

SOME RESULTS ON D-CONFORMAL P-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Dr. Indiwari Singh Chauhan

Deptt. of Mathematics, Ganjundwara (P.G.) College, Ganjundwara,
Kanshiram Nagar, U.P., India
e-mail: indiwarsingh.chauhan@gmail.com

Abstract:

In this paper a new type of tensor field P_{kji}^h is defined and established a few properties thereof. In the last, a tensor field P_{kji}^h is defined in terms of tensor fields U_{kji}^h and R_{kji}^h and established some important theorem.

Keywords: Differentiable manifold, Riemannian metric, almost para contact structure, Einstein manifold and Sasakian manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Definition 1.1: Almost Para Contact Manifold:

Let M be a n -dimensional differentiable manifold which admits a (1-1) tensor field ψ , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying the conditions

$$(1.1) \quad \eta_a \xi^a = 1$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \psi^a_j \psi^i_a = \delta^i_j - \eta_j \xi^i$$

then manifold M is said to be an almost para contact manifold with almost para contact structure (ψ, ξ, η) .

Definition 1.2: Associated Riemannian Metric:

In an almost paracontact manifold, if there exists in such a manifold dM with a positive definite Riemannian metric g_{ji} satisfying the conditions

$$(1.3) \quad \xi^a \psi^i_a = 0$$

$$(1.4) \quad \eta_a \psi^a_j = 0$$

$$(1.5) \quad \text{rank } \psi^i_j = (n-1)$$

$$(1.6) \quad \psi_{ji} = g_{ia} \psi^a_j$$

$$(1.7) \quad \psi_{ji} = \psi_{ij}$$

$$(1.8) \quad \eta_j = g_{ja} \xi^a$$

$$(1.9) \quad g_{ab} \psi^a_j \psi^b_i = g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i$$

Such a Riemannian metric g_{ji} is said to be a associated Riemannian metric with structure (ψ, ξ, η) .

Definition 1.3: Para Contact Riemannian Manifold:

If in an almost paracontact Riemannian manifold M satisfying the condition

$$(1.10) \quad 2\psi_{ji} = \nabla_j \eta_i + \nabla_i \eta_j$$

Wherein ∇_j denotes the covariant differentiation with regard to the Riemannian metric g_{ji} , then M is called a para contact Riemannian manifold.

Definition 1.4: Special Para Contact Riemannian Manifold:

If in an almost para contact Riemannian manifold, the condition $\psi_j^i = \nabla_j \xi^i$ holds good, then M is termed as a special para contact Riemannian manifold.

Definition 1.5: Special Para Sasakian Manifold:

If in a para Sasakian manifold M the unit 1-form η satisfying the condition

$$(1.11) \quad \nabla_j \eta_i = -g_{ji} + \eta_j \eta_i$$

then M is said to be a Special Para Sasakian manifold [2].

In a Para Sasakian manifold M , the Ricci tensor R_{ji} satisfies

$$(1.12) \quad R_{ji} = [\{R/(n-1)\} + 1] g_{ji} - [\{R/(n-1) + n\} \eta_j \eta_i]$$

then the manifold M is said to be η -Einstein manifold.

If the manifold M be Einstein then

$$(1.13) \quad R_{ji} = - (n-1)g_{ji}$$

In this regard, new tensor fields U_{ji} , G_{ji} and P_{ji} are defined as follows

$$(1.14) \quad U_{ji} = R_{ji} - [\{R/(n-1)\} + 1] g_{ji} + [\{R/(n-1) + n\} \eta_j \eta_i]$$

$$(1.15) \quad G_{ji} = R_{ji} + (n-1)g_{ji}$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad P_{ji} = U_{ji} - R_{ji} + [\{R/(n-1)\} + 1] g_{ji} - [\{R/(n-1) + n\} \eta_j \eta_i]$$

2. SOME CHANGES IN D-CONFORMAL CURVATURE TENSOR:

Let us consider the relation

$$(2.1) \quad B^h_{kji} = R^h_{kji} - \{1/(n-3)\} \{R_{ji}(\delta^h_k - \eta_k \xi^h) - \{R_{ki}(\delta^h_j - \eta_j \xi^h) + (g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i) R^h_k - (g_{ki} - \eta_k \eta_i) R^h_j\} + \{(R+2)/(n-2)(n-3)\} (g_{ji} \delta^h_k - g_{ki} \delta^h_j) + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-2)(n-3)] (g_{ki} \eta_j \xi^h - g_{ji} \eta_k \xi^h + \eta_k \eta_i \delta^h_j - \eta_j \eta_i \delta^h_k)$$

Definition 2.1: D-Conformal Curvature Tensor:

The tensor field B^h_{kji} of the SP - Sasakian manifold satisfying the relation (2.1) is said to be D-Conformal Curvature tensor.

