

## 3-Lie bialgebras $(L_c, C_b)$ and $(L_c, C_c)$

BAI Ruipu

College of Mathematics and Information Science,  
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China  
email: bairuipu@hbu.edu.cn

LIN Lixin

College of Mathematics and Information Science,  
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China  
email: llxhebeidaxue@163.com

### Abstract

In this paper, we continue to study the structure of four dimensional 3-Lie bialgebras. we obtain that there exist seven classes 3-Lie bialgebras of types  $(L_{c_i}, C_{b_j})$ , for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$  (Theorem 3.2). And thirteen classes 3-Lie bialgebras of types  $(L_{c_i}, C_{c_j})$ , for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$  (Theorem 3.3).

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 17B05 17D30

**Keywords:** 3-Lie algebra, 3-Lie coalgebra, 3-Lie bialgebra.

## 1 Preliminaries

A **3-Lie algebra** [1] is a vector space  $L$  endowed with a linear multiplication  $\mu : L^{\wedge 3} \rightarrow L$  satisfying that, for all  $x, y, z, u, v \in L$ ,

$$\mu(u, v, \mu(x, y, z)) = \mu(x, y, \mu(u, v, z)) + \mu(y, z, \mu(u, v, x)) + \mu(z, x, \mu(u, v, y)).$$

For defining 3-Lie coalgebras, we need to define following linear maps

$$\omega_i : L \otimes L \otimes L \otimes L \otimes L \rightarrow L \otimes L \otimes L \otimes L \otimes L, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \text{ by}$$

$$\omega_1(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4 \otimes x_5) = x_3 \otimes x_4 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_5,$$

$$\omega_2(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4 \otimes x_5) = x_4 \otimes x_5 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3,$$

$$\omega_3(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4 \otimes x_5) = x_5 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_4.$$

A **3-Lie coalgebra**  $(L, \Delta)$  [2] is a vector space  $L$  with a linear map  $\Delta : L \rightarrow L \otimes L \otimes L$  satisfying

$$\text{Im}(\Delta) \subset L \wedge L \wedge L, \text{ and } (1 - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta = 0.$$

Let  $(L_1, \Delta_1)$  and  $(L_2, \Delta_2)$  be 3-Lie coalgebras. If there is a linear isomorphism  $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  satisfying  $(\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(\Delta_1(e)) = \Delta_2(\varphi(e))$ , for all  $e \in L_1$ , then  $(L_1, \Delta_1)$  is isomorphic to  $(L_2, \Delta_2)$ , and  $\varphi$  is called a 3-Lie coalgebra isomorphism, where  $(\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi) \sum_i (a_i \otimes b_i \otimes c_i) = \sum_i \varphi(a_i) \otimes \varphi(b_i) \otimes \varphi(c_i)$ .

**A 3-Lie bialgebra**[2] is a triple  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  such that

- (1)  $(L, \mu)$  is a 3-Lie algebra with the multiplication  $\mu : L \wedge L \wedge L \rightarrow L$ ,
- (2)  $(L, \Delta)$  is a 3-Lie coalgebra with  $\Delta : L \rightarrow L \wedge L \wedge L$ ,
- (3)  $\Delta$  and  $\mu$  satisfy the following identity, for  $x, y, u, v, w \in L$ ,

$$\Delta\mu(x, y, z) = ad_\mu^{(3)}(x, y)\Delta(z) + ad_\mu^{(3)}(y, z)\Delta(x) + ad_\mu^{(3)}(z, x)\Delta(y),$$

