

The quasiderivations of Hom-Lie color algebras

Yi Zhao

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Northeast Normal University

Abstract

In this paper, we give some basic properties of a Hom-Lie color algebra L . In particular, we prove that the quasiderivations of L can be embedded as derivations in a larger Hom-Lie color algebra, and obtain a direct sum decomposition of $\text{Der}(L)$ when the annihilator of L is equal to zero.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17A40, 17B10, 17B56

Keywords: Hom-Lie color algebras; Quasiderivations; derivations.

1 Introduction

Hom-Lie algebras are a generalization of Lie algebras, Hom-Lie algebras are also related to deformed vector fields, the various versions of the Yang-Baxter equations, braid group representations, and quantum groups [5]. More applications of the Hom-Lie algebras, Hom-algebras can be found in [4, 6]. The purpose of this paper is to generalize some beautiful results to the Quasiderivations of a Hom-Lie color algebra.

2 Preliminary Notes

Throughout this paper \mathbf{K} is a field, A vector space V is Γ -graded.

Definition 2.1 [1] *Let \mathbf{K} and Γ be an abelian group, A map $\Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^*$ is called a skew-symmetric bi-character on Γ if the following identities hold, for all x, y, z , in Γ*

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon(x, y)\varepsilon(y, x) = 1,$$

$$(2) \quad \varepsilon(x, y + z) = \varepsilon(x, y)\varepsilon(x, z),$$

$$(3) \ \varepsilon(x + y, z) = \varepsilon(x, z)\varepsilon(y, z),$$

Definition 2.2 [1] A Hom-Lie color algebra is a quadruple $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \varepsilon, \alpha)$ consisting of a Γ -graded vector space L , a bi-character ε , an even bilinear mapping $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \rightarrow L$ (i.e. $[L_\theta, L_\mu] \subseteq L_{\theta+\mu}$ for all $\theta, \mu \in \Gamma$) and an even homomorphism $\alpha : L \rightarrow L$ such that for homogeneous elements $x, y, z \in L$ we have

$$(1) \ [x, y] = -\varepsilon(x, y)[y, x],$$

$$(2) \ \varepsilon(z, x)[\alpha(x), [y, z]] + \varepsilon(x, y)[\alpha(y), [z, x]] + \varepsilon(y, z)[\alpha(z), [x, y]] = 0.$$

Definition 2.3 [3] Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie color algebra and define the following subvector space \mathcal{U} of $\text{End}(L)$ consisting of even linear maps u on L as follows:

$$\mathcal{U} = \{u \in \text{End}(L) \mid u\alpha = \alpha u\}$$

and $\sigma : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}; \sigma(u) = \alpha u$. Then \mathcal{U} is a Hom-Lie color algebra over \mathbf{K} with the bracket

$$[D_\theta, D_\mu] = D_\theta D_\mu - \varepsilon(\theta, \mu)D_\mu D_\theta$$

for all $D_\theta, D_\mu \in \text{hg}(\mathcal{U})$.

Definition 2.4 [3] Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie color algebra. A homogeneous bilinear map $D : L \rightarrow L$ is said to be an α^k -derivation of L , where $k \in \mathbf{N}$, if it satisfies

$$D\alpha = \alpha D,$$

$$[D(x), \alpha^k(y)] + \varepsilon(D, x)[\alpha^k(x), D(y)] = D([x, y]),$$

$\forall x \in \text{hg}(L), y \in L$.

We denote the set of all α^k -derivations by $\text{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L)$, then $\text{Der}(L) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{Der}_{\alpha^k}(L)$ provided with the super-commutator and the following even map

$$\tilde{\alpha} : \text{Der}(L) \rightarrow \text{Der}(L); \tilde{\alpha}(D) = D\alpha$$

is a Hom-subalgebra of \mathcal{U} and is called the derivation algebra of L .

Definition 2.5 [1] An endomorphism $D \in \text{hg}(\text{Der}(L))$ is said to be a homogeneous α^k -quasiderivation, if there exists an endomorphism $D' \in \text{hg}(\text{End}(L))$ such that

$$D\alpha = \alpha D, D\alpha' = \alpha' D$$

$$[D(x), \alpha^k(y)] + \varepsilon(D, x)[\alpha^k(x), D(y)] = D'([x, y]), \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x \in \text{hg}(L), y \in L$. Let $\text{QDer}_{\alpha^k}(L)$ be the sets of homogeneous α^k -quasiderivations.

Definition 2.6 [3] Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie color algebra. If $Z(L) := \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Gamma} Z_\theta(L)$, with $Z_\theta(L) = \{x \in L_\theta \mid [x, y] = 0, \forall x \in \text{hg}(L), y \in L\}$, then $Z(L)$ is called the center of L .

