

Pseudo t-conorms and interval fuzzy connectives

Yong Chan Kim

Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University,
Gangneung, Gangwondo 210-702, Korea
yck@gwnu.ac.kr

Young Sun Kim

Department of Applied Mathematics, Pai Chai University,
Dae Jeon, 302-735, Korea
yskim@pcu.ac.kr

Abstract

In this paper, we construct pairs of interval implications, interval pseudo t-norms (pseudo t-conorms) and interval generalized residuated lattice induced by pseudo t-norms. Moreover, we investigate their properties and give examples.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 03G10, 06A15, 06F07

Keywords:

pairs of negations, pairs of implications, pairs of interval negations, pairs of interval implications

1 Introduction

Bedregal and Takahashi [4] introduced interval fuzzy connectives as an extension for fuzzy connectives. This concept provides tools for approximate reasoning and decision making with a frame work to deal with uncertainty and incompleteness of information [1-3, 10]. Georgescu and Popescue [5-8] introduced pseudo t-norms and generalized residuated lattices in a sense as non-commutative property. Kim [11] introduced pairs of (interval) negations and (interval) implications. which are induced by non-commutative property. Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, \Rightarrow, \top, \perp)$ be a complete generalized residuated lattice with the law of double negation defined as $a = n_1(n_2(a)) = n_2(n_1(a))$ where $n_1(a) = a \Rightarrow \perp$ and $n_2(a) = a \rightarrow \perp$ (ref. [5-7,11]).

In this paper, we construct pairs of interval implications, interval pseudo t-norms (pseudo t-conorms) and interval generalized residuated lattice induced by pseudo t-norms. Moreover, we investigate their properties and give examples.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we assume that $(L, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$ is a bounded lattice with a bottom element \perp and a top element \top . Moreover, we define the following definitions in a sense as non-commutative [5-7] and interval property [1-4].

Definition 2.1 [4,5] A map $T : L \times L \rightarrow L$ is called a *pseudo t-norm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (T1) $T(x, T(y, z)) = T(T(x, y), z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$,
- (T2) If $y \leq z$, $T(x, y) \leq T(x, z)$ and $T(y, x) \leq T(z, x)$,
- (T3) $T(x, \top) = T(\top, x) = x$.

A pseudo t-norm is called a *t-norm* if $T(x, y) = T(y, x)$ for $x, y \in L$

A map $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$ is called a *pseudo t-conorm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (S1) $S(x, S(y, z)) = S(S(x, y), z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$,
- (S2) If $y \leq z$, $S(x, y) \leq S(x, z)$ and $S(y, x) \leq S(z, x)$,
- (S3) $S(x, \perp) = S(\perp, x) = x$.

A pseudo t-conorm is called a *t-conorm* if $S(x, y) = S(y, x)$ for $x, y \in L$.

Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp)$ be a bounded lattice. Let $L^{[2]} = \{[x_1, x_2] \mid x_1 \leq x_2, x_1, x_2 \in L\}$ where $[x_1, x_2] = \{x \in L \mid x_1 \leq x \leq x_2\}$. We define

$$[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2], \text{ iff } x_1 \leq y_1, x_2 \leq y_2$$

$$[x_1, x_2] \ll [y_1, y_2], \text{ iff } x_2 \leq y_1, x_1 \leq y_2$$

$$[x_1, x_2] \subset [y_1, y_2], \text{ iff } y_1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq y_2.$$

Definition 2.2 [11] A map $\mathcal{T} : L^{[2]} \times L^{[2]} \rightarrow L^{[2]}$ is called an *interval pseudo t-norm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(IT1) $\mathcal{T}([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{T}([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2])$ for all $[x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2] \in L^{[2]}$,

(IT2) $\mathcal{T}([x_1, x_2], [\top, \top]) = \mathcal{T}([\top, \top], [x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$.

(IT3) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [z_1, z_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2] \leq [w_1, w_2]$, then $\mathcal{T}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq \mathcal{T}([z_1, z_2], [w_1, w_2])$.

