

Coefficient Bounds for Certain Subclasses of Analytic Function Involving Hadamard Products

V.G. Shanthi

Department of Mathematics
S.D.N.B. Vaishnav College for Women
Chromepet, Chennai - 600 044, India
vg.shanthi1@gmail.com

B. Srutha Keerthi

Mathematics Division, School of Advanced Sciences
VIT University Chennai Campus
Vandallur Kellambakkam Road, Chennai - 600 127, India
sruthilaya06@yahoo.co.in

B. Adolf Stephen

Department of Mathematics
Madras Christian College, Tambaram
Chennai - 600 059
adolfmcc2003@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

In this present investigation authors obtained sharp bounds for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for certain subclasses of analytic functions when $\mu \geq 1$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

Keywords: Analytic functions, starlike functions, convex functions, univalent functions, subordination, convolution, coefficient inequalities.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the family of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Further, let \mathcal{S} denote the class of functions which are univalent in Δ . Let the function be defined by (1). Also let the function $g(z)$ be defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n. \quad (2)$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined by

$$f(z) * g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \quad (3)$$

Fekete and Szegő [8] obtained sharp upper bounds for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ when μ is real. For various subclasses of \mathcal{S} , sharp upper bound for functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ has been studied by many different authors including [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. In this paper we obtain sharp upper bounds for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ when $f(z)$ belonging to the class of functions defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and $\beta > 0$, and let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $f(z) \in M(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\left| \arg \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda z f'(z))}{g(z)} + \left(\frac{f'(z) + z f''(z)}{g'(z)} \right) \right] \right| < \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, \quad (4)$$

with $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{g(z) * \Phi(z)}{g(z) * \Psi(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi \beta}{2}, z \in \Delta \quad (5)$$

where $\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Upsilon_n z^n$ and $\Psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n$, analytic in Δ such that $g(z) * \Psi(z) \neq 0$, $\Upsilon_n \geq 0$, $\gamma_n \geq 0$ and $\Upsilon_n > \gamma_n$ ($n \geq 2$).

Definition 1.2. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\beta > 0$, and let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $f(z) \in N(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{z^{(1-\lambda)} f'(z)}{g(z)^{(1-\lambda)}} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, z \in \Delta \quad (6)$$

with $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfies equation (5).

Lemma 1.3 ([18]). Let $h(z) \in P$ that is $h(z)$ be analytic in Δ and be given by $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ and $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ then

$$\left| c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right| \leq 2 - \frac{|c_1|^2}{2}. \quad (7)$$

2 Coefficient Problem

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f(z)$ be given by (1). If $f(z) \in M(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and $3\eta\mu \geq 2\delta^2 + 4\delta\gamma_2$, where $\delta = \Upsilon_2 - \gamma_2$, $\eta = \Upsilon_3 - \gamma_3$ and $\mu \geq 1$, then we have the sharp inequality*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{\beta^2}{3\eta\delta^2}[3\mu\eta - 2\delta^2 - 4\delta\gamma_2] + \frac{\alpha[\alpha\delta(3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+\lambda^2)) + 2\beta(1+\lambda)(3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+3\lambda))]}{3\delta(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} \quad (8)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in M(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. It follows from (4) that

$$(1 - \lambda)zf'(z)g'(z) + \lambda[f'(z) + zf''(z)]g(z) = q^\alpha(z)g(z)g'(z) \quad (9)$$

for $z \in \Delta$, with $q(z) \in P$ given by $q(z) = 1 + q_1z + q_2z^2 + q_3z^3 + \dots$. Equating coefficients, we obtain

$$2a_2(1 + \lambda) = b_2(1 + \lambda) + \alpha q_1 \quad (10)$$

and

$$3a_3(1 + 2\lambda) = b_3(1 + 2\lambda) + 3\alpha q_1 b_2 - 2\alpha q_1 b_2 \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} + \alpha q_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} q_1^2 \quad (11)$$

