

L-join meet approximation operators with Galois connections

Yong Chan Kim

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University,
Gangneung, Gangwondo 210-702, Korea
yck@gwnu.ac.kr

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce join meet approximation operators with Galois connection in complete residuated lattices. We investigate relations between their operations and Alexandrov *L*-topologies.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 03G10, 06A15, 06F07

Keywords:

Complete residuated lattices, Join meet approximation operators, Alexandrov *L*-topologies

1 Introduction

Hájek [2] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic. Pawlak [7,8] introduced rough set theory as a formal tool to deal with imprecision and uncertainty in data analysis. Radzikowska [9] developed fuzzy rough sets in complete residuated lattice. Bělohlávek [1] investigated information systems and decision rules in complete residuated lattices. Lai [5,6] introduced Alexandrov *L*-topologies induced by fuzzy rough sets. Kim [3,4] investigated the properties of Alexandrov topologies in complete residuated lattices. Algebraic structures of fuzzy rough sets are developed in many directions [3,9,10]

In this paper, we introduce join meet approximation operators with Galois connection in complete residuated lattices. We investigate relations between their operations and Alexandrov *L*-topologies.

Definition 1.1 [1,2] An algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \perp, \top)$ is called a complete residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions:

(C1) $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element \top and the least element \perp ;

(C2) (L, \odot, \top) is a commutative monoid;

(C3) $x \odot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ for $x, y, z \in L$.

In this paper, we assume $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, *, \perp, \top)$ is a complete residuated lattice with the law of double negation; i.e. $x^{**} = x$. For $\alpha \in L, A, \top_x \in L^X$, $(\alpha \rightarrow A)(x) = \alpha \rightarrow A(x)$, $(\alpha \odot A)(x) = \alpha \odot A(x)$ and $\top_x(x) = \top, \top_x(x) = \perp$, otherwise.

Lemma 1.2 [1,2] For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$, we have the following properties.

- (1) If $y \leq z$, $(x \odot y) \leq (x \odot z)$, $x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$ and $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x$.
- (2) $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \rightarrow y_i)$.
- (3) $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y)$.
- (4) $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i^* = (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)^*$ and $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i^* = (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)^*$.
- (5) $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$.
- (6) $x \odot y = (x \rightarrow y^*)^*$.
- (7) $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) \leq y$.
- (8) $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z$.
- (9) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \geq y \rightarrow z$ and $(x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \geq y \rightarrow x$.
- (10) $x \odot y \rightarrow x \odot z \geq y \rightarrow z$.

Definition 1.3 [3,4] (1) A map $\mathcal{H} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is called an *L-upper approximation operator* iff it satisfies the following conditions

- (H1) $A \leq \mathcal{H}(A)$,
- (H2) $\mathcal{H}(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \odot \mathcal{H}(A)$ where $\alpha(x) = \alpha$ for all $x \in X$,
- (H3) $\mathcal{H}(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}(A_i)$.

(2) A map $\mathcal{J} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is called an *L-lower approximation operator* iff it satisfies the following conditions

- (J1) $\mathcal{J}(A) \leq A$,
- (J2) $\mathcal{J}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(A)$,
- (J3) $\mathcal{J}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{J}(A_i)$.

(3) A map $\mathcal{K} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is called an *L-join meet approximation operator* iff it satisfies the following conditions

- (K1) $\mathcal{K}(A) \leq A^*$,
- (K2) $\mathcal{K}(\alpha \odot A) = \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(A)$,
- (K3) $\mathcal{K}(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{K}(A_i)$.

(4) A map $\mathcal{M} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is called an *L-meet join approximation operator* iff it satisfies the following conditions

- (M1) $A^* \leq \mathcal{M}(A)$,
- (M2) $\mathcal{M}(\alpha \rightarrow A) = \alpha \odot \mathcal{M}(A)$,
- (M3) $\mathcal{M}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}(A_i)$.

