

3-Lie bialgebras (L_c, C_d) and (L_c, C_e)

BAI Ruipu

College of Mathematics and Information Science,
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China
email: bairuipu@hbu.edu.cn

GUO Weiwei

College of Mathematics and Information Science,
Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the structure of four dimensional 3-Lie bialgebras of type (L_{c_i}, C_d) and (L_{c_i}, C_e) for $i = 1, 2, 3$. It is proved that there do not exist 3-Lie bialgebras of types (L_{c_i}, C_d) and (L_{c_i}, C_e) for $i = 1, 2$ (Theorem 3.2), and there exist only three classes of 3-Lie bialgebras of types (L_{c_3}, C_d) (Theorem 3.3), and two classes of 3-Lie bialgebras of types (L_{c_3}, C_e) (Theorem 3.4).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B05 17D30

Keywords: 3-Lie algebra, 3-Lie coalgebra, 3-Lie bialgebra.

1 Preliminaries

A **3-Lie algebra** [1] is a vector space L endowed with a linear multiplication $\mu : L^{\wedge 3} \rightarrow L$ satisfying that, for all $x, y, z, u, v \in L$,

$$\mu(u, v, \mu(x, y, z)) = \mu(x, y, \mu(u, v, z)) + \mu(y, z, \mu(u, v, x)) + \mu(z, x, \mu(u, v, y)).$$

For defining 3-Lie coalgebras, we need to define following linear maps

$$\omega_i : L \otimes L \otimes L \otimes L \otimes L \rightarrow L \otimes L \otimes L \otimes L \otimes L, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \text{ by}$$

$$\omega_1(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4 \otimes x_5) = x_3 \otimes x_4 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_5,$$

$$\omega_2(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4 \otimes x_5) = x_4 \otimes x_5 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3,$$

$$\omega_3(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_4 \otimes x_5) = x_5 \otimes x_3 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_4.$$

A **3-Lie coalgebra** (L, Δ) [2] is a vector space L with a linear map $\Delta : L \rightarrow L \otimes L \otimes L$ satisfying

$$\text{Im}(\Delta) \subset L \wedge L \wedge L, \text{ and } (1 - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta = 0.$$

Let (L_1, Δ_1) and (L_2, Δ_2) be 3-Lie coalgebras. If there is a linear isomorphism $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ satisfying $(\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi)(\Delta_1(e)) = \Delta_2(\varphi(e))$, for all $e \in L_1$,

then (L_1, Δ_1) is isomorphic to (L_2, Δ_2) , and φ is called a 3-Lie coalgebra isomorphism, where $(\varphi \otimes \varphi \otimes \varphi) \sum_i (a_i \otimes b_i \otimes c_i) = \sum_i \varphi(a_i) \otimes \varphi(b_i) \otimes \varphi(c_i)$.

A 3-Lie bialgebra[2] is a triple (L, μ, Δ) such that

- (1) (L, μ) is a 3-Lie algebra with the multiplication $\mu : L \wedge L \wedge L \rightarrow L$,
- (2) (L, Δ) is a 3-Lie coalgebra with $\Delta : L \rightarrow L \wedge L \wedge L$,
- (3) Δ and μ satisfy the following identity, for $x, y, u, v, w \in L$,

$$\Delta\mu(x, y, z) = ad_\mu^{(3)}(x, y)\Delta(z) + ad_\mu^{(3)}(y, z)\Delta(x) + ad_\mu^{(3)}(z, x)\Delta(y),$$

where $ad_\mu^{(3)}(x, y), ad_\mu^{(3)}(z, x), ad_\mu^{(3)}(y, z) : L \otimes L \otimes L \rightarrow L \otimes L \otimes L$ are linear maps defined by (similar for $ad_\mu^{(3)}(z, x)$ and $ad_\mu^{(3)}(y, z)$)

$$\begin{aligned} ad_\mu^{(3)}(x, y)(u \otimes v \otimes w) &= (ad_\mu(x, y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(u \otimes v \otimes w) \\ &+ (1 \otimes ad_\mu(x, y) \otimes 1)(u \otimes v \otimes w) + (1 \otimes 1 \otimes ad_\mu(x, y))(u \otimes v \otimes w) \\ &= \mu(x, y, u) \otimes v \otimes w + u \otimes \mu(x, y, v) \otimes w + u \otimes v \otimes \mu(x, y, w). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1[1] *Let (L, μ) be a 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra with $\dim L^1 \neq 0, 2$, and e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be a basis of L . Then L is isomorphic to one and only one of the following*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{b_1}. \mu(e_2, e_3, e_4) &= e_1. \quad L_{b_2}. \mu(e_1, e_2, e_3) = e_1. \\ L_d. \mu_d(e_2, e_3, e_4) &= e_1, \mu_d(e_1, e_3, e_4) = e_2, \mu_d(e_1, e_2, e_4) = e_3. \\ L_e. \mu_e(e_2, e_3, e_4) &= e_1, \mu_e(e_1, e_3, e_4) = e_2, \mu_e(e_1, e_2, e_4) = e_3, \mu_e(e_1, e_2, e_3) = e_4. \end{aligned}$$

2 3-Lie bialgebras of types (L_c, C_d) and (L_c, C_e)

First We give the classification of 3-Lie coalgebras of the types (L, C_b) and (L, C_c) .

