

## Family of Strongly Additive Vector Measures\*

Xin-Lei Yong, Yi-Fan Han, Ling-Shan Xu, Yuan-Hong Tao<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Yanbian University, Jilin, 133002, China

### Abstract

The family of strongly additive vector measures is characterized in this paper. Firstly, the sufficient and necessary condition of a vector measure, which takes values in a completely Hausdorff topological vector space, to be strongly additive is established. Then *BTB* spaces are discussed and a Diestel-Faires type result is obtained.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 46A03, 46E40

**Keywords:** vector measures; strongly additive measure, bounded measure, totally bounded set, precompact set

## 1 Introduction

Vector measures have long been of interest to measure theorists, the general theory can be found in [1-4]. In recent years extensive work has been done on the additivity of vector measures ([5-14]). Olav Nygaard, Märt Põldvere ([11]) considered vector measures that take values in Banach spaces, they characterized families of vector measures of uniformly bounded variation and semivariation in terms of additivity properties, and simplified the proof of Nikodyms boundedness theorem.

Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{F}$  will be a field (i.e., algebra) of subsets of a set and  $G$  an abelian topological group with the family  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  of neighborhoods  $0 \in G$ . A net  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in (I, \leq)}$  in  $G$  is Cauchy if for every  $U \in \mathcal{N}(G)$  there is an  $\alpha_0 \in I$  such that  $x_\alpha - x_\beta \in U$  whenever  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  and  $\beta \geq \alpha_0$ .  $G$  is complete if every Cauchy net in  $G$  is convergent.

For a finitely additive measure  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow G$ , the most important event is the behavior of the sequence  $\{\mu(A_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  where  $\{A_j\}$  is pairwise disjoint. In

---

\*Supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (11361065,11761073)

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding Author: Tao Yuanhong (1973 -), female, Yanbian University, Department of mathematics, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Major in functional analysis and its application. E-mail: taoyuanhong12@126.com

fact,  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow G$  is strongly additive if  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$  converges whenever  $\{A_j\}$  is pairwise disjoint ([3], P.7). For Banach space  $X$  with dual  $X'$ , a result in [11] says that  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$  is bounded variation if and only if  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\mu(A_j)\| < +\infty$  whenever  $\{A_j\}$  is pairwise disjoint ([11], Cor.2), and  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$  is bounded, i.e.,  $\mu(\mathcal{F})$  is bounded ([3], P.4) if and only if whenever  $\{A_j\}$  is pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x'(\mu(A_j))| < +\infty$  for each continuous linear functional  $x' \in X'$  ([11], Cor.4).

In this paper, we would like to characterize the family of strongly additive vector measures in terms of additivity property. As an application, we will show a Diestel-Faires type result ([3], P.20, Theorem 2; [2]) which is also an important fact in analysis.

## 2 Strongly Additive Vector Measures

**Definition 2.1** For  $\{x_j\} \subset G$  and  $\Delta = \{j_1, j_2, \dots\} \subseteq N$  with  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots$ , let

$$\sum_{j \in \Delta} x_j = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{j_k}$$

and  $\sum_{j \in \Delta} x_j = 0$  if  $\Delta = \phi$ .

Ronglu Li, Hao Guo and C. Swartz ([16]) showed that every abelian topological group contains many interesting sets which are both compact and sequentially compact, they also deduced some useful facts:

**Theorem A ([16, Theorem 1]).** Let  $\Omega$  be a compact (resp., sequentially compact) space and  $G$  an abelian topological group. If  $\{f_j\} \subset C(\Omega, G)$  is such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\omega_j)$  converges for each  $\{\omega_j\} \subset \Omega$ , then  $\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(\omega_j) : \omega_j \in \Omega, \forall j \in N\}$  is compact (resp., sequentially compact).

**Theorem B ([16, Corollary 2]).** Let  $G$  be an abelian topological group and  $\{x_j\} \subset G$ . If  $\sum_j x_j$  is subseries convergent, i.e.,  $\sum_{j \in \Delta} x_j$  converges for each  $\Delta \subset N$ , then the set  $\{\sum_{j \in \Delta} x_j : \Delta \subset N\}$  is both compact and sequentially compact.