Definition 1.4 [4,5] A subset $\tau \subset L^X$ is called an *Alexandrov L-topology* if it satisfies:

- (T1) $\perp_X, \top_X \in \tau$ where $\top_X(x) = \top$ and $\perp_X(x) = \perp$ for $x \in X$.
- (T2) If $A_i \in \tau$ for $i \in \Gamma$, $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i \in \tau$.
- (T3) $\alpha \odot A \in \tau$ for all $\alpha \in L$ and $A \in \tau$.
- (T4) $\alpha \rightarrow A \in \tau$ for all $\alpha \in L$ and $A \in \tau$.

Theorem 1.5 [4] (1) τ is an Alexandrov topology on X iff $\tau_* = \{A^* \in L^X \mid A \in \tau\}$ is an Alexandrov topology on X .

(2) If \mathcal{H} is an *L-upper approximation operator*, then $\tau_{\mathcal{H}} = \{A \in L^X \mid \mathcal{H}(A) = A\}$ is an Alexandrov topology on X .

(3) If \mathcal{J} is an *L-lower approximation operator*, then $\tau_{\mathcal{J}} = \{A \in L^X \mid \mathcal{J}(A) = A\}$ is an Alexandrov topology on X .

(4) If \mathcal{K} is an *L-join meet approximation operator*, then $\tau_{\mathcal{K}} = \{A \in L^X \mid \mathcal{K}(A) = A^*\}$ is an Alexandrov topology on X .

(5) If \mathcal{M} is an *L-meet join operator*, then $\tau_{\mathcal{M}} = \{A \in L^X \mid \mathcal{M}(A) = A^*\}$ is an Alexandrov topology on X .

2 *L*-join meet approximation operators with Galois connections

Theorem 2.1 Let $\mathcal{K} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ be an *L-join meet approximation operators*. Then the following properties hold.

- (1) For $A \in L^X$, $\mathcal{K}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\top_x)(y))$.
- (2) Define $\mathcal{K}_1(B) = \bigvee \{A \mid B \leq \mathcal{K}(A)\}$. Then $\mathcal{K}_1 : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ with

$$\mathcal{K}_1(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (B(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\top_x)(y))$$

is an *L-join meet approximation operator* such that $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_1)$ is a Galois connection; i.e.,

$$A \leq \mathcal{K}_1(B) \text{ iff } B \leq \mathcal{K}(A).$$

Moreover, $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_1} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_*$.

(3) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathcal{K}_1^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_1(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_1} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{K}} = \{\mathcal{K}^*(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \mathcal{K}^*(\top_x)) \mid A \in L^X\},$$

$$\tau_{\mathcal{K}_1} = \{\mathcal{K}_1^*(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \mathcal{K}^*(\top_y)(x)) \mid A \in L^X\}.$$

(4) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(A)$ such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{K}} = \{\mathcal{K}(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\top_x)) \mid A \in L^X\} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_*.$$

(5) Define $\mathcal{M}_K(A) = \mathcal{K}(A^*)^*$. Then $\mathcal{M}_K : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ with

$$\mathcal{M}_K(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot \mathcal{K}^*(\top_x)(y))$$

is an L -meet join approximation operator. Moreover, the pair $(\mathcal{M}_K, \mathcal{M}_{K_1})$ is a dual Galois connection; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M}_K(A) \leq B, \text{ iff } \mathcal{M}_{K_1}(B) \leq A$$

such that $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_1} = \tau_{\mathcal{M}_K} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_* = (\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{K_1}})_*$.