Also, it follows from (5) that

$$g(z) * \Phi(z) = [g(z) * \Psi(z)]p^\beta(z), \quad (12)$$

where $p(z) \in P$ with $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ for $z \in \Delta$. Thus equating coefficients, we obtain

$$\delta b_2 = \beta p_1 \quad (13)$$

$$\eta b_3 = \beta \left[p_2 + \frac{\beta(\delta + 2\gamma_2) - \delta}{2\delta} p_1^2 \right]. \quad (14)$$

From (10), (11), (13), (14) we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha}{3(1+2\lambda)} \left[q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2} \right] + \frac{\beta}{3\eta} \left[p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right] + \frac{\alpha^2 q_1^2 [2(1+\lambda)^2 - 3\mu(1+2\lambda)]}{12(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} + \frac{\alpha\beta p_1 q_1 [2(1+3\lambda) - 3\mu(1+2\lambda)]}{6\delta(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)} + \frac{\beta^2 p_1^2 (2\delta^2 + 4\gamma_2\delta - 3\mu\eta)}{12\eta\delta^2}. \quad (15)$$

Assume that $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ positive. Thus we now estimate $Re(a_3 - \mu a_2^2)$ by applying the same technique done by London [15], and so from (15) and by using lemma 1.3 and letting $p_1 = 2re^{i\theta}$, $q_1 = 2Re^{i\phi}$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $0 \leq R \leq 1$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
Re[a_3 - \mu a_2^2] &= \frac{\alpha}{3(1+2\lambda)} Re\left(q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{\beta}{2\eta} Re\left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha^2[2(1+\lambda)^2 - 3\mu(1+2\lambda)] Re q_1^2}{12(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha\beta[2(1+3\lambda) - 3\mu(1+2\lambda)] Re p_1 q_1}{6\delta(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta^2(2\delta^2 + 4\gamma_2\delta - 3\mu\eta) Re p_1^2}{12\eta\delta^2} \\
&\leq \frac{2\alpha}{3(1+2\lambda)}(1-R^2) + \frac{2\beta}{3\eta}(1-r^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha^2[2(1+\lambda)^2 - 3\mu(1+2\lambda)]R^2 \cos 2\phi}{3(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\alpha\beta[2(1+3\lambda) - 3\mu(1+2\lambda)]rR \cos(\theta + \phi)}{3\delta(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta^2(2\delta^2 + 4\gamma_2\delta - 3\mu\eta)r^2 \cos 2\theta}{3\eta\delta^2} \\
&\leq \frac{2\alpha}{3(1+2\lambda)}(1-R^2) + \frac{2\beta}{3\eta}(1-r^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha^2[3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+\lambda)^2]R^2}{3(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\alpha\beta[3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+3\lambda)]rR}{3\delta(1+\lambda)(1+2\lambda)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta^2(3\mu\eta - 2\delta^2 - 4\gamma_2\delta)r^2}{3\eta\delta^2} = G(r, R)
\end{aligned}$$

Letting α, β and μ fixed and differentiating $G(r, R)$ partially when $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$\beta \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 1$ we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{rr}G_{RR} - (G_{rR})^2 &= \frac{16\alpha\beta}{9\eta(1+2\lambda)} \\
 &+ \frac{4\alpha^2\beta^2(3\mu\eta - 2\delta^2 - 4\gamma_2\delta)[3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+\lambda)^2]}{9\eta\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} \\
 &- \frac{8\alpha^2\beta[3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+\lambda)^2]}{9\eta(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} \\
 &- \frac{8\alpha\beta^2[3\mu\eta - 2\delta^2 - 4\gamma_2\delta]}{9\eta\delta^2(1+2\lambda)} \\
 &- \frac{4\alpha^2\beta^2[3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+3\lambda)]^2}{9\delta^2(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)^2} \\
 &< 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the maximum of $G(r, R)$ occurs on boundaries. Thus the desired inequality follows by observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(r, R) \leq G(1, 1) &= \frac{\beta^2(3\mu\eta - 2\delta^2 - 4\gamma_2\delta)}{3\eta\delta^2} \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha[\alpha\delta(3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+\lambda)^2) + 2\beta(1+\lambda)(3\mu(1+2\lambda) - 2(1+3\lambda))]}{3\delta(1+\lambda)^2(1+2\lambda)} \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