**Theorem C ([16, Theorem 2]).** Let  $G$  be an abelian topological group. Then for every countably additive  $\mu : 2^N \rightarrow G$ , the range  $\mu(2^N) = \{\mu(A) : A \subset N\}$  is both compact and sequentially compact. Moreover, if  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$  and  $\mu : \Sigma \rightarrow G$  is countably additive, then for every pairwise disjoint  $\{A_j\} \subset \Sigma$ , the set  $\{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \Delta \subset N\}$  is both compact and sequentially compact.

Similar to the case of Banach spaces, we have the following simple fact.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $G$  be a Hausdorff abelian topological group and  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow G$  be a vector measure. If  $G$  is complete, then the following four results are equivalent.

(1)  $\mu$  is strongly additive;

- (2) If  $\{A_j\}$  is pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\lim_j \mu(A_j) = 0$ ;
- (3) If  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\lim_j \mu(A_j)$  exists;
- (4) If  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\lim_j \mu(A_j)$  exists.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space and  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$  be a vector measure. If  $X$  is complete, then  $\mu$  is strongly additive if and only if  $\{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \text{finite } \Delta \subset N\}$  is totally bounded (i.e., precompact, [15], P.83) for every pairwise disjoint sequence  $\{A_j\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ). Let  $\{A_j\}$  be pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $B = \{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \text{finite } \Delta \subset N\}$ . For each  $\Delta = \{j_1, j_2, \dots\} \subseteq N$  with  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots$ , we can easily get that  $\{A_{j_k}\}$  is pairwise disjoint and  $\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_{j_k})$  converges by the strong additivity of  $\mu$ . Thus,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)$  is subseries convergent, i.e.,  $\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j)$  converges for each  $\Delta \subseteq N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \Delta \subseteq N\}$ . By Theorem B,  $\mathcal{S}$  is both compact and sequentially compact in  $X$ . Then  $B$  is totally bounded since  $B \subset \mathcal{S}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let  $\{A_j\}$  be pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\{\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not Cauchy, then we have a balanced  $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$  and integer sequence  $m_1 \leq n_1 < m_2 \leq n_2 < \dots$  such that

$$x_k = \sum_{j=m_k}^{n_k} \mu(A_j) \notin U$$

for all  $k$ .

Then pick a balanced  $V \in \mathcal{N}(X)$  such that  $V + V \subset U$ . Since  $B = \{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \text{finite } \Delta \subset N\}$  is totally bounded,  $B \subset pV$  for some  $p \in N$ . Then pick a balanced  $W \in \mathcal{N}(X)$  for which

$$\overbrace{W + W \cdots + W}^{(p)} \subset V.$$

Since  $\{x_k\} \subset B$ , then  $\{x_k : k \in N\}$  is totally bounded, and there is a finite  $\Delta \subset N$  such that  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\} \subset \{x_k : k \in \Delta\} + W$  ([15], P.86, Prob.6) and so there is a  $k_0 \in \Delta$  such that  $x_{k_0} + W$  contains infinite vectors in  $\{x_k : k \in N\}$ . Say that  $\{x_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset x_{k_0} + W$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p x_{k_i} &\in \overbrace{(x_{k_0} + W) + (x_{k_0} + W) + \cdots + (x_{k_0} + W)}^{(p)} \\ &= px_{k_0} + \overbrace{W + W + \cdots + W}^{(p)} \\ &\subset px_{k_0} + V. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^p x_{k_i} = px_{k_0} + v$  for some  $v \in V$ . However,  $V = -V$  and

$$x_{k_0} = \frac{1}{p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p x_{k_i} - v \right) \in \frac{1}{p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p x_{k_i} - V \right)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p}(\sum_{i=1}^p x_{k_i} - V) &= \frac{1}{p}(\sum_{i=1}^p x_{k_i} + V) \\
&= \frac{1}{p}[\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=m_{k_i}}^{n_{k_i}} \mu(A_j) + V] \\
&\subset \frac{1}{p}(B + V) \\
&\subset \frac{1}{p}(pV + V) \\
&= V + \frac{1}{p}V \\
&\subset V + V \subset U.
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts that  $x_k \notin U$  for all  $k$  and so  $\{\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is Cauchy in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is complete then  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(A_j) = \lim_n \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j)$  exists.