(IT4) If $[x_1, x_2] \subset [z_1, z_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2] \subset [w_1, w_2]$, then $\mathcal{T}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \subset \mathcal{T}([z_1, z_2], [w_1, w_2])$.

A pseudo t-norm is called a *interval t-norm* if $\mathcal{T}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = \mathcal{T}([y_1, y_2], [x_1, x_2])$ for $[x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2] \in L^{[2]}$

Definition 2.3 [4,5] A map $\mathcal{S} : L^{[2]} \times L^{[2]} \rightarrow L^{[2]}$ is called an *interval pseudo t-conorm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(IS1) $\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{S}([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2])$ for all $[x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2] \in L^{[2]}$,

(IS2) $\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{S}([\perp, \perp], [x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$.

(IS3) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [z_1, z_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2] \leq [w_1, w_2]$, then $\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], [w_1, w_2])$.

(IS4) If $[x_1, x_2] \subset [z_1, z_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2] \subset [w_1, w_2]$, then $\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \subset \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], [w_1, w_2])$.

An interval pseudo t-conorm is called an *interval t-conorm* if $\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = \mathcal{S}([y_1, y_2], [x_1, x_2])$ for $[x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2] \in L^{[2]}$

Definition 2.4 A pair $(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2)$ with maps $\mathcal{N}_i : L^{[2]} \rightarrow L^{[2]}$ is called a *pair of interval negations* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(IN1) $\mathcal{N}_i([\top, \top]) = [\perp, \perp]$, $\mathcal{N}_i([\perp, \perp]) = [\top, \top]$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(IN2) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2]$, then $\mathcal{N}_i([y_1, y_2]) \leq \mathcal{N}_i([x_1, x_2])$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(IN3) If $[x_1, x_2] \subset [y_1, y_2]$, then $\mathcal{N}_i([x_1, x_2]) \subset \mathcal{N}_i([y_1, y_2])$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

(IN4) $\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])) = \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])) = [x_1, x_2]$ for all $[x_1, x_2] \in L^{[2]}$.

Definition 2.5 A pair $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ with maps $\mathcal{I}_i : L^{[2]} \times L^{[2]} \rightarrow L^{[2]}$ is called a *pair of interval implications* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(II1) $\mathcal{I}_i([\top, \top], [\top, \top]) = \mathcal{I}_i([\perp, \perp], [\top, \top]) = \mathcal{I}_i([\perp, \perp], [\perp, \perp]) = [\top, \top]$, $\mathcal{I}_i([\top, \top], [\perp, \perp]) = [\perp, \perp]$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(II2) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2]$, then $\mathcal{I}_i([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]) \geq \mathcal{I}_i([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(II3) If $[x_1, x_2] \subset [y_1, y_2]$, then $\mathcal{I}_i([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]) \subset \mathcal{I}_i([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(II4) $\mathcal{I}_i([\top, \top], [x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(II5) $\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) = \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]))$ for all $[x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2] \in L^{[2]}$.

(II6) $\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = [x_1, x_2]$.

Definition 2.6 [11] A structure $(L^{[2]}, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is called an *interval generalized residuated lattice* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(G1) \mathcal{T} is an interval pseudo t-norm.

(G2) $\mathcal{T}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2]$ iff $[x_1, x_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])$ iff $[y_1, y_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])$.

3 Pseudo t-conorms and interval fuzzy connectives

Theorem 3.1 *Let $(L, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp)$ be a bounded lattice, $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$ be a pseudo t-conorm and (n_1, n_2) a pair of negations. For $i = \{1, \dots, 4\}$, We define $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^t, \mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{T}_{21}, \mathcal{T}_{12}^t, \mathcal{T}_{21}^t, \mathcal{I}_i : L^{[2]} \times L^{[2]} \rightarrow L^{[2]}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= [S(x_1, y_1), S(x_2, y_2)], \\ \mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{S}([y_1, y_2], [x_1, x_2]), \\ \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]))) \\ \mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))) \\ \mathcal{T}_k^t([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{T}_k([y_1, y_2], [x_1, x_2]), \quad k \in \{12, 21\} \\ \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [y_1, y_2]), \\ \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{S}([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])), \\ \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{S}([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])), \\ \mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), [y_1, y_2]). \end{aligned}$$

The the following properties hold.