where  $ad_\mu^{(3)}(x, y), ad_\mu^{(3)}(z, x), ad_\mu^{(3)}(y, z) : L \otimes L \otimes L \rightarrow L \otimes L \otimes L$  are linear maps defined by (similar for  $ad_\mu^{(3)}(z, x)$  and  $ad_\mu^{(3)}(y, z)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} ad_\mu^{(3)}(x, y)(u \otimes v \otimes w) &= (ad_\mu(x, y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(u \otimes v \otimes w) \\ &+ (1 \otimes ad_\mu(x, y) \otimes 1)(u \otimes v \otimes w) + (1 \otimes 1 \otimes ad_\mu(x, y))(u \otimes v \otimes w) \\ &= \mu(x, y, u) \otimes v \otimes w + u \otimes \mu(x, y, v) \otimes w + u \otimes v \otimes \mu(x, y, w). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.1**[1] *Let  $(L, \mu)$  be a 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra with  $\dim L^1 \neq 0, 2$ , and  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$  be a basis of  $L$ . Then  $L$  is isomorphic to one and only one of the following*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{b_1} \cdot \mu(e_2, e_3, e_4) &= e_1, \quad L_{b_2} \cdot \mu(e_1, e_2, e_3) = e_1. \\ L_d \cdot \mu_d(e_2, e_3, e_4) &= e_1, \mu_d(e_1, e_3, e_4) = e_2, \mu_d(e_1, e_2, e_4) = e_3. \\ L_e \cdot \mu_e(e_2, e_3, e_4) &= e_1, \mu_e(e_1, e_3, e_4) = e_2, \mu_e(e_1, e_2, e_4) = e_3, \mu_e(e_1, e_2, e_3) = e_4. \end{aligned}$$

## 2 3-Lie bialgebras of types $(L_c, C_b)$ and $(L_c, C_c)$

First We give the classification of 3-Lie coalgebras of the types  $(L, C_b)$  and  $(L, C_c)$ .

**Lemma 3.1** [3][4] *Let  $(L, \Delta)$  be a 4-dimensional 3-Lie coalgebra with  $m$ -dimensional derived algebra ( $m \leq 2$ ), and  $e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4$  be a basis of  $L$ . Then  $L$  isomorphic to one and only one of the  $C_{b_1} = (L, \Delta_{b_1})$  and  $C_{b_2} = (L, \Delta_{b_2})$ ,  $C_{c_1} = (L, \Delta_{c_1}), C_{c_2} = (L, \Delta_{c_2}), C_{c_3} = (L, \Delta_{c_3})$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{b_1} \cdot \Delta_{b_1}(e^1) &= e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4; \quad C_{b_2} \cdot \Delta_{b_2}(e^1) = e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3; \\ C_{c_1} \cdot \Delta_{c_1}(e^1) &= e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4, \quad \Delta_{c_1}(e^2) = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4; \\ C_{c_2} \cdot \Delta_{c_2}(e^1) &= \alpha e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4, \quad \Delta_{c_2}(e^2) = e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 + e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4; \\ C_{c_3} \cdot \Delta_{c_3}(e^1) &= e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4, \quad \Delta_{c_3}(e^2) = e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4; \quad \alpha \in F, \alpha \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, in the following, for a 3-Lie bialgebra  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$ , if the 3-Lie algebra  $(L, \mu)$  is the case  $(L, \mu_{c_i})$  in Lemma 2.1 and the 3-Lie coalgebra  $(L, \Delta)$  is the case  $(L, \Delta_b)$  and  $(L, \Delta_c)$  in Lemma 3.1, then the 3-Lie bialgebra  $(L, \mu_{c_i}, \Delta_b)$  and  $(L, \mu_{c_i}, \Delta_c)$  are simply denoted by  $(L_{c_i}, C_b)$  and  $(L_{c_i}, C_c)$ , which are called the 3-Lie bialgebras of type  $(L_c, C_b)$ , and  $(L_c, C_c)$ , respectively.

For a given 3-Lie algebra  $L$ , in order to find all the 3-Lie bialgebra structures on  $L$ , we should find all the 3-Lie coalgebra structures on  $L$  which are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L$ . Although a permutation of a basis of  $L$  gives isomorphic 3-Lie coalgebra, but it may lead to the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebra.