(6) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{M}_K(\mathcal{M}_K^*(A)) = \mathcal{M}_K(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_1} = \tau_{\mathcal{M}_K} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_* = (\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{K_1}})_*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}_K} = \{\mathcal{M}_K^*(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{K}^*(\top_x) \rightarrow A(x)) \mid A \in L^X\},$$

$$\tau_{(\mathcal{M}_K)_1} = \{(\mathcal{M}_K)_1^*(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{K}^*(\top_y)(x) \rightarrow A(x)) \mid A \in L^X\}.$$

(7) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{M}_K(\mathcal{M}_K(A)) = \mathcal{M}_K^*(A)$ such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}_K} = \{\mathcal{M}_K(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot \mathcal{K}^*(\top_x)) \mid A \in L^X\} = (\tau_{\mathcal{M}_K})_*.$$

(8) Define $\mathcal{J}_K(A) = \mathcal{K}(A^*)$. Then $\mathcal{J}_K : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ with

$$\mathcal{J}_K(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\top_x)(y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{K}^*(\top_x)(y) \rightarrow A(x)).$$

is an L -lower approximation operator.

(9) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K(A)) = \mathcal{J}_K(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_{K_1}} = (\tau_{\mathcal{J}_K})_*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{J}_K} = \{\mathcal{J}_K(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{K}^*(\top_x) \rightarrow A(x)) \mid A \in L^X\},$$

$$\tau_{\mathcal{J}_{K_1}} = \{\mathcal{J}_{K_1}(A)(x) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\mathcal{K}^*(\top_x)(y) \rightarrow A(y)) \mid A \in L^X\}.$$

(10) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K^*(A)) = \mathcal{J}_K^*(A)$ such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{J}_K} = \{\mathcal{J}_K^*(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (\mathcal{K}^*(\top_x) \odot A^*(x)) \mid A \in L^X\} = (\tau_{\mathcal{J}_K})_*.$$

(11) Define $\mathcal{H}_K(A) = (\mathcal{K}(A))^*$. Then $\mathcal{H}_K : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ with

$$\mathcal{H}_K(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \mathcal{K}^*(\top_x)(y))$$

is an L -upper approximation operator. Moreover, $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \tau_{\mathcal{K}}$.

(12) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathcal{H}_K(A)) = \mathcal{H}_K(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{K_1}} = (\tau_{\mathcal{H}_K})_*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \{\mathcal{H}_K(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \mathcal{K}^*(\top_x)) \mid A \in L^X\},$$

$$\tau_{(\mathcal{H}_K)_1} = \{(\mathcal{H}_K)_1(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \mathcal{K}^*(\top_y)(x)) \mid A \in L^X\}.$$

(13) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathcal{H}_K(A)) = \mathcal{H}_K^*(A)$ such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \{\mathcal{H}_K^*(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\top_x)) \mid A \in L^X\} = (\tau_{\mathcal{H}_K})_*.$$

(14) $(\mathcal{H}_{K_1}, \mathcal{J}_K)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_K, \mathcal{J}_{K_1})$ are a residuated connetion; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_{K_1}(A) \leq B \text{ iff } A \leq \mathcal{J}_K(B),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_K(A) \leq B \text{ iff } A \leq \mathcal{J}_{K_1}(B).$$

Moreover, $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_K} = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{K_1}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_{K_1}} = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_K}$.

Proof (1) For $A = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot \top_x) \in L^X$, $\mathcal{K}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\top_x)(y))$.

(2) (K1) Since $B \leq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_1(B)) \leq \mathcal{K}_1^*(B)$, we have $\mathcal{K}_1(B) \leq B^*$.

(K2) Since $\mathcal{K}_1(B) \leq \mathcal{K}_1(B)$, then $B \leq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_1(B))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_1(B)) \leq \mathcal{K}(a \odot (a \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(B))) = a \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(a \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(B)) \\ &\text{iff } a \odot B \leq \mathcal{K}(a \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(B)) \\ &\text{iff } a \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(B) \leq \mathcal{K}_1(a \odot B). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a \odot B &\leq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_1(a \odot B)) \\ &\text{iff } B \leq a \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_1(a \odot B)) = \mathcal{K}(a \odot \mathcal{K}_1(a \odot B)) \\ &\text{iff } a \odot \mathcal{K}_1(a \odot B) \leq \mathcal{K}_1(B) \\ &\text{iff } \mathcal{K}_1(a \odot B) \leq a \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1(B). \end{aligned}$$