- (1) \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}^t are interval pseudo t-conorms.
- (2) $\mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{T}_{21}, \mathcal{T}_{12}^t, \mathcal{T}_{21}^t$ are interval pseudo t-norms.
- (3) $\mathcal{T}_{12} = \mathcal{T}_{21}$ iff $\mathcal{T}_{12}^t = \mathcal{T}_{21}^t$ iff

$$\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])), \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])))$$

- (4) $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ is a pair of interval implications with

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]))$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])).$$

- (5) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [x_1, x_2] &\text{ iff } [y_1, y_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]) \\ \text{iff } [x_1, x_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]) &\text{ iff } \mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(L^{[2]}, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is an interval generalized residuated lattice with $\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = \mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2])$.

- (6) $(\mathcal{I}_3, \mathcal{I}_4)$ is a pair of implications with

$$\mathcal{I}_3(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_3([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])).$$

(7) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2] \text{ iff } [y_1, y_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]) \\ \text{ iff } [x_1, x_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]) \text{ iff } \mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(L^{[2]}, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{I}_4, \mathcal{I}_3, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is an interval generalized residuated lattice with $\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = \mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2])$.

(8) $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3)$ satisfies (III1)-(III5) such that

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_3(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_3([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{I}_3(\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]).$$

(9) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$, then $(L^{[2]}, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}_{21}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is an interval generalized residuated lattice.

(10) $(\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_4)$ satisfies (I1)-(I5) such that

$$\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]).$$

(11) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$, then $(L^{[2]}, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{I}_4, \mathcal{I}_2, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is an interval generalized residuated lattice.

(12) $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_4)$ satisfies (I1)-(I4) and (I6) such that

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

(13) If $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2])) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [z_1, z_2]))$, then $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_4)$ is an interval implication.

(14) $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_4)$ satisfies (I1)-(I4) and (I6) such that

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]).$$

(15) If $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2])) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [z_1, z_2]))$, then $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_4)$ is an interval implication.

Proof (1) are easily proved as a similar method as following (2).

(2) (IS1) $\mathcal{S}^t(\mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{S}^t([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]))$
from

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}^t(\mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{S}^t([S(y_1, x_1), S(y_2, x_2)], [z_1, z_2]) \\ & = [S(z_1, S(y_1, x_1)), S(z_2, S(y_2, x_2))] = [S(S(z_1, y_1), x_1), S(S(z_2, y_2), x_2)] \\ & = \mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], [(S(z_1, y_1), S(z_2, y_2))]) = \mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{S}^t([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) \end{aligned}$$

(IS2) $\mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]) = [S(\perp, x_1), S(\perp, x_2)] = [x_1, x_2]$. Similarly, $\mathcal{S}^t([\perp, \perp], [x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$.

(IS3) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [z_1, z_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2] \leq [w_1, w_2]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [S(y_1, x_1), S(y_2, x_2)] \\ & \leq [S(w_1, z_1), S(w_2, z_2)] = \mathcal{S}^t([z_1, z_2], [w_1, w_2]). \end{aligned}$$

(IS4) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [z_1, z_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2] \leq [w_1, w_2]$, then

$$z_1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq z_2, w_1 \leq y_1 \leq y_2 \leq w_2,$$

$$S(w_1, z_1) \leq S(y_1, x_1) \leq S(y_2, x_2) \leq S(w_2, z_2).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}^t([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [S(y_1, x_1), S(y_2, x_2)] \\ & \subset [S(w_1, z_1), S(w_2, z_2)] = \mathcal{S}^t([z_1, z_2], [w_1, w_2]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{S}^t is an interval pseudo t-norm.