3 Main Results

Lemma 3.1 *Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Lie color algebra over \mathbf{K} and t an indeterminate. We define $\check{L}_g := L_g[tF[t]/(t^3)] = \{\Sigma(x_g \otimes t + y_g \otimes t^2) \mid x_g, y_g \in L_g\}$, $\check{\alpha}(\check{L}_g) := \{\Sigma(\alpha(x_g) \otimes t + \alpha(y_g) \otimes t^2) : x_g, y_g \in L_g\}$, and let $\check{L} = \check{L}_0 \oplus \check{L}_1$. Then \check{L} is a Hom-Lie color algebra with the operation $[x_\lambda \otimes t^i, x_\theta \otimes t^j] = [x_\lambda, x_\theta] \otimes t^{i+j}$, for all $x_\lambda, x_\theta \in \text{hg}(L)$, $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.*

Proof. For all $x_\lambda, x_\theta, x_\mu \in \text{hg}(L)$ and $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x_\lambda \otimes t^i, x_\theta \otimes t^j] &= [x_\lambda, x_\theta] \otimes t^{i+j} \\ &= -\varepsilon(\lambda, \theta)[x_\theta \otimes t^j, x_\lambda \otimes t^i], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\check{\alpha}(x_\lambda \otimes t^i), [x_\theta \otimes t^j, x_\mu \otimes t^k]] &= [\alpha(x_\lambda), [x_\theta, x_\mu]] \otimes t^{i+j+k} \\ &= ([x_\lambda, x_\theta], \alpha(x_\mu)) + \varepsilon(\lambda, \theta)[\alpha(x_\theta), [x_\lambda, x_\mu]] \otimes t^{i+j+k} \\ &= [[x_\lambda \otimes t^i, x_\theta \otimes t^j], \check{\alpha}(x_\mu \otimes t^k)] + \varepsilon(\lambda, \theta)[\check{\alpha}(x_\theta \otimes t^j), [x_\lambda \otimes t^i, x_\mu \otimes t^k]]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \check{L} is a Hom-Lie color algebra. □

For notational convenience, we write $xt(xt^2)$ in place of $x \otimes t(x \otimes t^2)$. If U is a Γ -graded subspace of L such that $L = U \oplus [L, L]$, then $\check{L} = Lt + Lt^2 = Lt + [L, L]t^2 + Ut^2$,

Now we define a map $\varphi : \text{QDer}(L) \rightarrow \text{End}(\check{L})$ satisfying $\varphi(D)(at + bt^2 + ut^2) = D(a)t + D'(b)t^2$, where D, D' satisfy (1.1), $a \in \text{hg}(L)$, $b \in \text{hg}([L, L])$, $u \in \text{hg}(U)$ and $d(a) = d(b) = d(u)$.

Lemma 3.2 (1) $d(\varphi) = 0$.

(2) φ is injective and $\varphi(D)$ does not depend on the choice of D' .

(3) $\varphi(\text{QDer}(L)) \subseteq \text{Der}(\check{L})$.

Proof. It is clear.

(2) If $\varphi(D_\lambda) = \varphi(D_\theta)$, then for all $a \in \text{hg}(L)$, $b \in \text{hg}([L, L])$ and $u \in \text{hg}(U)$, we have

$$D_\lambda(a)t + D'_\lambda(b)t^2 = D_\theta(a)t + D'_\theta(b)t^2,$$

so $D_\lambda(a) = D_\theta(a)$. Hence $D_\lambda = D_\theta$, and φ is injective.

Suppose that there exists D'' such that

$$\varphi(D)(at + bt^2 + ut^2) = D(a)t + D''(b)t^2,$$

and

$$[D(x), \alpha^k(y)] + \varepsilon(D, x)[\alpha^k(x), D(y)] = D''([x, y]),$$

then we have

$$D'([x, y]) = D''([x, y]),$$

thus $D'(b) = D''(b)$. Hence

$$\varphi(D)(at + bt^2 + ut^2) = D(a)t + D'(b)t^2 = D(a)t + D''(b)t^2,$$

which implies $\varphi(D)$ is determined by D .

