

3-Lie algebras and triangular matrices

BAI Ruipu

College of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China
email: bairuipu@hbu.cn

LI Qiyong

College of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China

Abstract

In this paper we study the Rota-Baxter operator P on the algebra $t(n, F)$ of upper triangular matrices. By the associative algebra $t(n, F)$, the Rota-Baxter operator P on $t(n, F)$, and linear function f , we obtain 3-Lie algebra $(t(n, F), [,], f, P)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B05, 17D99.

Keywords: 3-Lie algebra, upper triangular matrix, Rota-Baxter operator.

1 Introduction

Rota-Baxter Lie algebras [1] are closely related to pre-Lie algebras and post-Lie algebras. Rota-Baxter algebras have played an important role in the Hopf algebra approach of renormalization of perturbative quantum field theory of Connes and Kreimer (see [2-4]), as well as in the application of the renormalization method in solving divergent problems in number theory. In this paper we first discuss the Rota-Baxter operators on the algebra $t(n, F)$ of upper triangular matrices. By the associative algebra $t(n, F)$, the Rota-Baxter operator P on $t(n, F)$, and linear function f , we construct 3-Lie algebras [5], and study their structures.

An associative algebra is a vector space L over a field F endowed with a binary-linear operation $\circ : L \times L \rightarrow L$ satisfying the associative law: for $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L$

$$(x_1 \circ x_2) \circ x_3 = x_1 \circ (x_2 \circ x_3). \quad (1)$$

Let (L, \circ) be an associative algebra, $P : L \times L \rightarrow L$ be a linear mapping. If P satisfies for $x_1, x_2 \in L$

$$P(x_1) \circ R(x_2) = P(P(x_1) \circ x_2 + x_1 \circ P(x_2) + \lambda x_1 \circ x_2), \quad (2)$$

then P is called a *Rota-Baxter operator* of weight λ , where λ is some element of F , and (L, \circ, P) is called a *Rota-Baxter associative algebra* of weight λ .

A *3-Lie algebra* is a vector space L over a field F endowed with a 3-ary multi-linear skew-symmetric operation $[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ satisfying the 3-Jacobi identity

$$[[x_1, x_2, x_3], y_2, y_3] = \sum_{i=1}^3 [x_1, \dots, [x_i, y_2, y_3], \dots, x_3], \quad \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in L. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 1.1 ^[6] *Let $(L, [,])$ be a Lie algebra, $f \in L^*$ satisfying $f([x, y]) = 0$ for every $x, y \in L$. Then L is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication*

$$[x, y, z]_f = f(x)[y, z] + f(y)[z, x] + f(z)[x, y], \quad \forall x, y, z \in L.$$

2 Rota-Baxter operators on $t(n, F)$

Lemma 2.1 *Let (A, \circ, P) be a Rota-Baxter associative algebra of weight λ . Then $(A, *)$ is a associative algebra, where*

$$x * y = P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (4)$$

Proof By Eq.(2) and Eq. (4)

$$\begin{aligned} (x * y) * z &= (P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y) * z \\ &= P(P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y) \circ z + (P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y) \circ P(z) \\ &\quad + \lambda(P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y) \circ z \\ &= (P(x) \circ P(y)) \circ z + (P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y) \circ P(z) \\ &\quad + \lambda(P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y) \circ z \\ &= P(x) \circ (P(y) \circ z + y \circ P(z) + \lambda y \circ z) + x \circ (P(y) \circ P(z)) \\ &\quad + \lambda x \circ (P(y) \circ z + y \circ P(z) + \lambda y \circ z) = x * (y * z). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.2 *Let (A, \circ, P) be a Rota-Baxter associative algebra of weight λ . Then $(A, [,], P)$ is a Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight λ , where*

$$[x, y] = P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y - P(y) \circ x - y \circ P(x) - \lambda y \circ x, \quad \forall x, y \in A. \quad (5)$$

Proof By Lemma 2.1, A is a Lie algebra in the multiplication

$$[x, y] = x * y - y * x = P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y - P(y) \circ x + y \circ P(x) + \lambda y \circ x.$$

Now we prove that P is a Rota-Baxter operator of Lie algebra $(A, [,])$. By Lemma 2.1 and Eq. (5),

$$\begin{aligned} [P(x), P(y)] &= P(x) * P(y) - P(y) * P(x) \\ &= P^2(x) \circ P(y) + P(x) \circ P^2(y) + \lambda P(x) \circ P(y) - P^2(y) \circ P(x) \\ &\quad - P(y) \circ P^2(x) - \lambda P(y) \circ P(x) \\ &= P(P^2(x) \circ y + P(x) \circ P(y) + \lambda P(x) \circ y + P(x) \circ P(y) + x \circ P^2(y) + \lambda x \circ P(y)) \\ &\quad - P(P^2(y) \circ x - P(y) \circ P(x) - \lambda P(y) \circ x - P(y) \circ P(x) - y \circ P^2(x) - \lambda y \circ P(x)) \\ &\quad + \lambda P(P(x) \circ y + x \circ P(y) + \lambda x \circ y - P(y) \circ x - y \circ P(x) - \lambda y \circ x). \\ &= P([P(x), y] + [x, P(y)] + \lambda[x, y]). \end{aligned}$$

It follows the result.