### 3 Diestel-Faires Type Result

A topological vector space  $X$  is called a *BTB* space if every bounded set in  $X$  is totally bounded ([15], P.85). Many important spaces are *BTB* spaces, e.g.,  $R^n, C^n, R^{\mathcal{N}}, C^{\mathcal{N}} (= \omega)$ , the space  $\mathcal{D}$  of test functions, semi-Montel spaces ([15], P.90), etc. In fact, we have many *BTB* spaces as follows.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $X$  be a complete Hausdorff BTB space and  $X^\Omega$  all the mappings from  $\Omega$  to  $X$ . For every  $\Omega \neq \phi$ , let  $\sigma\Omega$  be the topology for  $X^\Omega$  such that  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  in  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$  if and only if  $f_\alpha(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega), \forall \omega \in \Omega$ . Then  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$  is a complete Hausdorff BTB space.*

**Proof.** Each  $\omega \in \Omega$  gives a function  $\omega : X^\Omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $\omega(f) = f(\omega), \forall f \in X^\Omega$ . Letting  $\omega^{-1}(\phi) = \phi$  and denoting  $\sigma\omega = \{\omega^{-1}(G) : G \text{ is open in } X\}$  be a topology for  $X^\Omega$  and  $\sigma\Omega = \sup\{\sigma\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$  ([15], P.11).

If  $f, g \in X^\Omega, f \neq g$ , then  $f(\omega_0) \neq g(\omega_0)$  for some  $\omega_0 \in \Omega$  and so  $[f(\omega_0) + U] \cap [g(\omega_0) + U] = \phi$  for some open  $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ . Then  $\omega_0^{-1}[f(\omega_0) + U] \cap \omega_0^{-1}[g(\omega_0) + U] = \phi$  and so  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$  is Hausdorff.

Let  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in (I, \leq)}$  be a Cauchy net in  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$ . Then  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  is Cauchy in  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\omega)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  ([15], P76, Prob.4). Fix an  $\omega \in \Omega$ . For every open  $V \in \mathcal{N}(X), \omega^{-1}(V) = \{f \in X^\Omega : f(\omega) = \omega(f) \in V\}$  is an open neighborhood of  $0 \in (X^\omega, \sigma\omega)$  and so there is  $\alpha_0 \in I$  such that  $f_\alpha - f_\beta \in \omega^{-1}(V)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_0$ , i.e.,  $f_\alpha(\omega) - f_\beta(\omega) = (f_\alpha - f_\beta)(\omega) = \omega(f_\alpha - f_\beta) \in V$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_0$ . Then  $(f_\alpha(\omega))_{\alpha \in I}$  is Cauchy in  $X$  and so  $\lim_\alpha f_\alpha(\omega) = f(\omega)$  exists.

Thus, we have a  $f \in X^\Omega$  such that  $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$  in  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$ , i.e.,  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$  is complete.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a bounded set in  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\sigma\Omega}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$  which is also bounded. If  $\{f_n\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\sigma\Omega}$ , then  $\frac{1}{n}f_n \xrightarrow{\sigma\Omega} 0$  and so  $\frac{1}{n}f_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , i.e.,  $\{f(\omega) : f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\sigma\Omega}\}$  is bounded in  $X$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Since  $X$  is a complete BTB space,  $\{f(\omega) : f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\sigma\Omega}\}$  is totally bounded and complete in  $X$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , i.e.,  $\{f(\omega) : f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\sigma\Omega}\}$  is compact for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  ([15], P.88, Th.7). Then  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\sigma\Omega}$  is compact in  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$  ([17], P218, Th.1) and so  $\mathcal{S}$  is totally bounded in  $(X^\Omega, \sigma\Omega)$ .

A very nice Diestel-Faires theorem shows that a Banach space  $X$  contains no copy of  $c_0$  if and only if every bounded measure  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$  is strongly additive ([3], P.20, Th.2; [2]). But Lemma 2 shows that the family of BTB spaces includes many of non-metrizable spaces and so we have a nice fact as follows.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $X$  be a complete Hausdorff BTB space. If  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$  is a bounded measure, i.e.,  $\mu(\mathcal{F}) = \{\mu(A) : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is bounded in  $X$ , then  $\mu$  is strongly additive and for every pairwise disjoint sequence  $\{A_j\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \Delta \subseteq \mathcal{N}\}$  is both compact and sequentially compact.*

**Proof.** *Let  $\{A_j\}$  be pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \text{finite } \Delta \subseteq \mathcal{N} \right\} = \left\{ \mu(\cup_{j \in \Delta} A_j) : \text{finite } \Delta \subseteq \mathcal{N} \right\} \subset \mu(\mathcal{F})$$