The equality is attained when choosing $p_1 = q_1 = 2i$ and $p_2 = q_2 = -2$ in (15). □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f(z)$ given by (1). If $f(z) \in N(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ and $3\eta\mu \geq 2\delta^2 + 4\gamma_2\delta$ where $\delta = \Upsilon_2 - \gamma_2$, $\eta = \Upsilon_3 - \gamma_3$ and $\mu \geq 1$, then we have the sharp inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{\beta^2(1-\lambda)}{3\eta\delta^2}[3\mu\eta(1-\lambda) - 2\delta^2 - 4\gamma_2\delta] \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha[\alpha\delta + 2\beta(1-\lambda)](3\mu - 2)}{3\delta} \\
 &+ \frac{2\lambda(1-\lambda)\beta^2}{3\delta^2} \quad (17)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2 is similar to Theorem 2.1. So the details are omitted.

Remark 2.3. *Letting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the result given by Darus and Thomas [7].*

Remark 2.4. *Letting $\Phi(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$, $\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, $\lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the result given by Jahangiri [11].*

References

- [1] H.R. Abdel-Gawad and D.K. Thomas. A subclass of close-to-convex functions. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)*, 49(63), 1991, 61-66.
- [2] H.R. Abdel-Gawad and D.K. Thomas. The Fekete-Szegö problem for strongly close-to-convex functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 114(2), 1992, 345-349.
- [3] A. Chonweerayoot, D.K. Thomas and W. Upakarnitikaset. On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions. *Math. Japon.*, 36(5), 1991, 819-826.
- [4] M. Darus. On the coefficient problems with Hadamard product. *J. Anal. Appl.*, 2(2), 2004, 87-93.
- [5] M. Darus and D.K. Thomas. On the Fekete-Szegö theorem for close-to-convex functions. *Math. Japon.*, 44(3), 1996, 507-511.
- [6] M. Darus and D.K. Thomas. On the Fekete-Szegö theorem for close-to-convex functions. *Math. Japon.*, 47(1), 1998, 125-132.
- [7] M. Darus and D.K. Thomas. The Fekete-Szegö theorem for strongly close-to-convex functions. *Sci. Math.*, 3(2), 2000, 201-212.
- [8] M. Fekete and G. Szegö. Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 8, 1933, 85-89.
- [9] B. Frasin and M. Darus. On the Fekete-Szegö problem using Hadamard product. *Int. Math. J.*, 3(12), 2003, 1289-1295.
- [10] R.M. Goel and B.S. Mehrotra. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *Tamkang J. Math.*, 22(2), 1991, 153-163.
- [11] M. Jahangiri, A coefficient inequality for a class of close-to-convex functions. *Math. Japon.*, 41(3), 1995, 557-559.
- [12] F.R. Keogh and E.P. Merkes. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20, 1969, 8-12.
- [13] W. Koepf. On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101(1), 1987, 89-95.
- [14] W. Koepf. On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions. II. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 49(5), 1987, 420-433.
- [15] R.R. London. Fekete-Szegö inequalities for close-to-convex functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 117(4), 1993, 947-950.

- [16] M.A. Nasr and H.R. El-Gawad. On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions of order ρ . In *New trends in geometric function theory and applications (Madras, 1990)*, pages 66-74. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [17] C. Pommerenke. *Univalent functions*. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975. With a chapter on quadratic differentials by Gerd Jensen, *Studia Mathematica / Mathematische Lehrbücher, Band XXV*.
- [18] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and M.K. Das. The Fekete-Szegö problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions. *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 44(2), 2001, 145-163.
- [19] S.Y. Trimble. A coefficient inequality for convex univalent functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 48, 1975, 266-267.

Received: April, 2014