For the D-Conformal Curvature tensor, the following identities are obtained:

$$(2.2) \quad B^h_{kji} = -B^h_{jki}$$

$$(2.3) \quad B_{kjih} = B_{ihkj}$$

$$(2.4) \quad B^{\alpha}_{\alpha ji} = 0$$

$$(2.5) \quad B^{\alpha}_{kji} \eta_{\alpha} = 0$$

$$(2.6) \quad B^h_{kji} + B^h_{jik} + B^h_{ikj} = 0$$

If we calculate $\nabla_h B^h_{kji}$, then it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_h B^h_{kji} = \{(n-4)/(n-3)\} B_{kji}$$

Where we take

$$(2.8) \quad B_{kji} = \nabla_k R_{ji} - \nabla_j R_{ki} - (\eta_k R_{ji} - \eta_j R_{ki}) + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-2)] (\eta_k g_{ji} - \eta_j g_{ki}) - \{1/2(n-2)\} \{\nabla_k R (g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i) - \nabla_j R (g_{ki} - \eta_k \eta_i)\}$$

In a SP-Sasakian manifold, a tensor field U^h_{kji} is defined as

$$(2.9) \quad U^h_{kji} = R^h_{kji} - [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)] (g_{ji} \delta^h_k - g_{ki} \delta^h_j) - [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)] (g_{ki} \eta_j \xi^h - g_{ji} \eta_k \xi^h + \eta_k \eta_i \delta^h_j - \eta_j \eta_i \delta^h_k)$$

In this regard, the following identities are obtained:

$$(2.10) \quad U^h_{kji} = -U^h_{jki}$$

$$(2.11) \quad U_{kjih} = U_{ihkj}$$

(2.12) $U^{\alpha}_{\alpha ji} = 0$

(2.13) $U^{\alpha}_{kji} \eta_{\alpha} = 0$

(2.14) $U^h_{kji} + U^h_{jik} + U^h_{ikj} = 0$

In a SP - Sasakian manifold, a new type of tensor field P^h_{kji} in terms of tensor fields U^h_{kji} and R^h_{kji} is defined as

(2.15) $P^h_{kji} = U^h_{kji} - R^h_{kji} + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ji} \delta^h_k - g_{ki} \delta^h_j) + [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ki} \eta_j \xi^h - g_{ji} \eta_k \xi^h + \eta_k \eta_i \delta^h_j - \eta_j \eta_i \delta^h_k)$

Theorem 2.1:

In a Special Para-Sasakian manifold, the tensor P^h_{kji} is skew-symmetric in the first two covariant indices i.e.

$P^h_{kji} = - P^h_{jki}$

Proof:

Interchanging the indices j and k in equation (2.15), we obtain

(2.16) $P^h_{jki} = U^h_{jki} - R^h_{jki} + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ki} \delta^h_j - g_{ji} \delta^h_k) + [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ji} \eta_k \xi^h - g_{ki} \eta_j \xi^h + \eta_j \eta_i \delta^h_k - \eta_k \eta_i \delta^h_j)$

By virtue of equations (2.10) and (2.16), we get

(2.17) $P^h_{kji} = - P^h_{jki}$

Multiplying equation (2.15) by g_{hm} on both sides, we obtain

(2.18) $g_{hm} P^h_{kji} = g_{hm} U^h_{kji} - g_{hm} R^h_{kji} + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ji} g_{hm} \delta^h_k - g_{ki} g_{hm} \delta^h_j) + [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ki} g_{hm} \eta_j \xi^h - g_{ji} g_{hm} \eta_k \xi^h + g_{hm} \eta_k \eta_i \delta^h_j - g_{hm} \eta_j \eta_i \delta^h_k)$