(2) (IT1) $\mathcal{T}_{12}(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{T}_{12}([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]))$
from

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}_{12}(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) \\ & = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([z_1, z_2]))) \\ & = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]))), \mathcal{N}_2([z_1, z_2]))) \\ & = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2])), \mathcal{N}_2([z_1, z_2]))) \\ & = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([z_1, z_2])))), \\ & \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{T}_{12}([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) \\ & = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{T}_{12}([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]))) \\ & = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([z_1, z_2]))))) \\ & = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([z_1, z_2])))). \end{aligned}$$

(IS2) $\mathcal{S}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([\perp, \perp]))) = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])) = [x_1, x_2]$. Similarly, $\mathcal{S}([\perp, \perp], [x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$.

(IS3) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [z_1, z_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2] \leq [w_1, w_2]$, then $\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], [w_1, w_2])$.

(IS4) If $[x_1, x_2] \subset [z_1, z_2]$ and $[y_1, y_2] \subset [w_1, w_2]$, then $\mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \subset \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], [w_1, w_2])$.

$$\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2])))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]))) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}([n_2(x_2), n_2(x_1)], [n_2(y_2), n_2(y_1)])) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(n_2(x_2), n_2(y_2)), \mathcal{S}(n_2(x_1), n_2(y_1)))) \\ &= [n_1(\mathcal{S}(n_2(x_1), n_2(y_1))), n_1(\mathcal{S}(n_2(x_2), n_2(y_2)))] \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \\ \text{iff } \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]))) &= \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))) \\ \text{iff } \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2])) &= \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))) \\ \text{iff } \mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) &= \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])), \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))) \end{aligned}$$

(4) Since $\mathcal{I}_1([\top, \top], [x_1, x_2]) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([\top, \top]), [x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2]$ and $\mathcal{I}_2([\top, \top], [x_1, x_2]) = \mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{N}_2([\top, \top])) = [x_1, x_2]$, then $\mathcal{I}_i([\top, \top], [\perp, \perp]) = [\perp, \perp]$, $\mathcal{I}_i([\top, \top], [\top, \top]) = [\top, \top]$. Moreover, $\mathcal{I}_i([\perp, \perp], [\perp, \perp]) = [\perp, \perp]$, $\mathcal{I}_i([\perp, \perp], [\top, \top]) = [\top, \top]$.

(II2) If $[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2]$, then $\mathcal{N}_i([x_1, x_2]) \geq \mathcal{N}_i([y_1, y_2])$. Hence $\mathcal{I}_i([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]) \geq \mathcal{I}_i([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(II3) If $[x_1, x_2] \subset [y_1, y_2]$, then $\mathcal{N}_i([x_1, x_2]) \subset \mathcal{N}_i([y_1, y_2])$. Hence $\mathcal{I}_i([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]) \subset \mathcal{I}_i([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]))) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [z_1, z_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2])) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2])) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])). \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])$ and $\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])$. Moreover, $\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])) = [x_1, x_2]$ and $\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])) = [x_1, x_2]$. Hence $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ is an pair of interval implications.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2])), [z_1, z_2]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))), [z_1, z_2]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2])), [z_1, z_2]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2])) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])).
 \end{aligned}$$

(5) Since $[x_1, x_2] \leq [y_1, y_2]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$ iff $\mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = [\top, \top]$, by (4), then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])) = [\top, \top] \\
 & \text{iff } \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2] \text{ iff } [y_1, y_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]) \\
 & \text{iff } \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) = \mathcal{I}_2([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])) \\
 & \quad = \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) \\
 & \text{iff } [x_1, x_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]) \text{ iff } \mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(L^{[2]}, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is an interval generalized residuated lattice. Since $\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2]$ iff $\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2]$, then $\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) = \mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2])$.

(6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])).
 \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{S}([\perp, \perp], \mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])) = \mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])$ and $\mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])$. Moreover, $\mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) = \mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])) = [x_1, x_2]$ and $\mathcal{I}_3(\mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], \perp), \perp) = \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])) = [x_1, x_2]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_3(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [y_1, y_2]) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2])), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{I}_3([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2((\mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2])), [z_1, z_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2(\mathcal{N}_1(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2])))), [z_1, z_2]) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2])), [z_1, z_2]) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]))
 \end{aligned}$$

(7) It is similarly proved as (5).