(K3) $\mathcal{K}_1(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{K}_1(A_i)$. By the definition of \mathcal{K}_1 , since $\mathcal{K}_1(A) \leq \mathcal{K}_1(B)$ for $B \leq A$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{K}_1(A_i).$$

Since $\mathcal{K}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{K}_1(A_i)) \geq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}_1(A_i)) \geq A_i$, then $\mathcal{K}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{K}_1(A_i)) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i$. Thus

$$\mathcal{K}_1(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{K}_1(A_i).$$

Thus $\mathcal{K}_1 : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is an L -join meet approximation operator. By the definition of \mathcal{K}_1 , we have

$$A \leq \mathcal{K}_1(B) \text{ iff } B \leq \mathcal{K}(A).$$

Since $A^* \leq \mathcal{K}_1(A)$ iff $A \leq \mathcal{K}(A^*)$, we have $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_1} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_*$.

(3) Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}_1^*(A) \leq \mathcal{K}(B) \text{ iff } \mathcal{K}_1(A) \geq \mathcal{K}^*(B) \text{ iff } \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(B)) = \mathcal{K}(B) \geq A$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_1(\mathcal{K}_1^*(A)) &= \bigvee \{B \mid \mathcal{K}_1^*(A) \leq \mathcal{K}(B)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{B \mid A \leq \mathcal{K}(B)\} \\ &= \mathcal{K}_1(A). \end{aligned}$$

(4) Let $\mathcal{K}(A) \in \tau_{\mathcal{K}}$. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A)$, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A))) = (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)))^* = \mathcal{K}(A)$. Hence $\mathcal{K}^*(A) \in \tau_{\mathcal{K}}$; i.e. $\mathcal{K}(A) \in (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_*$.

Let $A \in (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_*$. Then $A = \mathcal{K}(A^*)$. Since $\mathcal{K}(A) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A^*)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A^*) = A^*$, then $A \in \tau_{\mathcal{K}}$. Thus, $(\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_* \subset \tau_{\mathcal{K}}$.

(5) (M1) Since $A \leq \mathcal{K}(A^*)$, $\mathcal{M}_K(A) = \mathcal{K}(A^*)^* \leq A^*$.

(M2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_K(\alpha \rightarrow A) &= (\mathcal{K}((\alpha \rightarrow A)^*))^* = (\mathcal{K}(\alpha \odot A^*))^* \\ &= (\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(A^*))^* = \alpha \odot \mathcal{K}(A^*)^* \\ &= \alpha \odot \mathcal{M}_K(A). \end{aligned}$$

(M3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_K(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) &= (\mathcal{K}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i))^* = (\mathcal{K}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i^*))^* \\ &= (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{K}(A_i^*))^* = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{K}(A_i^*))^* \\ &= \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{M}_K(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the pair $(\mathcal{M}_K, \mathcal{M}_{K_1})$ is a dual Galois connection from:

$$\mathcal{M}_K(A) \leq B \text{ iff } B^* \leq \mathcal{K}(A^*) \text{ iff } A^* \leq \mathcal{K}_1(B^*)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_1^*(B^*) \leq A \text{ iff } \mathcal{M}_{K_1}(B) \leq A.$$

We have $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_1} = \tau_{\mathcal{M}_K} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}})_* = (\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{K_1}})_*$ from:

$$A^* \leq \mathcal{K}_1(A) \text{ iff } A \leq \mathcal{K}(A^*)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_K(A) \leq A^* \text{ iff } \mathcal{M}_{K_1}(A^*) \leq A.$$

(6) Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_K(\mathcal{M}_K^*(A)) &= \mathcal{K}^*(\mathcal{M}_K(A)) = (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A^*)))^* \\ &= \mathcal{K}^*(A^*) = \mathcal{M}_K(A). \end{aligned}$$

By (3), since $\mathcal{K}_1(\mathcal{K}_1^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_1(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, $(\mathcal{M}_K)_1((\mathcal{M}_K)_1^*(A)) = (\mathcal{M}_K)_1(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. Thus,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}_K} = \{\mathcal{M}_K^*(A) \mid A \in L^X\}, \tau_{(\mathcal{M}_K)_1} = \{(\mathcal{M}_K)_1^*(A) \mid A \in L^X\}.$$