*is bounded in  $X$  and so  $\mathcal{K}$  is totally bounded. By Theorem 1,  $\mu$  is strongly additive.*

*As in the proof of Theorem A and Theorem B implies that  $\{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \Delta \subseteq \mathcal{N}\}$  is both compact and sequentially compact whenever  $\{A_j\}$  is pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$*

*Theorem C says that if  $\mu : 2^{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow G$  is countably additive, then the range  $\mu(2^{\mathcal{N}}) = \{\mu(A) : A \subseteq \mathcal{N}\}$  is both compact and sequentially compact. For strongly additive measures we also have a similar result as follows.*

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space. If  $X$  is complete and  $\mu : 2^{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow X$  is a strongly additive measure, then  $\{\sum_{j \in A} \mu(j) : A \subseteq \mathcal{N}\}$  is both compact and sequentially compact.*

**Proof.** *If  $i \neq j$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ , then  $\{i\} \cap \{j\} = \phi$  and  $A = \cup_{j \in A} \{j\}$  whenever  $A \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\sum_{j \in A} \mu(\{j\})$  converges for each  $A \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, the desired result follows from Theorem B.*

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** In this paper, we first discussed the family of strongly additive vector measures. We proved that a vector measure

$\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a complete Hausdorff topological vector space, strongly additive if and only if  $\{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \text{finite } \Delta \subset N\}$  is totally bounded for every pairwise disjoint sequence  $\{A_j\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

We also discussed the *BTB* space, and established a Diestel-Faires type theorem: If  $X$  be a complete Hausdorff *BTB* space. and  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$  is a bounded measure, then  $\mu$  is strongly additive and for every pairwise disjoint sequence  $\{A_j\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\{\sum_{j \in \Delta} \mu(A_j) : \Delta \subseteq N\}$  is both compact and sequentially compact.

## References

- [1] N. Dinculeanu, Vector Measures, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1966.
- [2] J. Diestel and B.Faires. On Vector Measures. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol.198, pp. 253-271, 1974.
- [3] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., Vector Measures, Math. Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
- [4] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., Progress in Vector Measures: 197783, Lecture Notes Math. 1033, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1984, pp. 144-192.
- [5] Jan Hamhalter, Additivity of Vector Gleason Measures, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 31, No. 1, 1992.
- [6] Helmut H. Schaefer and Xiaodong Zhang, A Note on Order Bounded Vector Measures, Arch. Math., Vol. 63, pp. 152-157 , 1994.
- [7] A. G. Areshkina, Fourier Series of Additive Vector Measures and Their Term-by-Term Differentiation, Mathematical Notes, Vol. 64, No. 2, 1998.
- [8] M. C. Romero-Moreno, The Range of a Vector Measure and a Radon-Nikodym Problem for the variation, Arch. Math. Vol.70, pp. 74-82, 1998.
- [9] A. I. Sotnikov, Order Properties of the Space of Strongly Additive Transition Functions, Siberian Mathematical Journal, Vol. 46, No. 1, pp. 166171, 2005.
- [10] A. G. Chentsov, Finitely Additive Measures and Extensions of Abstract Control Problems, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 133, No. 2, pp. 1045-1206, 2006.
- [11] Olav Nygaard, Märt Põldvere. Families of Vector Measures of Uniformly Bounded Variation. Arch. Math. Vol. 88, pp. 57-61, 2007.

- [12] G.P. Curbera and W.J. Ricker, Vector Measures, Integration and Applications, Positivity Trends in Mathematics, PP. 127-160, 2007.
- [13] Diomedes Barcenas and Carlos E. Finol, On Vector Measures, Uniform Integrability and Orlicz Spaces, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 201, pp. 5157, 2009.
- [14] Beloslav Riečan, On The Kluvanek Construction of the lebesgue Integral with Respect to a Vector Measure, Math. Slovaca, Vol. 64, No. 3, pp. 727740, 2014.
- [15] A.Wilansky. Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces. McGraw-Hill. New York, 1978.
- [16] Ronglu Li and C.Swartz. Both Compact and Sequentially Compact Sets in Abelian Topological Group. Topology and its Applications. Vol. 158, pp. 1234-1238, 2011.
- [17] J.L.Kelley. General Topology. Van Nostrand, 1955.

**Received: August 10, 2017**