Now let $t(n, F)$ be the associative algebra constructed by $(n \times n)$ -order upper triangular matrices. Then $t(n, F)$ has a basis $\{e_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$, and the multiplication of $t(n, F)$ is

$$e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq l \leq n.$$

For a linear mapping $P : t(n, F) \rightarrow t(n, F)$, suppose

$$P(e_{ij}) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq n} a_{kl}^{ij} e_{kl}, \quad a_{kl}^{ij} \in F, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \tag{6}$$

Theorem 2.3 *Let $t(n, F)$ be the associative algebra constructed by $(n \times n)$ -order upper triangular matrices. A linear mapping $P : t(n, F) \rightarrow t(n, F)$ defined as Eq.(6) is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on $t(n, F)$ if and only if P satisfies, for every $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq t \leq n, 1 \leq x \leq y \leq n,$*

$$\sum_{x \leq u \leq y} a_{ij}^{xu} a_{st}^{uy} = \sum_{1 \leq u \leq s} a_{ij}^{us} a_{ut}^{xy} + \sum_{j \leq w \leq n} a_{st}^{jw} a_{iw}^{xy} + \lambda \delta_{js} a_{ij}^{xy}. \tag{7}$$

Proof For arbitrary basic vectors e_{ij}, e_{st} , since

$$P(e_{ij})P(e_{st}) = \left(\sum_{1 \leq x \leq u \leq n} a_{ij}^{xu} e_{xu} \right) \left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq y \leq n} a_{st}^{ry} e_{ry} \right) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq u \leq y \leq n} a_{ij}^{xu} a_{st}^{uy} e_{xy},$$

$$\begin{aligned} &P(P(e_{ij})e_{st} + e_{ij}P(e_{st}) + \lambda e_{ij}e_{st}) \\ &= P\left(\sum_{1 \leq u \leq v \leq n} a_{ij}^{uv} e_{uv} e_{st} + e_{ij} \sum_{1 \leq r \leq w \leq n} a_{st}^{rw} e_{rw} + \lambda \delta_{js} e_{it} \right) \\ &= p\left(\sum_{1 \leq u \leq s} a_{ij}^{us} e_{ut} + \sum_{j \leq w \leq n} a_{st}^{jw} e_{iw} \right) + \lambda \delta_{js} P(e_{it}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} \left(\sum_{1 \leq u \leq s} a_{ij}^{us} a_{ut}^{xy} + \sum_{j \leq w \leq n} a_{st}^{jw} a_{iw}^{xy} \right) e_{xy} + \lambda \delta_{js} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} a_{ij}^{xy} e_{xy} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} \left[\sum_{1 \leq u \leq s} a_{ij}^{us} a_{ut}^{xy} + \sum_{j \leq w \leq n} a_{st}^{jw} a_{iw}^{xy} + \lambda \delta_{js} a_{ij}^{xy} \right] e_{xy}. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing above discussion, we obtain Eq. (7). It follows the result.

Theorem 2.4 Let $t(n, F)$ be the set of all $(n \times n)$ -upper triangular matrices over F , and $\{e_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ be a basis of $t(n, F)$. A linear mapping $P : t(n, F) \rightarrow t(n, F)$, $P(e_{ij}) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} a_{ij}^{xy} e_{xy}$ satisfies Eq.(7), then $(t(n, F), [,], P)$ is a Rota-Baxter Lie algebra of weight λ , where

$$[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq k} a_{ij}^{xk} e_{xl} + \sum_{j \leq y \leq n} a_{kl}^{jy} e_{iy} + \lambda(\delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{ik}e_{lj}) - \sum_{1 \leq x \leq i} a_{kl}^{xi} e_{xj} - \sum_{k \leq y \leq n} a_{ij}^{ky} e_{ky}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq l \leq n.$$

