

Weak Monotonicity for Weak Solutions to Elliptic Equations with Degenerate Coercivity

GAO Hongya

College of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China

LIANG Shuang

College of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China

CUI Yi

College of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China

Abstract

Weak monotonicity result is obtained for weak solutions to elliptic equations of the type

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x, u)|Du|^{p-2}Du) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad p > 1,$$

where $a(x, s) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\alpha}{(1 + |s|)^\theta} \leq a(x, s) \leq \beta, \quad \alpha \leq \beta < \infty, 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B65, 35J60, 35J70.

Keywords: Weak monotonicity, weak solution, elliptic equation, degenerate coercivity.

1 Introduction and Statement of Result.

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$. Consider the elliptic equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x, u)|Du|^{p-2}Du) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad p > 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where $a(x, s) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable Carathéodory function satisfying

$$\frac{\alpha}{(1 + |s|)^\theta} \leq a(x, s) \leq \beta, \quad 0 < \theta < 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$.

Definition 1.1 A function $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is called a weak solution to (1.1) if

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, u) |Du|^{p-2} Du D\psi dx = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

holds true for any $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with compact support.

Some regularity properties for weak solutions to equation (1.1) with condition (1.2) have been obtained in [1].

Definition 1.2 A real valued function $u \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is said to be weakly monotone if for every ball $B \subset \Omega$ and all constants $m \leq M$ such that

$$\varphi = (u - M)^+ - (m - u)^+ \in W_0^{1,1}(B), \quad (1.4)$$

we have

$$m \leq u(x) \leq M \quad (1.5)$$

for almost every $x \in B$.

The concept of weakly monotone function was introduced by Manfredi in 1994, see [2]. For continuous functions (1.4) holds if and only if $m \leq u(x) \leq M$ on ∂B . Then (1.5) says we want the same condition in B , that is the maximum and minimum principles. For some results related to weakly monotone functions, see [3-4].

This paper deals with weak monotonicity property for weak solutions to (1.1) with $a(x, s)$ satisfying the degenerate coercivity condition (1.2). The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 Under the condition (1.2), any weak solution $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to (1.1) is weakly monotone.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3.

Let $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (1.1), $B \subset \Omega$ and (1.4) holds true. Take $\psi = (u - M)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(B)$ as a test function in (1.3), and notice that

$$D\psi = \begin{cases} Du, & u < M, \\ 0, & u \geq M \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

we arrive at

$$\int_{B \cap \{u < M\}} a(x, u) |Du|^{p-2} Du D u dx = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that condition (1.2) ensures the integrability of the integrand of (2.2). Condition (1.2) implies

$$\int_{B \cap \{u < M\}} \frac{\alpha}{(1 + |u|)^\theta} |Du|^p dx = 0,$$

from which we derive

$$|Du| = 0, \text{ a.e. } B \cap \{u < M\}.$$

This result together with (2.1) implies $D\psi = 0$ a.e. B . Since $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(B)$, then $\psi = 0$ a.e. B . That is, $u(x) \leq M$ a.e. B .

The same reasoning applies to $\psi = (m - u)^+$ implies $m \leq u(x)$ a.e. B , completing the proof of Theorem 1.3.

References

- [1] D.Giachetti, M.M.Porzio, Elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity: gradient regularity, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 2003, 19(2), 349-370.
- [2] J.Manfredi, Weakly monotone functions, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 1994, 3, 393-402.
- [3] T.Iwaniec, P.Koskela, J.Onninen, Mappings of finite distortion: monotonicity and continuity, *Invent Math.*, 2001, 144, 507-531.
- [4] P.Koskela, J.Manfredi, E.Villamor, Regularity theory and traces of \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1996, 348(2), 755-766.

Received: April, 2014