(7) Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_K(\mathcal{M}_K(A)) &= \mathcal{K}^*(\mathcal{M}_K^*(A)) = (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A^*)))^* \\ &= (\mathcal{K}^*(A^*))^* = \mathcal{M}_K^*(A). \end{aligned}$$

By the similarly method in (4), $\mathcal{M}_K(\mathcal{M}_K^*(A)) = \mathcal{M}_K(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. Thus,

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}_K} = \{\mathcal{M}_K(A) \mid A \in L^X\} = (\tau_{\mathcal{M}_K})^*.$$

(8) It is similarly proved as (5).

(9) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K(A)) = \mathcal{J}_K(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K(A)) &= \mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{K}(A^*)) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}^*(A^*)) \\ &= \mathcal{K}(A^*) = \mathcal{J}_K(A). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{J}_{K_1}(\mathcal{J}_{K_1}(A)) = \mathcal{J}_{K_1}(A)$. Thus, the results hold.

(10) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K^*(A)) = \mathcal{J}_K^*(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K^*(A)) &= \mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{K}^*(A^*)) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A^*)) \\ &= \mathcal{K}^*(A^*) = \mathcal{J}_K^*(A). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K^*(A)) = \mathcal{J}_K^*(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K(A)) &= \mathcal{J}_K(\mathcal{J}_K^*(\mathcal{J}_K^*(A))) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_K^*(\mathcal{J}_K^*(A)) = \mathcal{J}_K(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_K} = \{\mathcal{J}_K^*(A) \mid A \in L^X\} = (\tau_{\mathcal{J}_K})^*$.

(11) and (12) are similarly proved as (5) and (6), respectively.

(13) If $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)) = \mathcal{K}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$, then $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathcal{H}_K^*(A)) = \mathcal{H}_K^*(A)$ from:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_K(\mathcal{H}_K^*(A)) &= \mathcal{H}_K(\mathcal{K}(A)) = (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(A)))^* \\ &= (\mathcal{K}^*(A))^* = \mathcal{H}_K^*(A). \end{aligned}$$

(14) $(\mathcal{H}_{K_1}, \mathcal{J}_K)$ is a residuated connection; i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{K_1}(A) \leq B &\text{ iff } \mathcal{K}_1(A) \geq B^*, \\ A \leq \mathcal{K}(B^*) &\text{ iff } A \leq \mathcal{J}_K(B), \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(\mathcal{H}_K, \mathcal{J}_{K_1})$ is a residuated connection.

Example 2.2 Let R be a reflexive L -fuzzy relation. Define $\mathcal{K}_{R^*} : L^X \rightarrow L^X$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)).$$

$$(1) \text{ (K1) } \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)(y) \leq A(y) \rightarrow R^*(y, y) = A^*(x).$$

$$(K2) \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(a \odot A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} ((a \odot A)(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)) = a \rightarrow \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)) = a \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)(y).$$

(K3) $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (A_i(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A_i)(y)$. Hence \mathcal{K}_{R^*} is an L -join meet approximation operator.

(2) Define $(\mathcal{K}_{R^*})_1(B) = \bigvee \{A \mid B \leq \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)\}$. Since $B(y) \leq \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(B)(y)$ iff $B(y) \leq A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)$ iff $A(x) \leq B(y) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)$, then

$$(\mathcal{K}_{R^*})_1(B)(x) = \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (B(y) \rightarrow R^{-1*}(y, x)).$$

Then $(\mathcal{K}_{R^*})_1 = \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}$ with

$$\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow R^{-1*}(x, y))$$

is an L -join meet approximation operator such that $(\mathcal{K}_R, \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}})$ is a Galois connection; i.e.,

$$A \leq \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}(B) \text{ iff } B \leq \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A).$$

Moreover, $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}})^*$.