**Theorem 3.2** *The only non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras of the types  $(L_{c_i}, C_{b_j})$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2$  are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{c_1}, C_{b_1}, \Delta_1): \Delta_1(e_3) &= e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4. & (L_{c_1}, C_{b_2}, \Delta_2): \Delta_2(e_3) &= e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2. \\ (L_{c_2}, C_{b_1}, \Delta_1): \Delta_1(e_3) &= e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4. & (L_{c_2}, C_{b_2}, \Delta_2): \Delta_2(e_3) &= e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2. \\ (L_{c_3}, C_{b_1}, \Delta_1): \Delta_1(e_3) &= e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4. & (L_{c_3}, C_{b_1}, \Delta_3): \Delta_5(e_1) &= e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4. \\ (L_{c_3}, C_{b_2}, \Delta_2): \Delta_2(e_3) &= e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** From Lemma 3.1, we need to verify that whether the following eight 3-Lie coalgebras of type  $C_{b_1}$ , which are obtained by permuting the basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ , are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \Delta(e_1) &= e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4; & (2) \quad \Delta(e_1) &= e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3; \\ (3) \quad \Delta(e_2) &= e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3; & (4) \quad \Delta(e_2) &= e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4; \\ (5) \quad \Delta(e_3) &= e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4; & (6) \quad \Delta(e_3) &= e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2; \\ (7) \quad \Delta(e_4) &= e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3; & (8) \quad \Delta(e_4) &= e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, only cases (5), (6), (7) and (8) of the type  $C_{b_1}$  are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_1}$ , the cases (5), (6), (7) and (8) of the type  $C_{b_1}$  are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_2}$ , and the eight cases of the type  $C_{b_1}$  are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_3}$ .

Thanks to the following isomorphisms of the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_1}$ ,  $f : L \rightarrow L$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{c_1}, C_{b_1}): (5) \rightarrow (6), (7) \rightarrow (8) : f(e_1) &= e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4; \\ & (5) \rightarrow (8) : f(e_1) = -e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_4, f(e_4) = e_3; \\ (L_{c_2}, C_{b_1}): (5) \rightarrow (8), (6) \rightarrow (7) : f(e_1) &= e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = -e_4, f(e_4) = e_3; \\ & (5) \rightarrow (6) : f(e_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_2) = \sqrt{\alpha}e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_3) = -\sqrt{-1}e_3, \\ & f(e_4) = \sqrt{-1}e_4; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{c_3}, C_{b_1}) : (1) \rightarrow (2) : f(e_1) &= e_1, f(e_2) = -e_2, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4; \\ & (1) \rightarrow (3), (2) \rightarrow (4), (5) \rightarrow (6) : f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, \\ & f(e_4) = e_4; \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \rightarrow (8), (6) \rightarrow (7) : f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_4, f(e_4) = -e_3;$$

we get the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras  $(L_{c_1}, C_{b_1}, \Delta_1)$ , and the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras  $(L_{c_2}, C_{b_1}, \Delta_1)$ . Since  $e_3$  is not contained in the derived algebra of the 3-Lie algebra, we get the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras  $(L_{c_3}, C_{b_1}, \Delta_1)$ ,  $(L_{c_3}, C_{b_1}, \Delta_3)$ .

By Lemma 3.1, we need to verify that whether the twenty four isomorphic 3-Lie coalgebras of the type  $C_{b_1}$  are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , respectively, (we omit the zero product)

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \Delta e_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3, & (2) \quad \Delta e_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4, & (3) \quad \Delta e_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \\ (4) \quad \Delta e_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2, & (5) \quad \Delta e_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2, & (6) \quad \Delta e_1 &= e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3, \\ (7) \quad \Delta e_2 &= e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3, & (8) \quad \Delta e_2 &= e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4, & (9) \quad \Delta e_2 &= e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \\ (10) \quad \Delta e_2 &= e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1, & (11) \quad \Delta e_2 &= e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1, & (12) \quad \Delta e_2 &= e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3, \\ (13) \quad \Delta e_3 &= e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, & (14) \quad \Delta e_3 &= e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4, & (15) \quad \Delta e_3 &= e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4, \\ (16) \quad \Delta e_3 &= e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1, & (17) \quad \Delta e_3 &= e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1, & (18) \quad \Delta e_3 &= e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2, \\ (19) \quad \Delta e_4 &= e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, & (20) \quad \Delta e_4 &= e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3, & (21) \quad \Delta e_4 &= e_4 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3, \\ (22) \quad \Delta e_4 &= e_4 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1, & (23) \quad \Delta e_4 &= e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1, & (24) \quad \Delta e_4 &= e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct computation, only cases (13), (16), (19) and (22) of the type  $C_{b_2}$  are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_1}$ ,  $L_{c_2}$ , and  $L_{c_3}$ , respectively.