Proof By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, $(t(n, F), [,], P)$ is a Rota Baxter-Lie algebra. Thanks to Eq.(5), for every $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq l \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{ij}, e_{kl}] &= P(e_{ij})e_{kl} + e_{ij}P(e_{kl}) + \lambda e_{ij}e_{kl} - P(e_{kl})e_{ij} - e_{kl}P(e_{ij}) - \lambda e_{kl}e_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} a_{ij}^{xy} e_{xy}e_{kl} + e_{ij} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} a_{kl}^{xy} e_{xy} + \lambda(\delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{ik}e_{lj}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} a_{kl}^{xy} e_{xy}e_{ij} - e_{kl} \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} a_{ij}^{xy} e_{xy} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq x \leq k} a_{ij}^{xk} e_{xl} + \sum_{j \leq y \leq n} a_{kl}^{jy} e_{iy} + \lambda(\delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{ik}e_{lj}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq x \leq i} a_{kl}^{xi} e_{xj} - \sum_{k \leq y \leq n} a_{ij}^{ky} e_{ky}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows the result.

Theorem 2.5 Let $t(n, F)$ be the set of all $(n \times n)$ -upper triangular matrices over F , and $\{e_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ be a basis of $t(n, F)$. A linear mapping $P : t(n, F) \rightarrow t(n, F)$, $P(e_{ij}) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq y \leq n} a_{ij}^{xy} e_{xy}$ satisfies Eq.(7). If linear function $f : t(n, F) \rightarrow F$, $f(e_{ij}) = \beta_{ij}$ satisfies $\forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq l \leq n$,

$$\sum_{x=1}^k a_{ij}^{xk} \beta_{xl} + \sum_{y=j}^n a_{kl}^{jy} \beta_{iy} + \lambda(\delta_{jk}\beta_{il} - \delta_{ik}\beta_{lj}) - \sum_{x=1}^i a_{kl}^{xi} \beta_{xj} - \sum_{y=k}^n a_{ij}^{ky} \beta_{ky} = 0, \quad (8)$$

then $(t(n, F), [,], f, P)$ is a 3-Lie algebra, where for $\forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq l \leq n, 1 \leq s \leq t \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &[e_{ij}, e_{kl}, e_{st}]_{f,P} \\ &= \beta_{st} \left[\sum_{x=1}^k a_{ij}^{xk} e_{xl} + \sum_{y=j}^n a_{kl}^{jy} e_{iy} + \lambda(\delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{ik}e_{lj}) - \sum_{x=1}^i a_{kl}^{xi} e_{xj} - \sum_{y=k}^n a_{ij}^{ky} e_{ky} \right] \\ &+ \beta_{ij} \left[\sum_{x=1}^s a_{kl}^{xs} e_{xt} + \sum_{y=1}^n a_{st}^{ly} e_{ky} + \lambda(\delta_{ls}e_{kt} - \delta_{ks}e_{tl}) - \sum_{x=1}^k a_{st}^{xk} e_{xl} - \sum_{y=s}^n a_{kl}^{sy} e_{sy} \right] \\ &+ \beta_{kl} \left[\sum_{x=1}^i a_{st}^{xi} e_{xj} + \sum_{y=t}^n a_{ij}^{ty} e_{sy} + \lambda(\delta_{ti}e_{sj} - \delta_{si}e_{jt}) - \sum_{x=1}^s a_{ij}^{xs} e_{xt} - \sum_{y=i}^n a_{st}^{iy} e_{iy} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof By Theorem 2.4, for $f : t(n, F) \rightarrow F$, $f(e_{ij}) = \beta_{ij}$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq l \leq n$, satisfies $f([e_{ij}, e_{kl}]) = 0$ if and only if f satisfies

$$0 = f\left(\sum_{x=1}^k a_{ij}^{xk} e_{xl} + \sum_{y=j}^n a_{kl}^{jy} e_{iy} + \lambda(\delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{ik}e_{lj}) - \sum_{x=1}^i a_{kl}^{xi} e_{xj} - \sum_{y=k}^n a_{ij}^{ky} e_{ky}\right) = \sum_{x=1}^k a_{ij}^{xk} f(e_{xl}) + \sum_{y=j}^n a_{kl}^{jy} f(e_{iy}) + \lambda(\delta_{jk}e_{il} - \delta_{ik}f(e_{lj})) - \sum_{x=1}^i a_{kl}^{xi} f(e_{xj}) - \sum_{y=k}^n a_{ij}^{ky} f(e_{ky})$$

we obtain Eq.(8). Again by Lemma 1.1, $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{f,P}$ is a 3-ary Lie product on $t(n, F)$. The proof is completed.

For the case $\lambda = 0$, and $n = 2$. For any Rota-Baxter operator P on $t(2, F)$, we have $\sum_{x \leq u \leq y} a_{ij}^{xu} a_{st}^{uy} = \sum_{1 \leq u \leq s} a_{ij}^{us} a_{ut}^{xy} + \sum_{j \leq w \leq 2} a_{st}^{jw} a_{iw}^{xy}$, for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2$, $1 \leq s \leq t \leq 2$, $1 \leq x \leq y \leq 2$.