(8) We only show the condition (II5) because other cases are easily proved.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_3([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) &= \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))) \\
 \mathcal{I}_3([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])) &= \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [z_1, z_2]), \mathcal{N}_1([y_1, y_2]))
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4) and (6),

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])),$$

$$\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2]) = \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])).$$

(9) By (8), $(L^{[2]}, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}_{21}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is an interval generalized residuated lattice from:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{T}_{21}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2] &\text{ iff } [x_1, x_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_1([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]) \\
 &\text{ iff } [y_1, y_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_3([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]).
 \end{aligned}$$

(10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) &= \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]), \mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]))) \\
 \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])) &= \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]))) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{S}([z_1, z_2], \mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2])))
 \end{aligned}$$

(11) By (10), $(L^{[2]}, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{I}_4, \mathcal{I}_2, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is an interval generalized residuated lattice.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{T}_{12}([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2]) \leq [z_1, z_2] &\text{ iff } [x_1, x_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]) \\
 &\text{ iff } [y_1, y_2] \leq \mathcal{I}_2([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2]).
 \end{aligned}$$

(12)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{I}_4([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]) &= \mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_2([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2] \\
 &= \mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [\perp, \perp]), [\perp, \perp]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Other cases follows from (8) and (10).

(13)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2])) &= \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]))) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2], [z_1, z_2]))) \\ \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{I}_1([x_1, x_2], [z_1, z_2])) &= \mathcal{I}_4([y_1, y_2], \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [z_1, z_2])) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [z_1, z_2])) \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), [z_1, z_2])) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([y_1, y_2]), \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([x_1, x_2]), [z_1, z_2]))$, then $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_4)$ is an interval implication.

(14) and (15) are similarly proved as (12) and (13).

Example 3.2 Put $L = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \leq (x, y) \leq (1, 0)\}$ with a bottom element $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and a top element $(1, 0)$ where

$$(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 < x_2 \text{ or } x_1 = x_2, y_1 \leq y_2.$$

(1) Define a map $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$ as

$$S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (2x_1x_2, y_2 - 2x_2 + 2x_2y_1) \wedge (1, 0).$$

$$\begin{aligned} &S(S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)), (x_3, y_3)) \\ &= S((2x_1x_2, y_2 - 2x_2 + 2x_2y_1) \wedge (1, 0), (x_3, y_3)) \\ &= (4x_1x_2x_3, y_3 - 2x_3 + 2x_3y_2 - 4x_2x_3 + 4x_2x_3y_1) \wedge (1, 0) \\ &= S(((x_1, y_1), S((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)))) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $S((x, y), (\frac{1}{2}, 1)) = S((\frac{1}{2}, 1), (x, y)) = (x, y)$. Thus S is a t-conorm.

(2) Define $\mathcal{N}_i : L^{[2]} \rightarrow L^{[2]}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_1([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]) &= [(\frac{1}{2x_2}, \frac{1-y_2}{x_2}), (\frac{1}{2x_1}, \frac{1-y_1}{x_1})], \\ \mathcal{N}_2([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]) &= [(\frac{1}{2x_2}, 1 - \frac{y_2}{2x_2}), (\frac{1}{2x_1}, 1 - \frac{y_1}{2x_1})]. \end{aligned}$$