Thanks to the following isomorphisms of the 3-Lie algebra

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{c_1}, C_{b_2}) (13) \rightarrow (22), (16) \rightarrow (19) : f(e_1) &= -e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_4, f(e_4) = e_3; \\ & (13) \rightarrow (16) : f(e_1) = -e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = -e_4; \\ (L_{c_2}, C_{b_2}) (13) \rightarrow (19), (16) \rightarrow (22) : f(e_3) &= e_4, f(e_4) = -e_3, f(e_i) = e_i, i = 1, 2; \end{aligned}$$

(13)  $\rightarrow$  (16) :  $f(e_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_2) = \sqrt{\alpha}e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_i) = e_i, i = 3, 4;$   
 $(L_{c_3}, C_{b_2})$ : (13)  $\rightarrow$  (16), (19)  $\rightarrow$  (22) :  $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_i) = e_i, i = 1, 2;$   
 (13)  $\rightarrow$  (19) :  $f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_4, f(e_4) = -e_3;$   
 the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras are  $(L_{c_1}, C_{b_2}, \Delta_2), (L_{c_2}, C_{b_2}, \Delta_2), (L_{c_3}, C_{b_2}, \Delta_2).$   
 The proof is complete.

**Theorem 3.3** *The non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras of the types  $(L_{c_j}, C_{c_k})$  for  $1 \leq j, k \leq 3$  are as follows:*

- $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_1)$   $\Delta_1(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_1(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2;$
- $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_3}, \Delta_3)$   $\Delta_3(e_3) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_3(e_4) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2;$
- $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_3}, \Delta_4)$   $\Delta_4(e_1) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta_4(e_2) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4;$
- $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_3}, \Delta_5)$   $\Delta_5(e_1) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4, \Delta_5(e_3) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4;$
- $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_6)$   $\Delta_6(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_6(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2;$
- $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_3}, \Delta_3)$   $\Delta_3(e_3) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_3(e_4) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2;$
- $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_1)$   $\Delta_1(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_1(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2;$
- $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_8)$   $\Delta_8(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta_8(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4;$
- $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_9)$   $\Delta_9(e_1) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4, \Delta_9(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4;$
- $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_3}, \Delta_3)$   $\Delta_3(e_3) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_3(e_4) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2;$
- $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_2}, \Delta_2)$   $\Delta_2(e_3) = \alpha e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_2(e_4) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2;$
- $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_2}, \Delta_7)$   $\Delta_7(e_3) = \alpha e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_7(e_4) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2;$
- $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_2}, \Delta_7)$   $\Delta_7(e_3) = \alpha e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2, \Delta_7(e_4) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2,$  where  $\alpha \in F, \alpha \neq 0.$

**Proof** From Lemma 3.1, and Theorem 2.3 in [4], we need to verify that whether the twelve 3-Lie coalgebras of type  $C_1$ , twenty four 3-Lie coalgebras of type  $C_2$ , and the twelve 3-Lie coalgebras of type  $C_3$  which are obtained by permuting the basis  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4,$  are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_i}, i = 1, 2, 3$  respectively.

By a direct computation, only the cases (11) and (12) of the type  $C_{c_1}$ , the cases (21), (22), (23) and (24) of the  $C_{c_2}$  and all the twelve cases of the type  $C_{c_3}$  are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_1}$ , respectively. By the following isomorphisms of 3-Lie algebra  $f : L_{c_1} \rightarrow L_{c_1}$ :

- $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_1})$  : (11)  $\rightarrow$  (12) :  $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4;$
- $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_2})$  : (21)  $\rightarrow$  (22), (23)  $\rightarrow$  (24) :  $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4;$   
 (21)  $\rightarrow$  (24), (22)  $\rightarrow$  (23) :  $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = -e_1, f(e_3) = e_4, f(e_4) = e_3;$
- $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_3})$  : (3)  $\rightarrow$  (4) :  $f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = -e_3, f(e_4) = -e_4;$   
 (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2), (3)  $\rightarrow$  (9), (4)  $\rightarrow$  (10) :  $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = -e_1, f(e_3) = e_4, f(e_4) = e_3;$   
 (3)  $\rightarrow$  (8), (4)  $\rightarrow$  (7), (5)  $\rightarrow$  (9), (6)  $\rightarrow$  (10), (11)  $\rightarrow$  (12) :  $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4,$

and the derived algebra  $L_{c_1}^1 = Fe_1 + Fe_2,$  we get the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras  $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_1), (L_{c_1}, C_{c_2}, \Delta_2)$  and  $(L_{c_1}, C_{c_3}, \Delta_j), j = 3, 4, 5.$