(3) If R is an L -fuzzy preorder, then R^{-1} is an L -fuzzy preorder. Since $R(x, y) \odot R(y, z) \leq R(x, z)$ iff

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) \odot R(x, y) \odot (A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, z)) &\leq R(x, y) \odot R^*(x, z) \leq R^*(y, z) \\ \text{iff } A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, z) &\leq A(x) \odot R(x, y) \rightarrow R^*(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A))(z) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)(y) \rightarrow R^*(y, z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R(x, y) \rightarrow R^*(y, z))) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, z)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. Similarly, $\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}(\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}})^*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}} = \{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R(x, -)) \mid A \in L^X\},$$

$$\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}} = \{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1*}}^*(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R(-, x)) \mid A \in L^X\}.$$

(4) Let R be a reflexive and Euclidean L -fuzzy relation. Since $R(x, z) \odot R(y, z) \leq R(x, y)$ iff $R(y, z) \leq R(x, z) \rightarrow R(x, y)$ iff $R(x, z) \odot R^*(x, y) \leq R^*(y, z)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) \odot R(x, z) \odot (A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)) &\leq R(x, z) \odot R^*(x, y) \leq R^*(y, z) \\ \text{iff } A(x) \odot R(x, z) &\leq (A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)) \rightarrow R^*(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A))(z) &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)(y) \rightarrow R^*(y, z)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in X} (\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)) \rightarrow R^*(y, z)) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \odot R(x, z)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}})^*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}} = \{ \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow R(x, -)) \mid A \in L^X \}.$$

(5) Define $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(A) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A^*)^*$. By Theorem 2.1 (5), $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}} = \mathcal{M}_R$ and $\mathcal{M}_{(\mathcal{K}_{R^*})_1} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}} = \mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}}$ are L -meet join approximation operators such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(A)(y) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \rightarrow R(x, y)))^* = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot R(x, y)),$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}}(A)(y) = (\bigwedge_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \rightarrow R^{-1}(x, y)))^* = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot R^{-1}(x, y)).$$

Moreover, the pair $(\mathcal{M}_R, \mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}})$ is a dual Galois connection such that $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}} = \tau_{\mathcal{M}_R} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}})^* = (\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}}})^*$.

(6) If R is an L -fuzzy preorder, by (3), $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. By Theorem 2.1(6), $\mathcal{M}_R(\mathcal{M}_R^*(A)) = \mathcal{M}_R(A)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}}(\mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}}^*(A)) = \mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}} = \tau_{\mathcal{M}_R} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}})^* = (\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}}})^*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}_R} = \{ \mathcal{M}_R^*(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (R(x, -) \rightarrow A(x)) \mid A \in L^X \},$$

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}}} = \{ \mathcal{M}_{R^{-1}}^*(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (R(-, x) \rightarrow A(x)) \mid A \in L^X \}.$$

(7) If R is a reflexive and Euclidean L -fuzzy relation, by (4), $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. By Theorem 2.1(7), then $\mathcal{M}_R(\mathcal{M}_R(A)) = \mathcal{M}_R^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{M}_R} = (\tau_{\mathcal{M}_R})^*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{M}_R} = \{ \mathcal{M}_R(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot R(x, -)) \mid A \in L^X \}.$$

(8) Define $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(A) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A^*)$. By Theorem 2.1(8), $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}} = \mathcal{J}_R$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}*}} = \mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}$ are L -lower approximation operators such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (R(x, y) \rightarrow A(x)),$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \rightarrow R^{-1^*}(x, y)) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (R(y, x) \rightarrow A(x)).$$

Moreover, $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_R} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}})^* = \tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}} = (\tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}})^* = \tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}$.