We easily show that $(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2)$ is a pair of interval negations. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_1([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]) &= [(x_1, 2x_1 + 2y_1 - 2), (x_2, 2x_2 + 2y_2 - 2)] \\ \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_2([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]) &= [(x_1, 1 - x_1 + \frac{y_1}{2}), (x_2, 1 - x_2 + \frac{y_2}{2})] \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= [S((x_1, y_1), (z_1, w_1)), S((x_2, y_2), (z_2, w_2))] \\ &= [(2x_1z_1, w_1 - 2z_1 + 2z_1y_1) \wedge (1, 0), (2x_2z_2, w_2 - 2z_2 + 2z_2y_2) \wedge (1, 0)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}^t([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)], [(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]) \\ &= [(2x_1z_1, y_1 - 2x_1 + 2x_1w_1) \wedge (1, 0), (2x_2z_2, y_2 - 2x_2 + 2x_2w_2) \wedge (1, 0)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}_{12}([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]), \mathcal{N}_2([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)])) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{S}([(\frac{1}{2x_2}, 1 - \frac{y_2}{2x_2}), (\frac{1}{2x_1}, 1 - \frac{y_1}{2x_1})], [(\frac{1}{2z_2}, 1 - \frac{w_2}{2z_2}), (\frac{1}{2z_1}, 1 - \frac{w_1}{2z_1})]) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_1([(\frac{1}{2z_2x_2}, 1 - \frac{w_2}{2z_2} - \frac{y_2}{2z_2x_2}) \wedge (1, 0), (\frac{1}{2z_1x_1}, 1 - \frac{w_1}{2z_1} - \frac{y_1}{2z_1x_1}) \wedge (1, 0)]) \\ &= [(x_1z_1, x_1w_1 + y_1) \vee (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (x_2z_2, x_2w_2 + y_2) \vee (\frac{1}{2}, 1)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{T}_{21}([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]), \mathcal{N}_1([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)])) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{S}([(\frac{1}{2x_2}, \frac{1-y_2}{x_2}), (\frac{1}{2x_1}, \frac{1-y_1}{x_1})], [(\frac{1}{2z_2}, \frac{1-w_2}{z_2}), (\frac{1}{2z_1}, \frac{1-w_1}{z_1})]) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_2([(\frac{1}{2z_2x_2}, -\frac{w_2}{z_2} + \frac{1-y_2}{z_2x_2}) \wedge (1, 0), (\frac{1}{2z_1x_1}, -\frac{w_1}{z_1} - \frac{1-y_1}{z_1x_1}) \wedge (1, 0)]) \\ &= [(z_1x_1, x_1w_1 + y_1) \vee (\frac{1}{2}, 1), (z_2x_2, x_2w_2 + y_2) \vee (\frac{1}{2}, 1)] \end{aligned}$$

(4) We have $\mathcal{T}_{12} = \mathcal{T}_{21}$ from

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_1([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]), \mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{N}_1([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)])) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{S}([(x_1, 2x_1 + 2y_1 - 2), (x_2, 2x_2 + 2y_2 - 2)], \\ & \quad [(z_1, 2z_1 + 2w_1 - 2), (z_2, 2z_2 + 2w_2 - 2)]) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_2([(2x_1z_1, 2w_1 - 2 + 2z_1(2x_1 + 2y_1 - 2)) \wedge (1, 0), \\ & (2x_2z_2, 2w_2 - 2 + 2z_2(2x_2 + 2y_2 - 2)) \wedge (1, 0)]) \\ &= [(2x_1z_1, w_1 + 2y_1z_1 - 2z_1) \wedge (1, 0), (2x_2z_2, w_2 + 2y_2z_2 - 2z_2) \wedge (1, 0)] \\ &= \mathcal{S}([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_1([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_1([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)]), [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}([(\frac{1}{2x_2}, \frac{1-y_2}{x_2}), (\frac{1}{2x_1}, \frac{1-y_1}{x_1})], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= [(\frac{z_1}{x_2}, w_1 - 2z_1 + \frac{2z_1 - 2z_1y_2}{x_2}) \wedge (1, 0), (\frac{z_2}{x_1}, w_2 - 2z_2 + \frac{2z_2 - 2z_2y_1}{x_1}) \wedge (1, 0)]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_2([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)], \mathcal{N}_2([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)])) \\ &= \mathcal{S}([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)], [(\frac{1}{2x_2}, 1 - \frac{y_2}{2x_2}), (\frac{1}{2x_1}, 1 - \frac{y_1}{2x_1})]) \\ &= [(\frac{z_1}{x_2}, 1 - \frac{y_2}{2x_2} - \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{w_1}{x_2}) \wedge (1, 0), (\frac{z_2}{x_1}, 1 - \frac{y_1}{2x_1} - \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{w_2}{x_1}) \wedge (1, 0)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_3([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\ &= \mathcal{S}([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)], \mathcal{N}_1([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)])) \\ &= \mathcal{S}([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)], [(\frac{1}{2x_2}, \frac{1-y_2}{x_2}), (\frac{1}{2x_1}, \frac{1-y_1}{x_1})]) \\ &= [(\frac{z_1}{x_2}, \frac{w_1 - y_2}{x_2}) \wedge (1, 0), (\frac{z_2}{x_1}, \frac{w_2 - y_1}{x_1}) \wedge (1, 0)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_4([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}_2([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)])) \\
 &= \mathcal{S}([\frac{1}{2x_2}, 1 - \frac{y_2}{2x_2}], [\frac{1}{2x_1}, 1 - \frac{y_1}{2x_1}]), [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \\
 &= [(\frac{z_1}{x_2}, w_1 - \frac{z_1 y_2}{x_2}) \wedge (1, 0), (\frac{z_2}{x_1}, w_2 - \frac{w_2 y_1}{x_1}) \wedge (1, 0)] \\
 &= [S(n_1(x_2, y_2), (z_1, w_1)), S(n_1(x_1, y_1), (z_2, w_2))].
 \end{aligned}$$