Second, by the similar discussion to the above, only the cases (11) and (12) of the type  $C_{c_1}$ , the cases (21), (22), (23) and (24) of the type  $C_{c_2}$ , and the cases (11) and (12) of the type  $C_{c_3}$  in Theorem 3.5 are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_2},$  respectively. By the 3-Lie algebra isomorphisms  $f : L_{C_2} \rightarrow L_{C_2}$ :

- $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_1})$ : (11)  $\rightarrow$  (12) :  $f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = -e_4, f(e_4) = e_3;$
- $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_2})$ : (21)  $\rightarrow$  (22), (23)  $\rightarrow$  (24) :  $f(e_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_2) = \sqrt{\alpha}e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4;$

(21)  $\rightarrow$  (23) :  $f(e_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_2) = \sqrt{\alpha}e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_3) = \sqrt{-1}e_4, f(e_4) = \sqrt{-1}e_3$ ;  
 $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_3})$ : (12)  $\rightarrow$  (11) :  $f(e_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_2) = \sqrt{\alpha}e_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}e_2, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4$ ;  
 we get the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras  $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_6)$ ,  $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_2}, \Delta_7)$  and  $(L_{c_2}, C_{c_3}, \Delta_3)$ .

Lastly, we discuss the compatibility of 3-Lie coalgebras of the type  $C_{c_1}, C_{c_2}$  and  $C_{c_3}$  with 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_3}$ . By a direct computation, the twelve cases of the 3-Lie coalgebras of the type  $C_{c_1}$ , the cases (21), (22), (23) and (24) of the type  $C_{c_2}$ , and the cases (11) and (12) of the type  $C_{c_3}$  in Theorem 2.3 [4] are compatible with 3-Lie algebra  $L_{c_3}$ , respectively. Thanks to the derived algebra  $L_{c_3}^1 = Fe_1 + Fe_2$  and the following 3-Lie algebra isomorphisms  $f : L_{c_3} \rightarrow L_{c_3}$ :

$(L_{c_3}, C_{c_1})$ : (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2), (3)  $\rightarrow$  (4) :  $f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = -e_2, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4$ ;

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (8), (4)  $\rightarrow$  (7) :  $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4$ ;

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (5), (4)  $\rightarrow$  (6), (7)  $\rightarrow$  (10), (8)  $\rightarrow$  (9), (11)  $\rightarrow$  (12) :

$f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_4, f(e_4) = -e_3$ ;

$(L_{c_3}, C_{c_2})$ : (21)  $\rightarrow$  (22), (23)  $\rightarrow$  (24) :

$f(e_1) = -e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4$ ;

(21)  $\rightarrow$  (24) :  $f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = \sqrt{-1}e_4, f(e_4) = \sqrt{-1}e_3$ ;

$(L_{c_3}, C_{c_3})$  (11)  $\rightarrow$  (12) :  $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4$ ;

the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras are  $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_1)$ ,  $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_8)$ ,  $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_1}, \Delta_9)$ ,  $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_2}, \Delta_7)$  and  $(L_{c_3}, C_{c_3}, \Delta_3)$ . The proof is complete.

#### Acknowledgements

The first author (R.-P. Bai) was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation (11371245) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2014 201006).

## References

- [1] V. Filippov,  $n$ -Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, 26 (1985) 126-140.
- [2] R. Bai, Y. Cheng, J. Li, W. Meng, 3-Lie bialgebras, *Acta Math. Scientia*, 2014, 34B(2):513-522.
- [3] R. Bai, Y. Zhang, Classification of 3-Lie bialgebras of type  $(L_b, C_b)$ , *Mathematica Aeterna*, 2016, 6(1): 25 - 29.
- [4] R. Bai, Y. Zhang, 3-Lie bialgebras of type  $(L_b, C_c)$ , *Mathematica Aeterna*, 2016, 6(2): 153 - 157.

**Received: May, 2016**