(3) We have $[x_\lambda t^i, x_\theta t^j] = [x_\lambda, x_\theta]t^{i+j} = 0$, for all $i + j \geq 3$. Thus, to show $\varphi(D) \in \text{Der}(\check{L})$, we need only to check the validness of the following equation

$$\varphi(D)([xt, yt]) = [\varphi(D)(xt), \check{\alpha}^k(yt)] + \varepsilon(D, x)[\check{\alpha}^k(xt), \varphi(D)(yt)].$$

For all $x, y \in \text{hg}(L)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(D)([xt, yt]) &= \varphi(D)([x, y]t^2) = D'([x, y])t^2 \\ &= [\varphi(D)(xt), \check{\alpha}^k(yt)] + \varepsilon(D, x)[\check{\alpha}^k(xt), \varphi(D)(yt)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $D \in \text{QDer}(L)$, we have $\varphi(D) \in \text{Der}(\check{L})$

□

Lemma 3.3 *Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Lie color algebra and α a surjection. $Z(L) = \{0\}$ and \check{L} , φ are as defined above. Then $\text{Der}(\check{L}) = \varphi(\text{QDer}(L)) \oplus \text{ZDer}(\check{L})$. Proof. Since $Z(L) = \{0\}$, we have $Z(\check{L}) = Lt^2$. For all $g \in \text{Der}(\check{L})$, we have $g(Z(\check{L})) \subseteq Z(\check{L})$, hence $g(Ut^2) \subseteq g(Z(\check{L})) \subseteq Z(\check{L}) = Lt^2$. Now we define a map $f : Lt + [L, L]t^2 + Ut^2 \rightarrow Lt^2$ by*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) \cap Lt^2, & x \in Lt; \\ g(x), & x \in Ut^2; \\ 0, & x \in [L, L]t^2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is clear that f is linear. Note that

$$f([\check{L}, \check{L}]) = f([L, L]t^2) = 0, \quad [f(\check{L}), \check{\alpha}^k L] \subseteq [Lt^2, \alpha^k(L)t + \alpha^k(L)t^2] = 0,$$

hence $f \in \text{ZDer}(\check{L})$. Since

$$(g - f)(Lt) = g(Lt) - g(Lt) \cap Lt^2 = g(Lt) - Lt^2 \subseteq Lt, \quad (g - f)(Ut^2) = 0,$$

and

$$(g - f)([L, L]t^2) = g([\check{L}, \check{L}]) \subseteq [\check{L}, \check{L}] = [L, L]t^2,$$

there exist $D, D' \in \text{End}(L)$ such that for all $a \in L, b \in [L, L]$,

$$(g - f)(at) = D(a)t, \quad (g - f)(bt^2) = D'(b)t^2.$$

Since $(g - f) \in \text{Der}(\check{L})$ and by the definition of $\text{Der}(\check{L})$, we have

$$[(g - f)(a_1t), \check{\alpha}^k(a_2t)] + \varepsilon(g - f, a_1)[\check{\alpha}^k(a_1t), (g - f)(a_2t)] = (g - f)([a_1t, a_2t]),$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in L$. Hence

$$[D(a_1), \check{\alpha}^k(a_2)] + \varepsilon(D, a_1)[\check{\alpha}^k(a_1), D(a_2)] = D'([a_1, a_2]).$$

Thus $D \in \text{QDer}(L)$. Therefore,

$$g - f = \varphi(D) \in \varphi(\text{QDer}(L)) \Rightarrow \text{Der}(\check{L}) \subseteq \varphi(\text{QDer}(L)) + \text{ZDer}(\check{L}).$$

By Lemma 3.2 (3) we have

$$\text{Der}(\check{L}) = \varphi(\text{QDer}(L)) + \text{ZDer}(\check{L}).$$

For all $f \in \varphi(\text{QDer}(L)) \cap \text{ZDer}(\check{L})$, there exists an element $D \in \text{QDer}(L)$ such that $f = \varphi(D)$. Then

$$f(at + bt^2 + ut^2) = \varphi(D)(at + bt^2 + ut^2) = D(a)t + D'(b)t^2,$$

for all $a \in L, b \in [L, L]$.

On the other hand, $D(a) = 0$, for all $a \in L$ and so $D = 0$. Hence $f = 0$.

Therefore $\text{Der}(\check{L}) = \varphi(\text{QDer}(L)) \oplus \text{ZDer}(\check{L})$ as desired. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank Professor Liangyun Chen for their helpful comments and suggestions. We also give our special thanks to referees for many helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] Abdaoui K., Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Constructions and cohomology of color Hom-Lie algebras*. arXiv:1307.2612 (2013).
- [2] Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Hom-Lie superalgebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras*. J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 7, 1513-1528.
- [3] Ammar F., Ayadi I., Mabrouk S. and Makhlouf A., *Quadratic color Hom-Lie algebras*. arXiv:1204.5155 (2012).
- [4] Gohr A., *On Hom-algebras with surjective twisting*, J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 7, 1483-1491.
- [5] Hartwig J., Larsson D. and Silvestrov S., *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations*. J. Algebra 295 (2006), no. 2, 314-361.
- [6] Jin Q. and Li X., *Hom-Lie algebra structures on semi-simple Lie algebra*, J. Algebra 319 (2008), no. 4, 1398-1408.

Received: July, 2016