  and  $I_4(x, \perp) = S(n_2(x), \perp) = n_2(x)$ . Moreover,  $I_4(I_3(x, \perp), \perp) = n_2(n_1(x)) = x$  and  $I_3(I_4(x, \perp), \perp) = n_1(n_2(x)) = x$ . Second, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(T_{21}(x, y), y) &= S(z, n_1(T_{21}(x, y))) \\ &= S(x, n_1(n_2(S(n_1(x), n_1(y)))))) \\ &= S(z, S(n_1(x), n_1(y))) = S(S(z, n_1(x)), n_1(y)) \\ &= S(I_3(x, z), n_1(y)) = I_3(y, I_3(x, z)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_4(T_{12}(x, y), z) &= S(n_2((T_{12}(x, y))), z) \\ &= S(n_2(n_1(S(n_2(x), n_2(y))))), z) \\ &= S(S(n_2(x), n_2(y)), z) = S(n_2(x), S(n_2(y), z)) \\ &= S(n_2(x), I_4(y, z)) = I_4(x, I_4(y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

(4) It is similarly proved as (2).

(5) We only show the condition (E) because other cases are easily proved.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, I_3(y, z)) &= I_1(x, S(z, n_1(y))) \\ &= S(n_1(x), S(z, n_1(y))), \\ I_3(y, I_1(x, z)) &= I_3(x, S(n_1(y), z)) \\ &= S(S(n_1(x), z), n_1(y)). \end{aligned}$$

By (1) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(T_{21}(x, y), z) &= I_1(x, I_1(y, z)), \\ I_3(T_{21}(x, y), z) &= I_3(x, I_5(y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

(6) It is easily proved from (5) and (2).

(7) We only show the condition (E) because other cases are easily proved.

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, I_4(y, z)) &= I_2(x, S(n_2(y, z))) \\ &= S(S(n_2(y, z)), n_2(x)), \\ I_4(y, I_2(x, z)) &= I_4(y, S(z, n_2(x))) \\ &= S(n_2(y), S(z, n_2(x))). \end{aligned}$$

(8) It similarly proved as (6).

(9) It easily proved from (1) and (3).

(10) Since  $S(n_1(x), S(n_2(y), z)) = S(n_2(y), S(n_1(x), z))$ , then  $I_1(x, I_4(y, z)) = I_4(y, I_1(x, z))$  from:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, I_4(y, z)) &= I_1(x, S(n_2(y), z)) \\ &= S(n_1(x), S(n_2(y), z)), \\ I_4(y, I_1(x, z)) &= I_4(y, S(n_1(x), z)) \\ &= S(n_2(y), S(n_1(x), z)). \end{aligned}$$

(11) It easily proved from (5) and (7).

(12) Since  $S(S(x, n_1(y)), n_2(z)) = S(S(x, n_2(z)), n_1(y))$ , then  $I_2(x, I_3(y, z)) = I_3(y, I_2(x, z))$  from:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, I_3(y, z)) &= I_2(x, S(z, n_1(y))) \\ &= S(S(z, n_1(y)), n_2(x)), \\ I_3(y, I_2(x, z)) &= I_3(y, S(z, n_2(x))) \\ &= S(S(z, n_2(x)), n_1(y)). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.4** Put  $L = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid (0, 1) \leq (x, y) \leq (2, 3)\}$ ,  $S$  a pseudo t-conorm and  $(n_1, n_2)$  be a pair of negations in Example 3.2.

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} I_1((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= S(n_1(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ &= S((2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1}), (x_2, y_2)) = (x_2 + (2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1})y_2, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) \wedge (2, 3). \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} I_2((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= S((x_2, y_2), n_2(x_1, y_1)) \\ &= S((x_2, y_2), (\frac{2-x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1})) = (\frac{2-x_1+3x_2}{y_1}, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) \wedge (2, 3). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= S((x_2, y_2), n_1(x_1, y_1)) \\ &= S((x_2, y_2), (2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1})) = (2 + \frac{3(x_2-x_1)}{y_1}, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) \wedge (2, 3). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_4((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= S(n_2(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ &= S((\frac{2-x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1}), (x_2, y_2)) = (x_2 + (\frac{2-x_1}{y_1})y_2, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) \wedge (2, 3). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The converse of Theorem 3.3(2) is not true for which  $T_{12} = T_{21}$ , but

$$I_1((\frac{1}{3}, 2), (0, 2)) = (2, 3) \text{ but } (\frac{1}{3}, 2) \not\leq (0, 2),$$

$$I_2((2, 2), (\frac{4}{3}, 2)) = (2, 3) \text{ but } (2, 2) \not\leq (\frac{4}{3}, 2).$$