Lemma 3.1 [2][4] *Let (L, Δ) be a 4-dimensional 3-Lie coalgebra with m -dimensional derived algebra ($m \geq 3$), and e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4 be a basis of L . Then L isomorphic to one and only one of the, $C_d = (L, \Delta_d)$ and $C_e = (L, \Delta_e)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} C_d. \Delta_d(e^1) &= e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4, \Delta_d(e^2) = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4, \Delta_d(e^3) = e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^4; \\ C_e. \Delta_e(e^1) &= e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4, \Delta_e(e^2) = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4, \Delta_e(e^3) = e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ \Delta_e(e^4) &= e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, in the following, for a 3-Lie bialgebra (L, μ, Δ) , if the 3-Lie algebra (L, μ) is the case (L, μ_{c_i}) in Lemma 2.1 and the 3-Lie coalgebra (L, Δ) is the case (L, Δ_d) and (L, Δ_e) in Lemma 3.1, then the 3-Lie bialgebra (L, μ_{c_i}, Δ_d) and (L, μ_{c_i}, Δ_e) are simply denoted by (L_{c_i}, C_d) and (L_{c_i}, C_e) , which are called *the 3-Lie bialgebras of type (L_c, C_d) , and (L_c, C_e) , respectively.*

For a given 3-Lie algebra L , in order to find all the 3-Lie bialgebra structures on L , we should find all the 3-Lie coalgebra structures on L which are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra L . Although a permutation of a basis of L gives isomorphic 3-Lie coalgebra, but it may lead to the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebra.

Theorem 3.2 *There do not exist 3-Lie bialgebras of types $(L_{c_1}, C_d), (L_{c_1}, C_e), (L_{c_2}, C_d)$ and (L_{c_2}, C_e) .*

Proof By Lemma 3.1 and [5], and a direct computation, we obtain that the following six isomorphic 3-Lie coalgebras of the type C_e :

- (1) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4, \Delta(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3;$

- (2) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$;
 (3) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1$;
 (4) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$;
 (5) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$;
 (6) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1$,

and twenty-four isomorphic 3-Lie coalgebras of the type C_d :

- (1) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4$;
 (2) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$;
 (3) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$;
 (4) $\Delta(e_1) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4$;
 (5) $\Delta(e_1) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$;
 (6) $\Delta(e_1) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4$;
 (7) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$;
 (8) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$;
 (9) $\Delta(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$;
 (10) $\Delta(e_1) = e_4 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$;
 (11) $\Delta(e_1) = e_4 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$;
 (12) $\Delta(e_1) = e_4 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_2) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_3$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$;
 (13) $\Delta(e_1) = e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$;
 (14) $\Delta(e_1) = e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2$;
 (15) $\Delta(e_1) = e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$;
 (16) $\Delta(e_1) = e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2$;
 (17) $\Delta(e_1) = e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$;
 (18) $\Delta(e_1) = e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_2$;
 (19) $\Delta(e_2) = e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1$;
 (20) $\Delta(e_2) = e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1$;
 (21) $\Delta(e_2) = e_4 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1$;
 (22) $\Delta(e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1$;
 (23) $\Delta(e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_1$;
 (24) $\Delta(e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_3) = e_4 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1$, $\Delta(e_4) = e_3 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_1$.

which are incompatible with the 3-Lie algebra L_{c_j} , $j = 1, 2$. Therefore, there do not exist 3-Lie bialgebras of types (L_{c_i}, C_d) , (L_{c_i}, C_e) , for $i = 1, 2$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.3 *The non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras of the type (L_{c_3}, C_d) are as follows:*

- $(L_{c_3}, C_d, \Delta_1) : \Delta_1(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta_1(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta_1(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4$;
 $(L_{c_3}, C_d, \Delta_2) : \Delta_2(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta_2(e_2) = e_3 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4, \Delta_2(e_3) = e_2 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_4$;
 $(L_{c_3}, C_d, \Delta_3) : \Delta_3(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta_3(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4, \Delta_3(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$.