(9) If R is an L -fuzzy preorder, by (3), $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. By Theorem 2.1(9), then $\mathcal{J}_R(\mathcal{J}_R(A)) = \mathcal{J}_R(A)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}(\mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}(A)) = \mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}} = (\tau_{\mathcal{J}_R})^*$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{J}_R} &= \{\mathcal{J}_R(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (R(x, -) \rightarrow A(x)) \mid A \in L^X\}, \\ \tau_{\mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}} &= \{\mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (R(-, x) \rightarrow A(x)) \mid A \in L^X\}. \end{aligned}$$

(10) If R is a reflexive and Euclidean L -fuzzy relation, by (4), $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. By Theorem 2.1(10), $\mathcal{J}_R(\mathcal{J}_R^*(A)) = \mathcal{J}_R^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_R} = (\tau_{\mathcal{J}_R})^*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{J}_R} = \{\mathcal{J}_R^*(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A^*(x) \odot R(x, -)) \mid A \in L^X\}.$$

(11) Define $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(A) = (\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A))^*$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}} = \mathcal{H}_R$ is an L -upper approximation operator such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (R(x, y) \odot A(x)).$$

Moreover, $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_R} = \tau_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}$.

(12) If R is an L -fuzzy preorder, by (3), $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}^*(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. By Theorem 2.1(12), $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(A)) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^*}}(A)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}}(A)) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}}(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R^{-1}}} = (\tau_{\mathcal{H}_R})^*$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathcal{H}_R} &= \{\mathcal{H}_R(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (R(x, -) \odot A(x)) \mid A \in L^X\}, \\ \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R^{-1}}} &= \{\mathcal{H}_{R^{-1}}(A) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (R(-, x) \odot A(x)) \mid A \in L^X\}. \end{aligned}$$

(13) If R is a reflexive and Euclidean L -fuzzy relation, by (4), $\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(\mathcal{K}_{R^*}(A)) = \mathcal{K}_{R^*}^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$. By Theorem 2.1(13), $\mathcal{H}_R(\mathcal{H}_R^*(A)) = \mathcal{H}_R^*(A)$ for $A \in L^X$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{H}_R} = (\tau_{\mathcal{H}_R})^*$ with

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}_R} = \{\mathcal{H}_R^*(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \rightarrow R^*(x, -)) \mid A \in L^X\}.$$

(14) $(\mathcal{H}_{R^{-1}}, \mathcal{J}_R)$ is a residuated connection; i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{R^{-1}}(A) \leq B &\text{ iff } \mathcal{K}_{R^{-1}^*}(A) \geq B^*, \\ A \leq \mathcal{K}_{R^*}(B^*) &\text{ iff } A \leq \mathcal{J}_R(B). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(\mathcal{H}_R, \mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}})$ is a residuated connection. Moreover, $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_R} = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_{R^{-1}}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{J}_{R^{-1}}} = \tau_{\mathcal{H}_R}$.

References

- [1] R. Bělohlávek, Fuzzy Relational Systems, *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, New York , 2002.
- [2] P. Hájek, Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic, *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, Dordrecht (1998).
- [3] Y.C. Kim, L-approximations and join preserving maps, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **3** (5) (2013), 1193-1210.
- [4] Y.C. Kim, Alexandrov *L*-topologies and *L*-join meet approximation operators *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **91**(1)(2014), 113-129.
- [5] H. Lai, D. Zhang, Fuzzy preorder and fuzzy topology, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **157** (2006), 1865-1885.
- [6] H. Lai, D. Zhang, Concept lattices of fuzzy contexts: Formal concept analysis vs. rough set theory, *Int. J. Approx. Reasoning*, **50** (2009), 695-707.
- [7] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inf. Sci.*, **11** (1982), 341-356.
- [8] Z. Pawlak, Rough probability, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.*, **32**(1984), 607-615.
- [9] A. M. Radzikowska, E.E. Kerre, A comparative study of fuzzy rough sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **126**(2002), 137-155.
- [10] Y.H. She, G.J. Wang, An axiomatic approach of fuzzy rough sets based on residuated lattices, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **58**(2009), 189-201.
- [11] Zhen Ming Ma, Bao Qing Hu, Topological and lattice structures of L-fuzzy rough set determined by lower and upper sets, *Information Sciences*, **218**(2013), 194-204.

Received: March, 2014