Then the equation (2.18) reduces in the form

(2.19) $P_{kjim} = U_{kjim} - R_{kjim} + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ji} g_{mk} - g_{ki} g_{mj}) + [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ki} \eta_j \eta_m - g_{ji} \eta_k \eta_m + g_{mj} \eta_k \eta_i - g_{mk} \eta_j \eta_i)$

Theorem 2.2:

In SP-Sasakian manifold, a tensor P_{kjim} is symmetric in two pairs of indices i.e. $P_{kjim} = P_{imkj}$

Proof:

Interchanging the indices i and k as well as j and m in equation (2.19), we obtain

(2.20) $P_{imkj} = U_{imkj} - R_{imkj} + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{mk} g_{ji} - g_{ik} g_{jm}) + [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ik} \eta_m \eta_j - g_{mk} \eta_i \eta_j + g_{jm} \eta_i \eta_k - g_{ji} \eta_m \eta_k)$

By virtue of equations (2.11) and (2.19), the above equation reduces in the form

(2.21) $P_{kjim} = P_{imkj}$

Further, multiplying equation (2.15) by η_h on both sides, we obtain

(2.22) $\eta_h P^h_{kji} = \eta_h U^h_{kji} - \eta_h R^h_{kji} + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ji} \eta_h \delta^h_k - g_{ki} \eta_h \delta^h_j) + [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ki} \eta_h \eta_j \xi^h - g_{ji} \eta_h \eta_k \xi^h + \eta_h \eta_k \eta_i \delta^h_j - \eta_h \eta_j \eta_i \delta^h_k)$

By virtue of equations (2.13) and (2.22), we get

(2.23) $\eta_h P^h_{kji} = 0$

Theorem 2.3:

For the tensor P^h_{kji} of the SP-Sasakian manifold, the relation

$P^h_{kji} + P^h_{jik} + P^h_{ikj} = 0$

i.e.

$P^h_{[kji]} = 0,$

holds good.

Proof:

On interchanging i, j, k cyclically, then equation (2.15) reduces in the forms

$$(2.24) \quad P_{jik}^h = U_{jik}^h - R_{jik}^h + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{ik} \delta_j^h - g_{jk} \delta_i^h) \\ + [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{jk} \eta_i \xi^h - g_{ik} \eta_j \xi^h + \eta_j \eta_k \delta_i^h - \eta_i \eta_k \delta_j^h)$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad P_{ikj}^h = U_{ikj}^h - R_{ikj}^h + [\{R + 2(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{kj} \delta_i^h - g_{ij} \delta_k^h) \\ + [\{R + n(n-1)\}/(n-1)(n-2)](g_{jj} \eta_k \xi^h - g_{kj} \eta_i \xi^h + \eta_i \eta_j \delta_k^h - \eta_k \eta_j \delta_i^h)$$

Adding equations (2.15), (2.24) and (2.25), we obtain

$$(2.26) \quad P_{kji}^h + P_{jik}^h + P_{ikj}^h = 0 \\ \text{i.e. } P_{[kji]}^h = 0,$$

REFERENCES:

- [1]. I.S. Chauhan : A note on CL-Flat LP-Sasakian manifolds, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol.XXXIV M, No.1, p.(27-29), (2008).
- [2]. I.Sato : On a Riemannian manifold admitting a certain vector field, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., p. (250-260), 29(1978).
- [3]. I.Sato : On a structure similar to almost contact structures II, Tensor, N.S., p. (199-205), 31(1977).
- [4]. I.Sato and K.Matsumoto : On P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying certain conditions, Tensor, N.S., p. (173-178), 33(1979).
- [5]. T.Adati and K.Matsumoto : On conformally recurrent and conformally symmetric P-Sasakian manifolds, TRU Math., p. (25-32), 13-1(1977).
- [6]. T.Adati and T.Miyazawa : Some transformations on P - Sasakian manifolds, TRU Math., p. (17-28), 14-1(1978).
- [7]. T.S.Chauhan : A note on recurrent P-Sasakian manifolds, Tensor, N.S., p.(215-218), Vol.62, (2000).
- [8]. T.S. Chauhan : A note on SP-Sasakian manifold, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol.XXVI(M), 4, p.(301-304), (2000).