(6) The converse of Theorem 3.1(5) is not true for which $\mathcal{T}_{12} = \mathcal{T}_{21}$, but

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_1([\frac{3}{4}, 0], [\frac{3}{4}, 0]), [\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}], [\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}]) = [(1, 0), (1, 0)] \\
 & \text{but } [\frac{3}{4}, 0], [\frac{3}{4}, 0] \not\leq [\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}], [\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{2}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_2([\frac{3}{4}, 1], [\frac{3}{4}, 1]), [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}], [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]) = [(1, 0), (1, 0)] \\
 & \text{but } [\frac{3}{4}, 1], [\frac{3}{4}, 1] \not\leq [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}], [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]
 \end{aligned}$$

(7) We define $[(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)] \ll [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]$ iff $(x_1, y_1) \leq (z_2, w_2)$ and $(x_2, y_2) \leq (z_1, w_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_3([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) = [(1, 0), (1, 0)] \\
 & \text{iff } (\frac{z_1}{x_2}, \frac{w_1 - y_2}{x_2}) \geq (1, 0), (\frac{z_2}{x_1}, \frac{w_2 - y_1}{x_1}) \geq (1, 0) \\
 & \text{iff } (z_1 > x_2 \text{ or } z_1 = x_2, w_1 \geq y_2) \text{ and } (z_2 > x_1 \text{ or } z_2 = x_1, w_2 \geq y_1) \\
 & \text{iff } (x_2, y_2) \leq (z_1, w_1) \text{ and } (x_1, y_1) \leq (z_2, w_2) \\
 & [(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)] \ll [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_4([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) = [(1, 0), (1, 0)] \\
 & \text{iff } (\frac{z_1}{x_2}, w_1 - \frac{z_1 y_2}{x_2}) \geq (1, 0), (\frac{z_2}{x_1}, w_2 - \frac{w_2 y_1}{x_1}) \geq (1, 0) \\
 & \text{iff } (z_1 > x_2 \text{ or } z_1 = x_2, w_1 \geq y_2) \text{ and } (z_2 > x_1 \text{ or } z_2 = x_1, w_2 \geq y_1) \\
 & \text{iff } (x_2, y_2) \leq (z_1, w_1) \text{ and } (x_1, y_1) \leq (z_2, w_2) \\
 & [(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)] \ll [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{T}_{12}([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \leq [(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)] \\
 & \text{iff } [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)] \ll \mathcal{I}_4([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)]) \\
 & \text{iff } [(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)] \ll \mathcal{I}_3([(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)], [(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)]) \\
 & \text{iff } \mathcal{T}_{21}([(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)], [(z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)]) \ll [(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_{12} = \mathcal{T}_{21}$, $(L^{[2]}, \ll, \vee, \wedge, \mathcal{T}_{12}, \mathcal{I}_4, \mathcal{I}_3, [\top, \top], [\perp, \perp])$ is an interval generalized residuated lattice