Then the linear mapping P on $t(2, F)$ is a Rota-Baxter operator on the associative algebra $t(2, F)$ if and only if P satisfies the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}^{22} a_{12}^{11} = 0, & a_{12}^{22} a_{12}^{11} = 0, a_{11}^{22} a_{22}^{11} = 0, a_{12}^{22} a_{22}^{11} = 0, a_{11}^{11} a_{11}^{11} + a_{12}^{11} a_{12}^{11} = 0, \\ a_{11}^{11} a_{12}^{11} + a_{12}^{12} a_{12}^{11} = 0, & a_{12}^{11} a_{22}^{22} + a_{12}^{12} a_{22}^{22} = 0, a_{12}^{12} a_{22}^{22} + a_{22}^{22} a_{22}^{22} = 0, \\ a_{11}^{12} a_{12}^{11} + a_{11}^{22} a_{22}^{11} + a_{22}^{12} a_{12}^{11} = 0, & a_{22}^{12} a_{12}^{22} + a_{22}^{22} a_{22}^{22} = 0, \\ a_{11}^{12} a_{11}^{22} = a_{11}^{11} a_{11}^{12} + a_{11}^{12} a_{12}^{12}, & a_{11}^{22} a_{11}^{22} = 2a_{11}^{11} a_{11}^{22} + a_{12}^{22} a_{11}^{12}, \\ a_{22}^{12} a_{11}^{22} - a_{22}^{22} a_{12}^{12} = 0, & a_{11}^{11} a_{12}^{12} + a_{11}^{12} a_{22}^{22} = a_{11}^{12} a_{12}^{12} + a_{22}^{22} a_{12}^{12} + a_{22}^{11} a_{12}^{12} + a_{22}^{12} a_{12}^{12}, \\ a_{11}^{12} a_{12}^{22} + a_{22}^{11} a_{22}^{22} + a_{22}^{12} a_{12}^{22} = 0, & a_{11}^{12} a_{12}^{22} = a_{12}^{11} a_{12}^{12} + a_{12}^{12} a_{12}^{12}, \\ a_{12}^{11} a_{22}^{11} = a_{12}^{11} a_{12}^{12} + a_{12}^{22} a_{22}^{11} + a_{22}^{22} a_{12}^{11}, & a_{12}^{11} a_{22}^{12} = a_{12}^{12} a_{12}^{12} + a_{12}^{22} a_{22}^{12}, \\ a_{22}^{11} a_{22}^{11} = a_{22}^{12} a_{12}^{11} + 2a_{22}^{22} a_{22}^{11}, & a_{22}^{11} a_{22}^{12} = a_{22}^{12} a_{12}^{12} + a_{11}^{22} a_{22}^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P(e_{11}) = e_{12}$, $P(e_{12}) = 0$, $P(e_{22}) = e_{11}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of the algebra $t(2, F)$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain Lie algebra $(t(n, F), [\cdot, \cdot])$, where

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{11}, e_{12}] &= P(e_{11})e_{12} - P(e_{12})e_{11} = 0, \\ [e_{11}, e_{22}] &= P(e_{11})e_{22} - P(e_{22})e_{11} = e_{12}e_{22} - e_{11}e_{11} = e_{12} - e_{11}, \\ [e_{12}, e_{22}] &= P(e_{12})e_{22} - P(e_{22})e_{12} = -e_{11}e_{12} = -e_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $f : t(2, F) \rightarrow F$ satisfies $f([e, e'] = 0)$ for $e, e' \in t(n, F)$, by Theorem 2.5 the 3-Lie algebra $(t(2, F), [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{f,P})$ is abelian.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research is supported by NSF of China (11371245).

References

[1] L. Guo, What is a Rota-Baxter algebra, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2009, 56, 1436C1437.

- [2] A. CONNES, D. KREIMER, Hopf algebras, renormalisation and noncommutative geometry, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 199, 203C242 (1998).
- [3] K. EBRAHIMI-FARD, L. GUO, and D. KREIMER, Spitzers identity and the algebraic Birkhoff decomposition in pQFT, *J. Phys.*, A2004, 37, 11037C11052.
- [4] K. ERAHIMI-FARD, L. GUO, and D. MANCHON, Birkhoff type decompositions and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff recursion, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 2006, 267, 821C845.
- [5] V. FILIPPOV, n -Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, 1985, 26 (6), 126-140.
- [6] R. BAI, C. BAI and J. WANG, Realizations of 3-Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.*, 51, 063505 (2010). 475206.

Received: March, 2014