Proof From Theorem 3.2, all the twenty-four cases of 3-Lie coalgebras of the type C_d are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra L_{c_3} . And we have 3-Lie bialgebras isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(L_{c_3}, C_d) : \\
 &(1) \rightarrow (3), (2) \rightarrow (6), (4) \rightarrow (5), (7) \rightarrow (9), (8) \rightarrow (11), (10) \rightarrow (12), \\
 &(13) \rightarrow (24), (14) \rightarrow (23), (15) \rightarrow (22), (16) \rightarrow (21), (17) \rightarrow (19) : \\
 &f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4; \\
 &(L_{c_3}, C_d) : \\
 &(13) \rightarrow (18), (15) \rightarrow (16) : f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = -e_2, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4; \\
 &(1) \rightarrow (10), (2) \rightarrow (11), (4) \rightarrow (7), (13) \rightarrow (14) : \\
 &f(e_1) = e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_4, f(e_4) = -e_3; \\
 &(1) \rightarrow (4) : f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = -e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4; \\
 &(19) \rightarrow (20) : f(e_1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_1, f(e_2) = \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_2, \\
 &f(e_3) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 - \sqrt{-1})e_3, f(e_4) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_4, ; \\
 &(13) \rightarrow (15) : f(e_1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_1, f(e_2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_2, \\
 &f(e_3) = \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 - \sqrt{-1})e_3, f(e_4) = \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_4; \\
 &(13) \rightarrow (20) : f(e_1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_2, f(e_2) = \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_1, \\
 &f(e_3) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-1})e_4, f(e_4) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\sqrt{-1} - 1)e_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since for any 3-Lie algebra isomorphism

$$h : L_{c_3} \rightarrow L_{c_3} : h(e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^4 a_{jk}e_k, a_{jk} \in F, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, a_{jk} \in F,$$

we have $a_{13} = a_{14} = a_{23} = a_{24} = 0$, and $\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} = 1$. By a direct computation h is a non-isomorphism of the 3-Lie coalgebra (1) onto 3-Lie coalgebra (2). It follows that (L_{c_3}, C_d, Δ_1) and (L_{c_3}, C_d, Δ_2) are non-equivalent.

Summarizing above discussions, we obtain that the non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras are (L_{c_3}, C_d, Δ_1) , (L_{c_3}, C_d, Δ_2) and (L_{c_3}, C_d, Δ_3) . The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.4 *The non-equivalent 3-Lie bialgebras of the type (L_{c_3}, C_e) are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(L_{c_3}, C_e, \Delta_1) : \Delta_1(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta_1(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \\
 &\Delta_1(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_4, \Delta_1(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3; \\
 &(L_{c_3}, C_e, \Delta_2) : \Delta_2(e_1) = e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4, \Delta_2(e_2) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_3, \\
 &\Delta_2(e_3) = e_1 \wedge e_4 \wedge e_2, \Delta_2(e_4) = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof By a direct computation, all the six cases of 3-Lie coalgebras of the type C_e in the Theorem 3.2 are compatible with the 3-Lie algebra L_{c_3} . And we have isomorphisms of 3-Lie bialgebras

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(L_{c_3}, C_e) : \\
 &(1) \rightarrow (4) : f(e_1) = -e_1, f(e_2) = e_2, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4;
 \end{aligned}$$

1) \rightarrow (2), (3) \rightarrow (5), (4) \rightarrow (6) : $f(e_1) = e_2, f(e_2) = e_1, f(e_3) = e_3, f(e_4) = e_4$.
For every 3-Lie algebra isomorphism

$$h : L_{c_3} \rightarrow L_{c_3} : h(e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^4 a_{jk} e_k, a_{jk} \in F, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, a_{jk} \in F,$$

we have $\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} = 1$. If h is an isomorphism of the 3-Lie coalgebra (1) onto 3-Lie coalgebra (2), then $a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{21} = a_{22} = 0$. This contradicts to the derived algebra $L_{c_3}^1 = Fe_1 + Fe_2$. The result follows.

Acknowledgements

The first author (R.-P. Bai) was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation (11371245) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2014 201006).

References

- [1] V. Filippov, n -Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, 26 (1985) 126-140.
- [2] R. Bai, Y. Cheng, J. Li, W. Meng, 3-Lie bialgebras, *Acta Math. Scientia*, 2014, 34B(2):513-522.
- [3] R. Bai, Y. Zhang, Classification of 3-Lie bialgebras of type (L_b, C_b) , *Mathematica Aeterna*, 2016, 6(1): 25 - 29.
- [4] R. Bai, Y. ZhangCheng, 3-Lie bialgebras of type (L_b, C_c) , *Mathematica Aeterna*, 2016, 6(2): 153 - 157.
- [5] R. Bai, L. Li, 3-Lie bialgebras (L_b, C_d) and (L_b, C_e) , *Mathematica Aeterna*, 2016, 6(2): 159 - 163.

Received: May, 2016