(3) Since

$$\begin{aligned} I_3((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= (2, 3) \\ \text{iff } (2 + \frac{3(x_2-x_1)}{y_1}, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) &\geq (2, 3) \\ \text{iff } \frac{3y_2}{y_1} > 3 \text{ or } \frac{3y_2}{y_1} = 3, 2 + \frac{3(x_2-x_1)}{y_1} &\geq 2 \\ \text{iff } y_1 < y_2 \text{ or } y_1 = y_2, x_1 \leq x_2 & \\ \text{iff } (x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2), & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_4((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= (2, 3) \\ \text{iff } (x_2 + (\frac{2-x_1}{y_1})y_2, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) &\geq (2, 3) \\ \text{iff } \frac{3y_2}{y_1} > 3 \text{ or } \frac{3y_2}{y_1} = 3, x_2 + (\frac{2-x_1}{y_1})y_2 &\geq 2 \\ \text{iff } y_1 < y_2 \text{ or } y_1 = y_2, x_1 \leq x_2 & \\ \text{iff } (x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2), & \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 3.3 (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{12}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &\leq (x_3, y_3) \\ \text{iff } (x_2, y_2) &\leq I_4((x_1, y_1), (x_3, y_3)) \\ \text{iff } (x_1, y_1) &\leq I_3((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)) \\ \text{iff } T_{21}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &\leq (x_3, y_3). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $T_{12}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = T_{21}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$ .

(4)  $(I_1, I_3)$  is not a pair of  $S$ -implications from:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(I_3((2, 2), (0, 1)), (0, 1)) &= n_1(n_1(2, 2)) \\ &= (4, 2) = I_3(I_1((2, 2), (0, 1)), (0, 1)) \neq (2, 2). \end{aligned}$$

(5)  $(I_2, I_4)$  is not a pair of  $S$ -implications from:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(I_4((2, 2), (0, 1)), (0, 1)) &= n_2(n_2(2, 2)) \\ &= (\frac{4}{3}, 2) = I_4(I_2((2, 2), (0, 1)), (0, 1)) \neq (2, 2). \end{aligned}$$

(6)  $(I_1, I_4)$  is not a pair of  $E$ -implications from:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1((-1, \frac{3}{2}), I_4((3, 1), (1, 1))) &= S(n_1(-1, \frac{3}{2}), S(n_2(3, 1), (1, 1))) \\ &= S((4, 2), S((\frac{2}{3}, 1), (1, 1))) = (\frac{17}{3}, 2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_4((3, 1), I_1((-1, \frac{3}{2}), (1, 1))) &= S(n_2((3, 1), S(n_1(-1, \frac{3}{2}), (1, 1)))) \\ &= S((\frac{2}{3}, 1), S((4, 2), (1, 1))) = (\frac{19}{3}, 2). \end{aligned}$$

(7)  $(I_2, I_3)$  is not a pair of  $E$ -implications from:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2((0, 3), I_3((-1, \frac{3}{2}), (1, 1))) &= S(S((1, 1), n_1(-1, \frac{3}{2})), n_2(0, 3)) \\ &= S(S((1, 1), (4, 2)), (\frac{2}{3}, 1)) = (\frac{20}{3}, 2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3((-1, \frac{3}{2}), I_2((0, 3), (1, 1))) &= S(S((1, 1), n_2(0, 3)), n_1(-1, \frac{3}{2})) \\ &= S(S((1, 1), (\frac{2}{3}, 1)), (4, 2)) = (\frac{22}{3}, 2). \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] P. Flonder, G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu, Pseudo t-norms and pseudo-BL algebras, *Soft Computing*, **5**(2001), 355-371.
- [2] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, Non-commutative Galois connections, *Soft Computing*, **7**(2003), 458-467.
- [3] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, Non-commutative fuzzy structures and pairs of weak negations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **143**(2004), 129-155.
- [4] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, Non-dual fuzzy connections, *Arch. Math. Log.* **43**(2004), 1009-1039.
- [5] U. Höhle, E. P. Klement, *Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston, 1995.
- [6] D. Li, Yongming Li, Algebraic structures of interval-valued fuzzy  $(S, N)$ -implications, *Int. J. Approx. Reasoning*, **53** (2012), 892-900.
- [7] Y.C. Kim, Pairs of interval negations and interval implications, to be accepted *Int. J. Pure and Applied Math.*.
- [8] E. Turunen, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, A Springer-Verlag Co., 1999.

**Received: September, 2013**