(8) $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3)$ is not a pair of interval implications from:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{I}_3([\frac{3}{4}, 1], [\frac{2}{3}, 2]), [\frac{1}{2}, 1], [\frac{1}{2}, 1]), [\frac{1}{2}, 1], [\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \\
 &= \mathcal{I}_3(\mathcal{I}_1([\frac{3}{4}, 1], [\frac{2}{3}, 2]), [\frac{1}{2}, 1], [\frac{1}{2}, 1]), [\frac{1}{2}, 1], [\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \\
 &= \mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{N}_1([\frac{3}{4}, 1], [\frac{2}{3}, 2]) = [\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2}], [\frac{2}{3}, \frac{10}{3}] \\
 &\neq [\frac{3}{4}, 1], [\frac{2}{3}, 2].
 \end{aligned}$$

(9) $(\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_4)$ is not a pair of interval implications from:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{I}_4([\frac{3}{4}, 1), (\frac{2}{3}, 2)], [(\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, 1)], [(\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, 1)]) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_4(\mathcal{I}_2([\frac{3}{4}, 1), (\frac{2}{3}, 2)], [(\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, 1)], [(\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{1}{2}, 1)]) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{N}_2([\frac{3}{4}, 1), (\frac{2}{3}, 2)]) = [(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}), (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3})] \\ &\neq [(\frac{3}{4}, 1), (\frac{2}{3}, 2)]. \end{aligned}$$

(10) $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_4)$ is not a pair of interval implications from:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_1([\frac{3}{5}, 0), (\frac{3}{4}, 1)], \mathcal{I}_4([\frac{3}{5}, -1), (\frac{2}{3}, 2)], [(\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{4}{7}, 5)]) = [(1, -2), (1, 0)] \\ & \mathcal{I}_4([\frac{3}{5}, -1), (\frac{2}{3}, 2)], \mathcal{I}_1([\frac{3}{5}, 0), (\frac{3}{4}, 1)], [(\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{4}{7}, 5)]) = [(1, 0), (1, 0)] \end{aligned}$$

(11) $(\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3)$ is not a pair of interval implications from:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_2([\frac{3}{5}, 0), (\frac{3}{4}, 1)], \mathcal{I}_3([\frac{3}{5}, -1), (\frac{2}{3}, 2)], [(\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{4}{7}, 5)]) = [(1, -3), (1, 0)] \\ & \mathcal{I}_3([\frac{3}{5}, -1), (\frac{2}{3}, 2)], \mathcal{I}_2([\frac{3}{5}, 0), (\frac{3}{4}, 1)], [(\frac{1}{2}, 1), (\frac{4}{7}, 5)]) = [(1, -\frac{5}{2}), (1, 0)]. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] B.C. Bedregal, On interval fuzzy negations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **161**(2010), 2290-2313.
- [2] B.C. Bedregal, On interval fuzzy S-implications, *Information Sciences*, **180**(2010), 1373-1389.
- [3] B.C. Bedregal, R.H.N. Santiago, Interval representations, Lukasiewicz implicators and Smetz-Magrez axioms, *Information Sciences*, **221**(2013), 192-200.
- [4] B.C. Bedregal, A. Takahashi, The best interval representations of t-norms and automorphisms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **161**(2006), 3220-3230.
- [5] P. Flonder, G. Georgescu, A. Iorgulescu, Pseudo t-norms and pseudo-BL algebras, *Soft Computing*, **5**(2001), 355-371.
- [6] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, Non-commutative Galois connections, *Soft Computing*, **7**(2003), 458-467.
- [7] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, Non-commutative fuzzy structures and pairs of weak negations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **143**(2004), 129-155.
- [8] G. Georgescu, A. Popescue, Non-dual fuzzy connections, *Arch. Math. Log.* **43**(2004), 1009-1039.

- [9] U. Höhle, E. P. Klement, *Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston, 1995.
- [10] D. Li, Yongming Li, Algebraic structures of interval-valued fuzzy (S, N) -implications, *Int. J. Approx. Reasoning*, **53** (2012), 892-900.
- [11] Y.C. Kim, Pairs of interval negations and interval implications, *Int. J. Pure and Applied Math.*, **88(2)** (2013), 305-319.
- [12] E. Turunen, *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, A Springer-Verlag Co., 1999.

Received: June, 2014